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Central Concepts

**Academic Engagement:**
When students are invested, attentive, passionate, and connected in the learning process.

**Academic Self-Efficacy:**
Personal judgment or assessment of the capacity to achieve goals and complete academic tasks.
How Do We View These Factors?

www.studentengagementtrust.org/engagementModel/

http://mprcenter.org/blog/2012/08/the-positive-side-of-video-games-part-iii/
Research: Engagement & Self-Efficacy

Engagement
Kuh et al. (2008): expanding upon NSSE outcomes
National Survey of College Engagement (NSSE)
High School Survey of Student Engagement (HSSSE)

Self-Efficacy
Robbins et al. (2004): metanalysis showing self-efficacy to be one of the strongest predictors of GPA and persistence.
Vuong, Brown-Welty, and Tracz (2010): self-efficacy beliefs impact GPA and persistence
Student Subgroups

Gender
- NSSE: Females more engaged than males
- Huang (2011): Self-efficacy varies based on subject

First Generation
- Pike & Kuh (2005): Less engaged than second gen
- Sanchez & Nichols (2007): Lower academic self-efficacy

Nonwhite Students
- NSSE: Reports indicate lower engagement and self-efficacy
Recommendations set forth by Pintrich and Linnebrink (2003):

1.) Help students maintain relatively high but accurate self-efficacy beliefs
2.) Provide students with challenging academic tasks that most students can reach with effort
3.) Foster the belief that competence or ability is a changeable, controllable aspect of development
4.) Promote students’ domain specific self-efficacy beliefs rather than global self-esteem
Our Program: University 101

- Three credit academic course
- General education requirement (LAC)
- Active learning + learning principles
- Coordinated curriculum + research intensive
- Intensive weekly trainings for all instructors, including 40 hour initial training session
Research Questions

1.) Are student FYS grades related to academic self-efficacy and student college engagement (controlling for high school engagement) after one semester?

2.) Are student FYS grades related to overall fall term GPA and persistence to the spring semester?

3.) Do stronger relationships in these variables exist for different student subgroups?

4.) Are changes between high school and college engagement after the first semester different for certain student subgroups?
Research Design

- 2014 UNC Freshmen: N=1910
- Univ101: N=398; Participants: N=208

Engaged Learning Index
- 10 items; 5-point Likert scale
- reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha$): college (.845); H.S. (.848)

College Academic Self-Efficacy Scale
- 6 items; 5-point Likert scale; reliability (.866)
### GENDER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIRSTGEN_IND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NONWHITE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.00 ELSE</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistics</td>
<td>HS_MEAN</td>
<td>COL_MEAN</td>
<td>SE_MEAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>208</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.1149</td>
<td>4.3827</td>
<td>4.692</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>.72099</td>
<td>.58076</td>
<td>.73150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-.625</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td>-.460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error of Skewness</td>
<td>.169</td>
<td>.169</td>
<td>.169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>.914</td>
<td>.470</td>
<td>.714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error of Kurtosis</td>
<td>.336</td>
<td>.336</td>
<td>.336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 1**: Are student FYS grades related to academic self-efficacy and students' college engagement after one semester?

- Self-efficacy \( p < .0001, \) and \( r^2 \) of .163, making about 16% of the variance in academic self-efficacy attributable to Univ101 final grades.

- College engagement \( p < .0001, \) and \( r^2 \) of .193, making about 20% of the variance in college engagement attributable to Univ101 final grades.
Question 2: Are student FYS grades related to overall fall term GPA and persistence to the spring semester?

- Spring credits (Univ101) \( \rightarrow \) \( p < .003; \) \( r\)-square = .043
  - 4% of variance attributable to Univ101 final grade.

- Spring credits (freshmen) \( \rightarrow \) \( p < .001; \) \( r\)-square = .026
  - More credits taken, on average, by Univ101 students.

- Among first year freshmen (\( N=1910 \)), those who receive either an A or B in Univ101 (\( N=299; \) mean=93%) are somewhat more likely to persist (\( N=1611; \) mean=87%; \( p = .004, r^2 = .014 \)).
(cont'd) Question 2: Are student FYS grades related to overall fall term GPA and persistence to the spring semester?

- Fall GPA (Univ101) \( \rightarrow \) \( p < .0001 \); \( r\)-square=.624
  - FYS final grade obviously impacts student GPA

- Fall GPA (freshmen) \( \rightarrow \) \( p < .0001 \); \( r\)-square=.204
  - Higher GPA's, on average, among Univ101 students
  - Normal curve of grades across all Univ101 courses
Question 3: Do stronger relationships in these variables exist for different student subgroups?

- No significant relationships among subgroups for college or high school engagement, gender, or first generation variables.
- Influence of Univ101?
- Academic self-efficacy among Non-white students → $p = .044$, and $r$-square of .020, making about 2% of the variance in self-efficacy scores attributable to being non-white.
Question 4: Are changes between high school & college engagement after the first semester different among student subgroups?

• Might Univ101 influence changes in engagement between high school and college differently across subgroups?

• MANOVA results for Univ101 (N=208): No significant variations across gender, first gen, or non-white students.

• Equalizing effect of Univ101? Further study required
How We Promote Engagement & Efficacy

- Active learning environment
- Group work and group discussion
- Research-intensive and insight-based projects
- One-on-one support and instruction
- High level of feedback on assignments
Recommendations set forth by Linnebrink and Pintrich (2003):

1.) Help students maintain relatively high but accurate self-efficacy beliefs
2.) Provide students with challenging academic tasks that most students can reach with effort
3.) Foster the belief that competence or ability is a changeable, controllable aspect of development
4.) Promote students’ domain specific self-efficacy beliefs rather than global self-esteem
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