

JUDGING SCORE SHEET – MEDICAL SCHOLARS POSTER PRESENTATIONS

Poster Number: 000 – Morning/Afternoon

Scoring will be utilized to determine top presenters within each presentation category, where a 1st, 2nd, and 3rd place will be assigned based upon judging criteria shown below. Each criterion is worth 5 points, for a total possible score of 35 points. Circle the appropriate score for each criterion (see scoring rubric below). Add up the individual scores to create the total score.

JUDGING CRITERIA	SCORE
Abstract <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a clear statement of the project’s purpose or significance? • Are the investigative methods appropriately related to the project intent? • Is there a clear summary of the findings/results? 	1 2 3 4 5
Background/Motivation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the preliminary data/literature references provided adequate to justify the project? • Is there current attention to the topic? Is it timely and applicable? 	1 2 3 4 5
Purpose/Methods/Experience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How relevant are the conclusions in increasing the understanding of a disease process or in improving the diagnosis or treatment of a disease? • Is this project clinically relevant? • Is the design of the project appropriate for the questions posed? Are the proposed outcome measures appropriate for design and project rationale? • Is the project unique and unexpected? 	1 2 3 4 5
Discussion/Analysis/Findings/Reflection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How medically relevant/clinically relevant are the ideas presented? • Is the matter discussed/presented logically? • How clearly written and free of significant grammatical problems is the text? 	1 2 3 4 5
Poster Design and Organization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How effective is this poster visually? • How valuable is each figure or graph in furthering viewers’ understanding of the subject? 	1 2 3 4 5
Narration / Presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is it a clear and concise presentation of the topic? • Is the presenter comfortable and able to “tell the story”? • Is it clear where the gaps or follow-up would be? 	1 2 3 4 5
Response to Questions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How knowledgeable and conversant is the presenting author with the work presented in the poster? • How interesting is the manner of presentation? • Is the presenter able to clearly address any questions? (if any are asked) 	1 2 3 4 5
TOTAL SCORE	

Please refrain from providing constructive feedback during the formal presentation and review. However, please feel free to meet with presenter after formal judging and provide formative feedback.

Scoring rubric:

- 5 (Excellent) = extremely complete and accurate in every way
- 4 (Very Good) = very minor omissions in detail but not in content
- 3 (Good) = a small weakness found in content or format
- 2 (Fair) = an important weakness found in content or format
- 1 (Poor) = a major weakness found in content or format