The legal profession is not immune from the threat of a costly cyber incident. In fact, the FBI has issued warnings and held meetings with nearly all of the top law firms in New York about the risk of a data breach and theft of confidential and proprietary client information. Since at least 2009, the FBI, the U.S. Secret Service, and other law enforcement agencies have warned law firms that their computer files were targets for cyber criminals and thieves looking for valuable confidential and proprietary information, including corporate mergers, patent and trade secrets, litigation strategy, and more. In March of this year, newspapers confirmed that a Russian hacker named “Oleras” targeted 48 law firms, most of which were AmLaw 100 firms. Oleras planned to hack these firms to secure confidential and highly valuable insider information regarding mergers and acquisitions that the hacker could then use on the market.

In order to take a proactive approach to cybersecurity, it is crucial that law firms understand the type of data targeted by hackers, as well as both the legal and ethical responsibilities owed to their clients. If nothing else, from a business standpoint, many clients are now demanding that their law firms do more to protect their sensitive information to ensure they do not become ‘back doors’ for hackers. As the last installment of a four-part cyber series touching on various professional, business and insurance sectors, this article will discuss the cyber liability threat facing law firms, the ethical obligations of law firms and key security steps to implement to protect against a costly cyber incident. Additionally, in-house counsel should take a leading role in advising their client on these cybersecurity issues to help minimize the risk of litigation and fines.

ETHICAL OBLIGATIONS
Law firms have an ethical and professional duty to protect their clients’ information. Pursuant to the Rules of Professional Conduct, attorneys must take reasonable steps to protect their clients’ information. Namely, RPC 1.6(a) requires an attorney not reveal confidential information, and RPC 4.4(b) discusses an attorney’s duty to take reasonable steps in communicating with clients, as well as the duty to respect the privilege of others. Additionally, ABA Rule 1.1, Comment 8, makes clear that there is an ethical obligation related to competent representation that requires counsel to stay current on the risks posed by technology and take reasonable action to protect against those risks.

CYBERSECURITY LIABILITY
Besides the cost of remediation and reputational damage caused by a cyberattack, class action lawsuits alleging malpractice are starting to be filed against law firms for “lax” cybersecurity protections. Specifically, a complaint filed by the plaintiffs’ class action law firm Edelson PC alleges that a Chicago-based regional law firm failed to maintain robust data security practices to effectively safeguard sensitive client data. Moreover, the complaint alleges that the unidentified law firm suffered from a “number of significant data security vulnerabilities,” which resulted in “anyone with nefarious intent” – even if they were not a sophisticated hacker – likely being able to gain access to a “whole host of sensitive client data,” including the law firm’s line-item billing records and possibly email contents. Through the case, the plaintiffs’ firm and its clients are seeking injunctive relief and damages, based on the theory that the unidentified regional law firm’s clients have been overpaying for legal services because they have been paying, in part, to keep their data secure, and the law firm has failed to do so.

Aside from a claim for attorney malpractice, various state and federal regulatory agencies have taken the forefront in prosecuting claims against businesses that fail to have proper policies and procedures in place. For example, should general protected health information (PHI) be stolen, this would implicate the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH). Although
one may question how this requirement applies to law firms, as defined under HITECH, ‘business associates’ expressly include entities providing legal services to HIPAA-covered entities.

Another regulatory body enforcing cybersecurity compliance is the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). On Aug. 24, 2015, the Third Circuit affirmed the District Court of New Jersey’s ruling confirming the FTC’s authority to investigate and prosecute breaches of consumers’ privacy by businesses failing to maintain appropriate data security standards. While there have been no instances reported to date involving the FTC prosecuting a law firm for cybersecurity issues, a law firm should be prepared to face scrutiny from the FTC, as the number and scope of enforcement actions involving cybersecurity continues to increase.

**STEPS TO INCREASE CYBERSECURITY**

Many law firms are now taking steps to increase data security and ensure that proper policies and procedures are in place to protect against a cyberattack. First and foremost, preparation is vital to preventing any sort of attack. According to a study performed by Infinite Spada and ALM Legal Intelligence, nearly 30% of law firms surveyed stated that they have not performed a formal information, privacy, and security assessment. Thus, law firms should create a cross-organizational incident response team (IR Team), which includes not only management, but human resources, procurement, finance, internal and external cybersecurity counsel, and information technology (IT) to perform a cybersecurity risk assessment and remediation analysis. From there, the IR Team should implement risk management and an incident response plan in order to prepare for a cyberattack. Moreover, many law firms are now appointing a legal chief technology or privacy officer to management to oversee the firm’s data security and privacy, as well as technology infrastructure, to ensure the policies and procedures are consistent with the security plan and technology.

Once an IR Team has been established, policies and procedures should be implemented regarding the privacy and security of the firm’s data, keeping in mind the applicable industry standards. The proper use of encryption, remote access, mobile devices, laptops, email accounts, and social networking sites should all be covered. In addition, a law firm should conduct an inventory of the firm’s hardware and software systems and data, to assign ownership and categorization of risk. (The higher the sensitivity of the information, the stronger the security protections and access control must be.) Furthermore, the IT department should conduct third-party vulnerability scans, penetration tests, and malware scans to protect against potential data breaches.

Most importantly, after setting the tone from the top, law firms must develop and facilitate training and testing exercises, including mock sessions so that staff is aware of the company’s security protocol and measures are taken to protect against the potential for accidentally exposing a client’s personal identifiable or protected health information with the click of a button. Creating strong and unique passwords to protect against unauthorized access to computers and mobile devices in conjunction with a password management utility should also be a critical part of information security training.

Unfortunately, in the evolving technological world even the best security can be penetrated by sophisticated hackers from around the world. Attacks are expected to escalate and intensify, with law firms topping the target list. Thus, besides having policies and procedures and training in place to prevent a data breach, it is critical that a law firm be prepared to act quickly in the event a breach is detected.

Once a potential data breach has been identified, a law firm must act quickly and without unreasonable delay to identify the scope and type of information exposed, confer with internal and external experts to ensure control and containment of the incident, and preserve relevant evidence while also preserving the attorney-client privilege. Finally, remedial action must be taken to correct the cause of the incident.

**CYBER INCIDENTS AND THE ROLE OF IN-HOUSE COUNSEL**

A company’s board of directors has a duty to oversee all aspects of the company’s risk management efforts. This includes a duty to recognize and minimize the company’s exposure to cyberattacks. In today’s increasingly digital age, a company faces a variety of threats to its data, including confidential company information and sensitive customer information, from rogue employees to third-party hackers. Such attacks not only put valuable information at risk, but can also adversely affect a company’s competitive positioning, stock price, good will, and shareholder value. Given the role the legal department should already play in advising and directing a company’s efforts with regard to protecting its data and responding to a cyber incident, in-house counsel are in the best position to also help facilitate the board’s oversight obligations.

**CONCLUSION**

According to most cyber experts, it is not a matter of if, but when. These warnings should be a wake-up call for law firm management – and companies the world over – to protect the enterprise’s highly confidential crown jewels. Firms and businesses must be prepared for a cyber incident or face not only the costly operational, reputational, legal and regulatory ramifications that follow but also the loss of valuable clients. Moreover, in-house counsel must be prepared to guide a company in implementing a cybersecurity program, or face potential exposure.
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