

**Center for Health Promotion and Risk Reduction in Special Populations  
Scientific Review Criteria for Center Pilot Studies**

Title of Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Principal Investigator: \_\_\_\_\_ Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_

Please rate each component on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1= low merit and 5= high merit.

Write any additional comments on reverse side or add additional pages.

	<i>Comments</i>	<i>Score</i>
<p>1. Topic: Is the topic consistent with the focus of the center?</p>		
<p>2. Significance: Is the problem important to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the advancement of scientific knowledge?</li> <li>- to PIs discipline of research/scholarship?</li> </ul>		
<p>3. Approach: Is conceptual framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated and appropriate to aims of project? Are potential problem areas acknowledged and alternative tactics considered? Is timetable realistic? Is the budget reasonable?</p>		
<p>4. Investigator Is the PI appropriately trained &amp; well-suited to carry out the project? Is the proposed work appropriate to experience level of the PI/research team? Is the research team interdisciplinary?</p>		
<p>5. Innovation Is project original, novel, or innovative? Does project challenge existing paradigms or develop new methodologies?</p>		
<p>6. Potential for external funding Does the PI/project provide evidence for the likelihood of successful extramural funding?</p>		