Jordan Smith Undergraduate Student Fellowship Review Form

Scoring Guidelines:

***All rankings are on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest/best score*

- Involvement: Rank the student's campus involvement related to first-year students. Please consider breadth and depth of experiences.
- Student Benefit: Rank how the student plans to benefit from the conference experience and knowledge gained.
- Institutional Benefit: Rank how the student's undergraduate institution will benefit from his/her participation in the conference.
- Overall Score: Rank based on overall application quality.

Involvement

This category is an attempt to determine what benefit the student is currently providing for his/her institution.

On a scale of 1-10, a 10 is perhaps multi-year experiences with varying aspects that the student clearly and intelligibly details. A 1 is the student simply acknowledges they were a part of an experience, but fails to provide details of what he/she did and why it was important.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Rating	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Student Benefit

This category is for the student to explain how he/she has the potential to grow and develop as an individual by attending the conference.

Students in this category should demonstrate a desire to learn and enhance their capabilities. Answers should strive to include detailed needs of the student, areas they are hoping to improve, and areas of interest.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Rating	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Institutional Benefit

This category demonstrates the student's desire to enhance their institution’s commitment to first-year students.

Students should demonstrate what they hope to gain, how they plan to use this information to better their instruction, and how they can help first-year students on their own campus.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Rating	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Overall Score

This category assesses the overall quality of the application. By reviewing the students’ responses to the previous questions and the letter of support and resumes, reviewers subjectively determine the strength of the application as a whole.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Rating	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○