Meeting Minutes from the 04.24.2018 and 06.26.2018 meeting.
A. A motion was made to approve minutes from the 04.24.2018 and 06.26.2018 PEAS Committee meetings. The motion was seconded and all were in favor.

II. Electing a New Chair for PEAS Committee – Dr. Richard Hodinka
A. Dr. Hodinka tabled the discussion to choose a new PEAS Subcommittee Chair for now to ask committee members about their availability to take over the chairmanship.

III. BSPH Content and Assignments for IPM1 – Dr. Ann Blair Kennedy
A. Dr. Kennedy presented her STEP study materials research project.
   1. This project went through M1 Subcommittee, where 2 changes were proposed: adding a peer review (5 pts for recipient), and correct content requirement (30 pts).
B. Concerns expressed during PEAS meeting:
   1. Dr. Hodinka suggested that there be focused topics for this project based on STEP 1 so that needed topics are covered.
   2. Dr. Kennedy added that leaving the topic choice open for the students the first year to see what students choose, could help direct the curriculum the next year.
   3. Jim Sullivan, the 3rd year student representative, added that an augmented reality component would be interesting to students.
C. A motion was made to approve. The motion was seconded and all were in favor.

IV. MBB Module Change – Dr. Matt Tucker
A. Dr. Tucker presented the proposed to assessment schemes change now requiring that all students need to pass the summative exam.
B. A motion was made to approve the change as written. The motion was seconded and all were in favor.
C. A motion was made to approve the module with date changes to syllabus. The motion was seconded and all were in favor.

V. Program Level Objective Review – Dr. April Buchanan, Dr. Walker
   A. Drs. Buchanan and Walker discussed that mapping is underway for anticipated learning outcomes to those currently being used. What is needed to help this process is for a couple of PEAS Committee members to work with Curriculum to look over PLOs.
      1. Faculty need to look at PLOs to make sure PLOs are not actually learning outcomes. Compare current PLO and physician core reference set--content experts need to review and those who deliver the content.
      2. Key considerations for PEAS Members: Do we have the right learning objectives? Did we lose anything when we made the new set?
      3. Final approval needs to be in place by the end of the year.
      4. No vote was necessary for this agenda item at this time.

Meeting adjourned at 12:55.