

Financial Hardship among Rural Cancer Survivors in the United States

Cassie Odahowski, MPH^{1,2}, Whitney Zahnd, PhD^{1,2}, Cynthia Perry, PhD^{1,3}, Melinda Davis, PhD^{1,3}, Jean Edward, PhD^{1,4}, Robin Vanderpool, DrPH^{1,4}, Jan Marie Eberth, PhD^{1,2}



1. Cancer Prevention and Control Research Network 2. University of South Carolina 3. Oregon Health & Science University 4. University of Kentucky

INTRODUCTION		RESULTS							
The undue financial burden and stress that patients face related to the cost of cancer care is referred to as financial toxicity or	Table 1. Rural versus Urban Financial Hardship and Characteristics of Cancer Survivors, MEPS, 2011			Table 2. Predicted Probabilities of Factors Associated with Financial Hardship among Cancer Survivors, MEPS, 2011					
 In 2010, the national expenditure for cancer care in the U.S. was 		Rural No. (Weighted %)	Urban No. (Weighted %)	X ² p-value		Predicted Probability	95% CI	Wald F p-value	
active stad to be 6124 F7 billion and is supported to support to 61F7 77	Total	275 (100)	1144 (100)		Age			<.0001*	

estimated to be \$124.57 billion and is expected to grow to \$157.77 billion by 2020 ^{1,2}

- It is estimated that some cancer survivors spend approximately 20% of their annual income on their medical care ³
- According to the National Health Interview Survey, more than 2 million U.S. cancer survivors did not receive medical services because of financial concerns between 2003 and 2006⁴
- Patients who file for bankruptcy have higher mortality rates than those who do not ³
- Rural cancer survivors have higher uninsured and poverty rates compared to urban cancer survivors⁴
- Rural patients face longer travel times to treatment than urban patients ^{5,6,7}

71 (23.9) 0.0169* Financial Hardship 225 (17.1) 0.3462 Age 18-64 115 (43.0) 551 (47.2) 65+ 160 (57.0) 593 (52.8) 0.0148* **Highest Education** 702 (54.9) 202 (64.1) GED/Diploma or Less 439 (45.1) Some College or More 73 (35.9) 0.0141* Race White 242 (95.6) 972 (92.2) 172 (7.8) Non-white 33 (4.4) 0.2955 Marital Status 630 (58.5) 161 (62.9) Married Not Married 114 (37.1) 514 (41.5) 0.0497* Health Insurance 395 (37.4) Private 77 (33.9) Public 339 (23.9) 106 (32.7) 410 (38.7) Uninsured 92 (33.5) 0.4892 Time Since Last Treatment

Age			<.0001*
18-64	26.3%	17.9-36.4%	
65-85	13.6%	8.3-21.4%	
Highest Education			0.9800
GED/Diploma or Less	20.8%	13.7-30.0%	
Some College or More	17.4%	11.2-25.9%	
Race			0.0156*
White	17.8%	11.6-26.2%	
Non-white	30.7%	20.6-42.9%	
Marital Status			0.4243
Married	16.6%	10.7-24.7%	
Not Married	23.3%	15.4-33.3%	
Health Insurance			<.0001*
Private	18.8%	12.4-27.4%	
Public	23.2%	15.5-33.2%	
Uninsured	17.2%	10.9-25.6%	
Time Since Last Treatment			0.8860
Less than 5 years	19.0%	12.3-28.0%	

OBJECTIVES

To examine geographic and patient factors related to financial hardship among cancer survivors using the 2011 Medical Expenditure Panel

Survey (MEPS)

METHODS

- Data were obtained from 1,492 participants in the 2011 MEPS
- supplement The Effects of Cancer and Its Treatment on Finances
- Rural and urban designations were assigned using 2010 metropolitan statistical areas from the U.S. Office of Management and Budget with metropolitan areas defined as urban and micropolitan areas defined as rural
- Four MEPS questions were combined to create a measure of financial hardship resulting from cancer treatment: borrowed money/in debt, filed bankruptcy, made other financial sacrifices, unable to cover
- medical costs
- Multivariable logistic regression was conducted assessing the

	90 (34.0)	580 (54.9)	
5 Years or More	135 (49.9)	553 (46.5)	
significant at $lpha$ =0.05			

Figure 1. Adjusted Odds Ratios for Factors Associated with Financial Hardship among Cancer Survivors, MEPS, 2011



20.0% 13.2-28.8% 5 Years or More *significant at α =0.05 CONCLUSIONS

- Rural cancer survivors experienced higher rates of financial hardship compared to those living in urban areas • Age, race, and health insurance type were significant factors related to financial hardship
- Future interventions should aim to address the financial burden
 - among minority and uninsured cancer survivors

REFERENCES & ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 1. Mariotto AB, Robin Yabroff K, Shao Y, Feuer EJ, Brown ML. Projections of the Cost of Cancer Care in the United States: 2010–2020. JNCI J Natl Cancer Inst. 2011 Jan 19;103(2):117-28.
- 2. Texas A&M Health Science Center, Rural Healthy People 2020 Volume 2. :129. 3. PDQ Adult Treatment Editorial Board. Financial Toxicity (Financial Distress) and Cancer Treatment (PDQ[®]): Patient Version. In: PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Cancer Institute (US); 2002

association between patient factors and financial hardship including:

rural/urban residence, age, education, race, marital status, health

insurance, and time since last cancer treatment

- Odds ratios and predicted probabilities with 95% confidence intervals were reported from the final adjusted model
- All analyses were weighted using population weights assigned by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality to account for the complex sampling design
- Analyses were performed using SAS software, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC)



- Rural and urban cancer survivors differed by education, race, and health insurance coverage (Table 1)
- A higher proportion of rural cancer survivors reported financial hardship than urban survivors (23.9% vs. 17.1%, p=0.02), and after adjusting for covariates, 23.9 % of rural cancer survivors reported overall financial hardship compared to 18.5% of their urban counterparts (p=0.08)
- Younger age, non-white race, and uninsured or public insurance were associated with
- higher odds of financial hardship (Figure 1)

[cited 2018 Jun 10]. Available from:

3.26*

- http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK441635/
- 4. Weaver KE, Rowland JH, Bellizzi KM, Aziz NM. Forgoing Medical Care because of Cost: Assessing Disparities in Health Care Access among Cancer Survivors living in the United States. Cancer. 2010 Jul 15;116(14):3493–504.
- 5. Charlton M, Schlichting J, Chioreso C, Ward M, Vikas P. Challenges of Rural Cancer Care in the United States. Oncol 08909091. 2015 Sep;29(9):633–40.
- 6. Onega T, Duell EJ, Shi X, Wang D, Demidenko E, Goodman D. Geographic access to cancer care in the U.S. Cancer. 112(4):909–18.
- 7. Chan MY, Cohen H, Spiegel BMR. Fewer Polyps Detected by Colonoscopy as the Day Progresses at a Veteran's Administration Teaching Hospital- ClinicalKey. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2009;7:1217–23.

Funding Statement: This work was supported in part by The Cancer Prevention and Control Research Network

CONTACT INFORMATION

Cassie Lewis Odahowski, MPH Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics |University of South Carolina Email: CLO@email.sc.edu