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Target Audience

The primary audience for our course is MBA students. Our pedagogical material is also used (with minimal adaptation) in executive education. The capstone portion of the course, called the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) Innovation Bootcamp, has been well received by practitioners, undergraduates, and MBAs alike. In particular, UNLEASH, an innovation lab with a global set of participants aged 20 to 35, is entirely based on the SDG Bootcamp material. Over the last five years, more than 2000 students and participants from dozens of countries have been exposed to our pedagogical material either at INSEAD or during UNLEASH.

Overview of Pedagogical Material

The course is delivered through three distinct pedagogical approaches that differ in the nature of student cognitive engagement and level of learning objectives.

1. **Case Studies:** The course includes twelve cases, nine of which were written by the authors of this submission. These cases provide a platform for collective discussion and analysis by introducing fundamental business and science-based sustainability concepts and their application to a wide variety of social and environmental challenges. This serves two purposes. First, it offers examples of innovative business models designed to generate profits while also addressing global sustainability challenges. Second, it provides students with a toolkit of managerial frameworks with which they can analyze, evaluate, improve, and create their own innovative business models designed to align profits and societal impact.

2. **Blog post discussions:** Students write three blog posts that explore and extend the business and sustainability concepts examined in the case study sessions. The first blog post students create focuses on the positive and negative social implications of a digital transformation of their choice. The second addresses the challenges and opportunities that Climate Change presents to an industry of their interest. In the final blog post, students pinpoint the most central UN Sustainable Development Goals for an industry of their choice. They then propose or identify an innovative business model that addresses the SDG goals that they identified as particularly relevant for the sector. Examples of blog posts created by students can be found at [http://insead.edublogs.org](http://insead.edublogs.org).

3. **SDG Innovation Bootcamp:** The capstone portion of the course is a new, immersive learning experience which provides students a platform to apply the business and sustainability concepts learned previously in the course. Teams of students complete self-directed activities to frame a sustainable development problem, ideate solutions, and prototype their chosen solution. The SDG Innovation Bootcamp lets students progress at their own pace, applying the tools they deem most relevant for them. Additionally, the bootcamp helps students learn how to frame a problem and obtain unique, actionable insights - critical first step in innovating solutions address the world’s broad and complex sustainability challenges. The final take-away from the bootcamp, a toolkit of activities, ensures that the students can continue to develop their ideas and apply the learned processes in their future business and sustainability endeavors.
These three types of materials—cases, blog posts, and the bootcamp—support distinct pedagogical approaches which are intended to build upon one another to progressively advance students’ level of learning in the course. In alignment with pedagogical theories such as Bloom’s Taxonomy and Higher Order Thinking Skills, each module of the course begins with the application and analysis of core concepts (through cases), then advances to the synthesis of those concepts through student-driven proposals and evaluation of real-world innovative business models (the blog posts), and then culminates with the creation of sustainability solutions through the SDG Innovation Bootcamp capstone.

Together, our material creates a new pedagogical experience for students that differs from traditional classroom and case-based approaches in two important ways. First, the material engages students as active learners and future agents of change, continuously encouraging students to connect the concepts from class to their own experience and interests. Second, through the SDG Innovation Bootcamp, students have the opportunity to apply and, ultimately, create innovative business models and solutions for global sustainability problems.

We also provide extensive teaching material for instructors in the appendices of this proposal. This includes slides, teaching plans, recordings of sessions, versions of all unpublished case studies, and detailed activity descriptions. While all of this material is intended to provide a self-contained course for faculty elsewhere to adopt, we also provide our contact information to encourage interested instructors to reach out for additional content if they so desire.

**Pedagogical Objectives**

The goal of this course is to equip managers with tools and frameworks that will allow them to analyze, evaluate, improve, and create innovative business models that are profitable and generate positive environmental and social impact. Ultimately, our objective is for students to use the course's tools to, in the short-term, improve the profitability and sustainability of the organizations that they work in and, in the long-term, design and manage economic, social, and environmental systems that are sustainable. Hence, our course takes a modern approach to sustainability and views economic, social, and environmental systems as being intertwined.

We recognize that, in order to achieve global sustainability goals, our students must assume the role of agents of change and become key players in the transformation of current unsustainable economic systems. We understand that assuming this role is not an easy task for MBA students that are under pressure to find jobs and often straddled with debt. Thus, we take a "flipped" approach. Instead of simply exposing students to sustainability and business cases and concepts, we provide students various opportunities to reflect on their role in society and to identify and analyze sustainability problems that are meaningful to them and relevant to their expertise. Beyond case studies, the pedagogical tools that we use include reflection and self-assessment questions, as well as blog posts that students write, share, and comment on. However, the most innovative pedagogical tool in our course is the "capstone" UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) Bootcamp which arms students with a problem-solving design
methodology and toolkit that leverages their personal motivations and can be applied to future challenges at the intersection of business and social and environmental sustainability.

Our course highlights three key management competencies through a sustainability lens: (i) problem framing and design thinking, (ii) risk and cost analysis and management, and (iii) value chain management and self-regulation. The case-based component of the course addresses these three competencies through twelve case studies (nine of which are authored by the course designers) that analyze how businesses can push the "Pareto frontier" of profitability and sustainability. The cases that address competency (i) focus on social impact in both developed and developing countries, and introduce concepts such as the base of the pyramid, poverty traps, food deserts, and education access. For competency (ii), our cases examine topics such as life-cycle analysis, circular economy, carbon emissions, green technology, and climate change. The cases related to competency (iii) blend social and environmental aspects of sustainability and analyze industry self-regulation, supplier compliance and child labor, social and environmental implications of new technology (Blockchain and Vertical Farms), and sustainable value-chain transformation.

In order to promote higher levels of learning, each of the three competency-based modules concludes with a session where students write, post online, and present an essay related to management, profitability, and sustainability. These blog posts are available publicly online through the course's blog. In order to keep the blog posts science-based, in the class(es) before each blog post session, the instructor introduces the topic in class, covers the economic and scientific foundation for the blog posts, and offers ample scientific material (such as the IPCC reports and development economic articles) to students.

In their first blog post, students write on the social implications and business opportunities related to technology disruption (sample 1 and sample 2). The second blog post focuses on the business challenges and opportunities related to climate change (sample 1 and sample 2). In the final blog post, students apply the concepts discussed in class to either propose or examine in detail an innovative business model that is profitable and sustainable (sample 1 and sample 2). An important component of the blog posts is that students must comment on each other's posts and also present them in class. We have found that this leads to high-quality posts, a significant amount of peer learning, and increases the number of examples available in the course by an order of magnitude.

The capstone portion of the class is the SDG Innovation Bootcamp: an immersive learning experience which provides students a platform to apply what they learned in the course. Through the self-directed, gamified format of the SDG Bootcamp, students learn a clear process and toolkit – which we call the SDG Innovation Process – for developing scalable solutions that positively impact people, planet, and prosperity. Students work together in small teams in an intense environment and learn through experiential education – by actually defining and solving societal challenges themselves with the guidance of professors.
The SDG Innovation Bootcamp has three unique features relative to traditional pedagogical methods: (i) it focuses on letting students drive their own innovation journey, (ii) it is adaptable to each student’s unique needs and personal motivations through a self-paced, tailorable curriculum, and (iii) it provides a framework that is applicable to future sustainability innovations and problem solving. Additionally, unlike most innovation-focused courses that start with a defined problem or a specific client, the SDG Innovation Bootcamp prepares students to work in the broad field of sustainability where problems can be poorly or incorrectly defined, allowing them to tackle the critical first step of framing a problem prior to developing a solution. This focus on problem framing, similar to the reflection during the case studies and blog posts, ensures that students connect course concepts to their own experiences. Beyond INSEAD, the curriculum of this Bootcamp is used in corporate training and is the basis for the UNLEASH Innovation Lab for 1000 participants.

At the end of the course, teams pitch their solutions to course instructors, course participants, and external evaluators. The Innovation Process has the following five phases:

1. **Problem Framing**: Exploring insights and frictions in global challenges and framing a problem based on actionable insights.
2. **Ideation**: Conceptualizing solutions that address the problem framing, meet the needs of the users, and fit into the needed role in the ecosystem.
3. **Prototyping**: Creating quick physical representations of the idea with minimal effort so the idea can then be presented to potential users and stakeholders for feedback.
4. **Testing**: Having users and stakeholders experience the solution in order to gather feedback, answer questions, and test assumptions. This leads to learning, adapting and iterating to refine the solution.
5. **Implementing**: Taking the steps needed to go to market or launch the solution, thus creating positive social and environmental impact.

The capstone portion of our course focuses on the first three phases – Problem Framing, Ideation, and Prototyping. Teams may choose to advance to the fourth and fifth phases through independent studies and projects mentored by faculty.

A summary of course material is presented in Table 1, with complete references for the focal cases presented in the section that follows. The material for the course is modular: instructors might use only the case based components, the blog posts, the Bootcamp, or all of the material. Furthermore, much of our material directly translates to an executive or corporate setting. For example, the Risk and Cost Analysis/Management portion of the course can be used for a day of executive education.
Table 1: Course Material Organized by Module and Level of Learning Outcomes

(referece list is in the next page)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aligning Profit and Impact Through Business Model Innovation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Problem Framing and Design Thinking</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze / Apply (case-based pedagogy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmon et al. 2017 (Essmart)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake et al. 2014a (Whole Foods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake et al. 2016 (Ekal Vidyalaya)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk and Cost Analysis / Management</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmon et. al. 2019 (Emma Shoes) and Lee et.al. 2009 (Herman-Miller)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake et al. 2014b (HeidelbergCement)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmon 2018 (Better Place Game)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value Chain Management and Self-regulation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett et.al. 2006 (Ikea) and Calmon et. al. 2018a (SAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownworth 2018 (Blockchain) and Calmon et. al. 2018b (Vertical Farms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drake et al. 2015 (Unilever)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthesize (blog posts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Impact through Digital Transformation Blog Posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change Challenges and Opportunities Blog Posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Model Innovation and the SDGs Blog Posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create (“bootcamp”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capstone: SDG Innovation Bootcamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenson 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:  
Red text = Social impact;  
Green text = Environmental impact;  
Purple text = Both social and environmental impact
List of Focal Cases


Calmon, A.P. 2018, "Better Place Game". INSEAD Case (open version in Appendix 8)


Calmon, A.P., Koury, W., Yücesan, E. 2018b. "Vertical Farms", INSEAD Case (open version in Appendix 11)


Overview of Course Structure

The following table outlines the session and module structure of the course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Module</th>
<th>Session name</th>
<th>Teaching resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Problem framing and Design</td>
<td>Introduction: Business Model Innovation for Social and Environmental</td>
<td>A.1 Video and slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thinking</td>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focal reading: Yunus et. al. 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Designing Contracts and</td>
<td>Designing Contracts and Managing Risk</td>
<td>A.2 Case study, videos, and slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managing Risk in the Base of</td>
<td>in the Base of the Pyramid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Pyramid</td>
<td>Focal Reading: Essmart Case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Eliminating Food Deserts</td>
<td>Eliminating Food Deserts Through</td>
<td>A.3 Teaching plan, doc cam materials,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Through Business Model</td>
<td>Business Model Innovation</td>
<td>and closing slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Focal Reading: Whole Foods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Expanding Education Access</td>
<td>Expanding Education Access at the Base</td>
<td>A.4 Teaching plan and closing slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>at the Base of the Pyramid</td>
<td>Focal Reading: Ekal Vidyalaya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reading: See session Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Risk and Cost Analysis /</td>
<td>Cradle to Cradle Design and Circular</td>
<td>A.6 Case study, videos, and slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Economy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focal Reading: Emma Shoes/Herman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Risk and Cost Analysis /</td>
<td>Climate Policy Scenario Planning and</td>
<td>A.7 Teaching plan, free money game,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>doc cam materials, and closing slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focal Reading: HeidelbergCement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Challenges of Disseminating</td>
<td>Challenges of Disseminating new Green Technologies</td>
<td>A.8 Game description and debrief slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>new Green Technologies</td>
<td>Focal Activity: Better Place Role Playing Game</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|   | Synthesis: Blog Session 2 | Climate Change Blog Posts  
Focal Reading: IPCC AR5 (and related material described in the session) | A.9 Sample posts, slides  
| 9 | 10 | Value Chain Management and Self-regulation | Self-regulation: Ikea and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition  
Focal Reading: SAC case | A.10 Link to teaching note, video, and slides  
|   |   |   | Technology as a driver of innovative business models: Blockchain and Vertical Farms - boom or buzz?  
Focal video: Anders Blockchain Demo | A.11 Open case, slides  
| 11 |   |   | Eliminating food waste: The evolution of value chains into ecosystems  
Focal Reading: Unilever | A.12 Teaching plan, doc cam materials, and closing slides  
| 12 |   | Synthesis: Blog Session 3 | Business Model Innovation and the SDGs Blog Post | A.13 Sample posts, slides  
| 13 |   |   | The SDG Innovation Process and format of the SDG Bootcamp | A.14 Overview of SDG Innovation Process and activity cards  
| 14 |   |   | Leveraging Actionable Insights to Frame a Global Challenge | A.15 Problem framing activity cards  
| 15-16 | Capstone: SDG Innovation Bootcamp |   | Ideation and Selection Based on Feasibility and Impact | A.16 Ideation activity cards  
| 17-18 |   |   | Feedback Through Enabling Users to Experience the Solution | A.17 Prototyping activity cards  
| 19-20 |   |   | Pitches and Preparing for Implementation |   
| 21-22 |   |   |   |   |
Session Details
Session 1: Business Model Innovation for Social and Environmental Sustainability

**Description:** In this introductory session, we revisit fundamental concepts of operations management and business model innovation through the lens of sustainability. We examine three business models that align profits and positive social and environmental impact: Grameen Phone, Grameen Danone, and Zeta Design+Build. We also discuss the definition of social businesses and the "myth" that profit and sustainability are incompatible. We end the session with a discussion of planetary boundaries and outline the rest of the course.

**Learning Objectives:** By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Describe planetary boundaries and the UN Sustainable Development Goals;
- Critique the definition of social business defined in Yunus et.al. 2010;
- Examine how operations management tools such as postponement, modularity, and pooling can be used to design innovative business models to achieve sustainability goals;
- Articulate (are at least start to reflect on) why sustainability is important for managers and business leaders.


**Assignment:** Please submit answers to the following questions before class:

1) Are social and environmental sustainability issues an important concern for you personally? Why is this important or not important for you?
2) How might these issues affect your professional life in business or an organization (positive and/or negatively)?
3) How might these issues impact your decision-making as a future leader?
4) If these are important issues for you, what personal actions could you take to achieve a positive sustainable outcome? If these issues are not important for you, how might you manage social expectations on these matters when you are a leader?
5) Do you agree with the definition of social business in “Building social business models: lessons from the Grameen experience”? Why? Why not?

**Optional Readings and activities (for before or after class):**

- [UN Sustainable Development Goals](#) and the *Better Business, Better World* report.

**Teaching Resources:** The resources available in Appendix 1 are:

- Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2018
- [A link to a recording of Andre Calmon teaching a version of this session](#) in 2016.
Session 2: Designing Contracts and Managing Risk in the Base of the Pyramid

Description: We examine the business model and operational challenges faced by Essmart, a social enterprise that distributes life-improving goods to Base of the Pyramid (BoP) consumers using an innovative business model. Essmart's case serves as a basis to discuss poverty traps, risk management in developing countries, and the use of technology to achieve social and environmental goals.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Describe what is a poverty trap and relate it to market entry strategies in the BoP
- Analyze the risks and costs faced by different players in Essmart's value chain and how this leads to supply not reaching demand
- Assess how Essmart is managing these risks and costs and identify growth barriers
- Examine how marketing and after-sales service can be used to manage risk and act as strategic substitutes.
- (Optional. Can be revisited in Session 6) Identify the challenges of managing a circular value chain and design KPI's that align short-term and long-term goals of circular systems.


Assignment: The assignment is available in Appendix 2. The last question in the assignment is quantitative and can be used before or after session 6 instead.

Optional Readings and activities (for before or after class):

- Play the game Spent.

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 2 are:

- A copy of the required reading and assignment;
- Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2018;
- A link to a recording of Andre Calmon teaching this session in 2016.
Session 3: Eliminating Food Deserts Through Business Model Innovation

Description: We analyze the growth targets set by Whole Foods, which necessitated expansion into new and diverse markets. As part of this strategy, Whole Foods committed to entering regions known as "food deserts"—areas, often defined in part by poverty, with limited access to affordable and nutritious food.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Identify and analyze key challenges in selecting new markets for service locations,
- Describe the issue of food deserts and examine strategies to alleviate them,
- Analyze cost & strategic implications of incremental innovations to a business model, with a focus on entering low-income markets.

Required Reading:

Assignments: Please consider the following questions as you prepare:
1) What is your assessment of Whole Foods’ growth strategy and, particularly, their goal of 1,000 stores?
2) How should Lannon evaluate locations for potential Whole Foods stores? What should his criteria be? Why?
3) Is Whole Foods well-positioned to tackle the issue of food deserts? If so, why? If not, why not?
4) As Whole Foods proceeds with their plans to locate stores in food deserts, what do you expect their principle challenges will be? How would you address those challenges?

Additional Reading (for before class)

Optional Reading (for after class)
- Lempert, P. “365 by Whole Foods: 7 days after opening, there’s a lot to question”. June 2, 2016. Forbes.

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 3 are:
- Teaching plan
- Document camera materials
- Closing slides
Session 4: Expanding Education Access at the Base of the Pyramid

Description: In 1986, a group of social entrepreneurs reimagined education in India, developing a low-cost, "one-teacher school" model to provide educational access in regions that had proven cost prohibitive for government schools. More than a quarter century later, in 2014, the Ekal network included over 54,000 schools. However, with the emergence of India as a burgeoning economic power, government schools had received the mandate and funds to extend their reach to many of the regions that Ekal serves.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Conduct a needs assessment, identify operating priorities given those needs, and then develop the foundations for an operating strategy that delivers on those priorities.
- Analyze an operating model to assess not only how well it is aligned with its value proposition, but to also assess the challenges to future growth embedded in its design.
- Contrast the development of a new business model to address a social need to the adaptation of an existing model (drawing on Whole Foods from the preceding session).

Required Reading:

Assignments: Please consider the following questions as you prepare:

1) Consider rural life in India as described in the case. How are the education needs in this setting distinct from those in more developed regions? What factors contributed to government schools’ failure to serve these rural areas?

2) Considering the needs identified above and the failure points of government schools. What should be the operating priorities of a system of schools designed for rural India? How does Ekal Vidyalaya deliver or fail to deliver on those priorities?

3) What are Ekal’s most significant constraints to growth? With these in mind, if you were Shyamji Gupta, what would be your plan for Ekal going forward? Why?

4) Imagine the Poplis tackling Whole Foods’ food desert challenge. How would they have approached the issue; what would a Popli-style solution have been for urban food deserts in the US?

Further, Optional Reading (outside class)
- Link to related website: https://www.pooreconomics.com/about-book

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 4 are:

- Teaching plan
Session 5: Social Impact of Digital Transformation Blog Posts

Description: The goal of this session is to promote peer learning and a discussion on the positive and negative social implications of technology. The exercise gives students an opportunity to "synthesize" the learnings of the first module of the course. Students should post their write-ups to the class blog (ideally) 24 hours before the session, should prepare a 5-minute presentation, and they should comment on at least three of their peer's posts. The blog post can be done individually or as a group (we have found that group work leads to higher-quality work). Instructors are encouraged to also discuss a sustainability topic usually not covered by blog posts, such as the role of technology in promoting gender equality.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Describe a few technologies and examine how they are enabling innovative sustainable business models;
- Analyze in detail one specific technology and its impact on a value chain or business;

Required Reading: Read and comment on at least three other blog posts.

Assignment: Please read the instructions below and post your write-up to the class blog 24 hours before the start of the session (up to 1000 words):
Choose a value chain that you are familiar with whose business models are being transformed by new digital technology (Cloud, IoT, Blockchain, Servicization, Digital Marketplaces, etc). Describe the technology and a new business model that is emerging in this value chain, how it leverages new technology and its effects on various stakeholders in this value chain. Analyze how this new technology impacts the short-term and long-term social and/or environmental performance of this value chain.
- Note 1: Feel free to focus on a whole supply chain or industry or on just a single company.
- Note 2: Read and comment on at least 3 other posts before class. Some possible comments are: How does this case compare/contrast with the case in your post? What did you find interesting in the post? What did you learn? What are your concerns? Any additional info? Be ready to discuss in class.

Optional readings that might be useful for the exercise: Potentially usefull resources can be found in our crowdsourced course reading list. If instructors wish to discuss gender equality and technology, a recent interview with the head of UN Women could be useful, as well as the example of the Telephone Ladies from Session 1 and the references on microfinance.

Teaching Resources:
- The INSEAD class blog can be found here: http://insead.edublogs.org/
- Instructions on how to post on the class Edublogs blog is located in Appendix 5
- Here are a few sample posts from previous years: sample 1, sample 2, sample 3.
Session 6: Cradle to Cradle Design and Circular Economy

Description: We introduce environmental sustainability concepts such as Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA), Cradle-to-Cradle (C2C) and Circular Economy. We examine and contrast the challenges of implementing a "circular" initiative in two different companies: Herman Miller and Emma Shoes. We also discuss how to design sustainability metrics and analyze innovative "circular" business models. (This session can also be used in a half-day of executive education.)

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

● Describe what is LCA and some of the limitations of environmental performance metrics;
● Contrast LCA and C2C and examine the role of systems-thinking in designing environmental KPIs;
● Evaluate the challenges of implementing a circular initiative in a company. In particular, the students examine the challenge of reverse logistics, changing organizational behavior, and supplier relationship;
● Analyze how a circular economy required new business models and how this creates challenges for incumbents.

Required Reading: There are two case readings for this session (instructors can also just assign one and use the other in class):


Assignment: Please submit answers to the following questions before class:

1) Should Herman Miller (HM) use PVC or TPU in the Mirra Chair arm pad? (ii) What is your assessment of how HM implemented the C2C protocol? (iii) Why did HM undertake this strategic environmental initiative?
2) Should Emma launch the "circular shoe"? What are some additional challenges that they face compared to HM? What additional information would you need to decide on launching the circular shoe?

Optional readings (for before or after class):


Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 6 are:

● An open and abridged version of the Emma Shoes case (for a full version contact andre.calmon@insead.edu)
● Link to a recording of Andre Calmon teaching a version of this session in 2016
● Slides for this session
Session 7: Climate Policy Scenario Planning and Analysis

**Description:** We analyze what had once been a straight-forward capacity replacement decision, which is now made far more complex and riskier due to uncertainties related to emissions regulation. The decision provides the scaffolding to introduce emissions regulation policy as a means to combat climate change, develop a scenario planning framework, and discuss how optionality can help mitigate risk.

**Learning Objectives:** By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Describe emissions regulations, its goals, potential adverse effects, and how it can impact firms’ decision-making.
- Apply scenario analysis frameworks to analyze decisions with highly uncertain outcomes, identify potential futures through the framework, and determine best option in each future.
- Identify and describe real options and their value drivers, and distinguish a valuable option to postpone a decision from simply deferring a difficult decision.

**Required Reading:**

**Assignments:** Please consider the following questions as you prepare:
1) Assuming that postponing the decision was not an option, how should von Achten replace the expiring kiln in Kunda? Why? How does the possibility of postponing the decision change your recommendation, if at all?

2) HeidelbergCement faces a considerably more uncertain world today than they did in the past. Which of the uncertainties facing HeidelergCement are you most concerned about? How would you recommend they address these uncertainties and concerns?

3) What are the environmental implications of each of HeidelbergCement’s available options and, in particular, the option you recommend?

**Optional Reading (for after class)**

**Teaching Resources:** The resources available in Appendix 7 are:
- Description of Kiln Decision spreadsheet (for spreadsheet, email: dfdrake@colorado.edu)
- Teaching plan
- Free money game (1-slide)
- Document camera materials
- Closing slides
Session 8: Challenges of Disseminating New Green Technologies

Description: Students play a role-playing game that highlights the challenges of disseminating new green technologies. The game is centered around Better Place, an electric vehicle company, entering a new (fictional) market. The game and debrief examine the difficulty of aligning the incentives of the multiple stakeholders (government, investors, incumbent, and Better Place) needed for green technology introduction. We also analyze the interplay between business model innovation and new sustainable technologies.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Describe and analyze the role of the government, investors, incumbents, and startups in introducing green technology.
- Examine how consumer “range anxiety” affects the design and viability of business models centered around electric vehicles;
- Evaluate the mistakes made by Better Place’s leadership in the design and scaling of their business model.

Required Reading: There are no readings for this session. However, students are required to view two videos:

- The video "Electric Dreams" by David Brill and aired on SBS Dateline in 2011;
- Shai Agassi’s TED Talk from 2009.

Assignment: Read your assigned role before class. All role descriptions are available in Appendix 8.

Optional readings for after class:

- Chafkin, M. (2014). A broken place: the spectacular failure of the startup that was going to change the world. Fast Company.

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 8 are:

- A recording of Andre Calmon teaching the introduction to the game in 2016.
- A description of the game and each player’s role;
- Game debrief slides.
Session 9: Climate Change Blog Posts

Description: The goal of this session is to promote peer learning and discussion on the impact of Climate Change on business and society. The class readings provide a science-based overview of Climate Change, and students then write on potential negative and positive implications for an industry they have experience or are interested. The blog post gives students an opportunity to "synthesize" the learnings of the second module of the course. Students post their write-ups to the class blog (ideally) 24 hours before the session, prepare a 5-minute presentation, and comment on at least three of their peer's posts. The blog post can be done individually or as a group. Instructors are encouraged to discuss Climate Change as a "wicked problem" and recap game-theoretic concepts such as the tragedy of the commons.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

● Apply the tools covered in the course to examine the impact of Climate Change in an industry or company of their interest, and describe the consequences of climate change in at least two other industries;
● Analyze Climate Change as a "wicked problem" and evaluate the role of business in addressing this challenge;

Required Reading: Read and comment on at least three other blog posts.

Assignment: Please read the instructions below and post your write-up to the class blog 24 hours before the start of the session (up to 1000 words):
Choose a company that you are familiar with or are interested in and examine how the company’s business model will be affected (positively or negatively) by Climate Change. Describe how the company's business model will be affected, the steps the company is taking to mitigate these effects, and describe and justify what additional steps you think the organization should consider implementing.

- Note: Read and comment on at least 3 other posts before class. Some possible comments are: How does this case compare/contrast with the case in your post? What did you find interesting in the post? What did you learn? What are your concerns? Any additional info? Be ready to discuss in class.

Optional readings that might be useful for the exercise: Potentially useful resources can be found in our crowdsourced course reading list. For basic science, two valuable resources are:

● The synthesis report of the IPCC AR5;
● NASA’s website on Climate Change.

Teaching Resources:

● The INSEAD class blog can be found here: http://insead.edublogs.org/. This exercise was inspired by a similar exercise at HBS.
● Here are a few sample posts from previous years: sample 1, sample 2, sample 3
● Debrief slides are available in Appendix 9
Session 10: Self-Regulation: IKEA and the Sustainable Apparel Coalition

Description: Nearly all industries have at least one self-regulation organization. In recent years, companies have also increasingly attempted to self-regulate and enforce standards in global value chains, in lieu of government regulation. In this session, we draw concepts from public policy, lean manufacturing, and operations strategy to examine two cases: (i) how IKEA dealt with child labor issues in its supply chain and (ii) how the Sustainable Apparel Coalition (SAC) is attempting to improve social and environmental standards in the apparel industry. We use these cases to discuss and analyze different mechanisms and processes a firm can use to enforce social and environmental standards in its value chain.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Describe pros and cons of different mechanisms for ensuring supplier compliance;
- Analyze the role of processes related passive inspection ("fire alarms"), active inspection ("police patrol"), and contracts and incentives ("fire extinguishers") to address social and environmental issues in supply chains;
- Discuss the implications of "firing" a supplier that commits a labor or social violation;
- Assess the strategic role of self-regulating organizations (such as the SAC) in various industries;
- Identify the relevance of lean startup concepts to scaling self-regulating initiatives, and critique the SAC's growth strategy.

Required Reading and viewing: Before class, students should view a segment from John Oliver's Last Week Tonight on the apparel industry and read:


Assignment: Please consider the following question as you prepare:

1) Why has the western apparel industry not been able to eliminate child labor from their value chains?
2) Do you think the SAC will be successful in creating "an apparel, footwear, and home textiles industry that produces no unnecessary environmental harm and has a positive impact on the people and communities associated with its activities"?

Teaching Resources: A teaching note for the SAC case is available on Case Centre. The resources available in Appendix 10 are:

- Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2017
- A recording of Andre Calmon teaching this session in 2016.
Session 11: Technology as a Driver of Innovative Business Models: Blockchain and Vertical Farms - Boom or Buzz?

Note: This session is usually reserved for a guest speaker working on vertical farms or on the applications of Blockchain (or some other tech) to achieve both social and business objectives. However, over the years enough pedagogical material was developed for a standalone session on these topics.

Description: We take a deep dive into the social and environmental implications of two technologies: Blockchain and Vertical Farms. In the first part of the session we use Blockchain as a basis to examine the value of traceability in supply chains. In the second part we use Vertical Farms as a basis to analyze some of the main challenges in managing agricultural supply chains and the implications of Climate Change on global food supply chains. This session illustrates how technology can enable innovative business models that align profits and impact.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Describe how Bitcoin's Blockchain works;
- Evaluate if Blockchain can be used to improve supply chain traceability and critique real-world use cases;
- Identify the main risks and costs associated to managing agricultural supply chains, such as seasonality, yield variability, and perishability. Evaluate how these risks are exacerbated by Climate Change;
- Assess how Vertical Farming and, more generally, controlled environment agriculture, can be used to disrupt food production systems.

Required Reading: Before class, students should:
- Read: Calmon, A.P., Koury, W., Yücesan, E. 2018b. "Vertical Farms", INSEAD Case (open version in Appendix 11)

Assignment: Please consider the following question as you prepare:
1) What are some advantages and disadvantages of Blockchain compared to a traditional database? How could this technology be used to improve traceability in supply chains?
2) What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of a Vertical Farm compared to a traditional farm?

Optional readings that might be useful for the exercise: Students should go over the crowdsourced course reading list and start preparing the final blog post.

Teaching Resources:
- An abridged version of the Vertical Farms case study is available in Appendix 11 (for a full version contact andre.calmon@insead.edu);
- Slides for the Blockchain discussion are available in Appendix 11.
Session 12: Eliminating Food Waste—The Evolution of Value Chains Into Ecosystems

Description: Unilever's chief supply chain officer Pier Luigi Sigismondi and his team were working toward a goal to halve the food waste in their supply chain by 2020. Initial analysis showed that very little food was wasted due to processing within areas of the value chain directly controlled by Unilever. Most occurred either upstream with its suppliers or downstream with consumers. How could Unilever encourage these partners to improve, and what would be the consequences—positive and negative—should they succeed?

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Examine how sustainability objectives can extend the 'virtual boundaries' of the firm.
- Describe the virtuous profit-impact cycle firms strive to create through sustainability efforts and identify the critical success factors which underpin that cycle.
- Conduct stakeholder analysis to determine the varied effects an initiative can have on partners based on their position in the value chain.

Required Reading:

Assignments: Please consider the following questions as you prepare:

1) Can a firm using “triple bottom line” successfully compete against firms focused only on maximizing profits? If not, why not? If so, how, and under what conditions?

2) How has Unilever’s sustainability initiative transformed its supply chain practices?

3) If Unilever succeeds in significantly reducing food waste in its end-to-end supply chain, which of Unilever’s partners do you anticipate will be the winners and losers? What do they stand to gain or lose? Is Unilever the right party to be leading this effort?

4) Based on your answer to question 3 above, how should Pier Luigi Sigismondi and Unilever proceed? What steps should they take next, and why?

Optional Reading (for after class)

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 12 are:

- Teaching plan
- Document camera materials
- Closing slides
Session 13: Business Model Innovation and the SDGs Blog Post

Description: This is the final session before the SDG Bootcamp and acts as a "mini-capstone" for the classroom portion of the course. The goal of this session is to promote peer learning and discussion on UN Sustainable Development Goals and on the design of innovative business models to achieve these goals. The blog post acts as a final course essay and gives students an opportunity to "synthesize" the learnings of the course. Students post their write-ups to the class blog, prepare a 5-minute presentation, and comment on at least three of their peer's posts. Many groups will propose a business model that can become a startup. The instructor ends the class with a recap and introduces the SDG Bootcamp.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Apply the tools covered in the course to evaluate the environmental and social performance of an industry;
- Either propose a new business model or examine a recent innovative business model that aligns profits and positive social and environmental impact;
- Explain how an innovative business model can help an industry profitably achieve its sustainability goals

Required Reading: Read and comment on at least three other blog posts.

Assignment: Please read the description below and post your assignment on the course blog at most 24 hours before the start of the session (3500 words maximum, groups of 4):

Choose one or more of the UN Sustainable Development Goals and an industry that you are interested in. How do these goals compare to the industry’s current sustainability goals? Are the current initiatives in this industry contributing towards achieving your sustainability goals? Where do these initiatives fall short?

Propose and explain a business model innovation for a company (or set of companies) in this industry that can help achieve your sustainability goals and that is also profitable. This can be an existing business innovation or a new business model that you propose. State clearly the context and the social/environmental challenge addressed. Furthermore, explore the following questions:

1) How does financial growth and social/environmental impact form a feedback loop (i.e. the faster the growth, the larger the impact and vice-versa)?
2) Why this innovation could be game changing (demand and/or supply point of view)?
3) What are potential costs and risks of this innovation?
4) Is anyone in the world implementing this?

Optional readings that might be useful for the exercise: All the prior readings and our crowdsourced course reading list are relevant for the exercise.

Teaching Resources:

- The INSEAD class blog can be found here: [http://insead.edublogs.org/](http://insead.edublogs.org/). Here are a few sample posts from previous years: sample 1, sample 2, sample 3
- An overview of the session structure is in Appendix 13
Session 14: The SDG Innovation Process and Format of the SDG Bootcamp

Description: We introduce the capstone portion of the course: The SDG Bootcamp. Students explore their personal experiences with the problems addressed by the SDGs. We discuss the SDGs, their targets and indicators, and how these can be practically applied. We then introduce the SDG Innovation Process, and the self-directed gamified format of the SDG Bootcamp.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Identify their own personal connections with the problems addressed by the SDGs
- Describe the overarching structure of the SDGs, targets, and indicators
- Execute the SDG Bootcamp format for the remaining sessions of the course

Assignments:
- A required pre-course assignment is available in Appendix 14. This assignment is used to form teams.

SDG Innovation Process: Teams will work to advance through the SDG Innovation Process, which consists of the below phases:
1. Problem Framing: Exploring insights and frictions in global challenges and framing a problem based on actionable insights.
2. Ideation: Conceptualizing solutions that address the problem framing, meet the needs of the users, and fit into the needed role in the ecosystem.
3. Prototyping: Creating quick physical representations of the idea with minimal effort so the idea can then be presented to potential users and stakeholders for feedback.

In between each phase is a “gate.” Students must complete the list of deliverables for their current phase to pass through the gate to the next phase. Interested students may choose to continue advancement through phases four and five (testing and implementing, respectively) through independent study or guided mentorship from the instructor.

Materials: Each student receives a set of the SDG Bootcamp Activity Cards. The Activity Cards are equivalent to a card-based board game. They provide:
- Instructions on the self-directed gamified format of the course
- Details on the phase deliverables required to advance to the next phase
- Activities for each phase that can be used to meet the phase deliverables
- Details on the final deliverables for the course

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 14 are:
- Teaching schedule
- Overview of SDG Innovation Process and course format
- Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards
Sessions 15 and 16: Leveraging Actionable Insights to Frame a Global Challenge

Description: The first phase of the SDG Innovation Process, Problem Framing, focuses on framing a problem based on a specific user, a clear need, and a unique, actionable insight. We explore the importance of framing a problem prior to designing solutions through case examples. We then discuss how to to compose an actionable insight and how to form this into a clear problem framing statement. Students then assemble in teams and work independently to complete the Problem Framing phase requirements.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:

- Identify the importance of framing a sustainable development problem before designing a solution
- Develop an actionable insight
- Frame a sustainable development problem with a specific user, need, and insight

Phase deliverables:

- Journey Map: The team’s plan for navigating through the SDG Innovation Process.
- Solution Canvas: Adapted from the Business Model Canvas. Sections filled out related to insights, motivation, and problem framing.
- Well-defined problem framing
- Problem framing tree: Assessment of specificity of problem framing

Activities: Teams work independently to complete activities in preparation for their phase deliverables. Example activities include:

- Definition of insights
- Problem framing template
- Problem framing tree - a root cause analysis activity

Formatting: Sessions 15-20 do not have firm time limits. Rather, teams will complete activities on their own and at their own pace, guided by professors.

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 15 are:

- Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Problem Framing phase
Sessions 17 and 18: Ideation and Selection Based on Feasibility and Impact

**Description:** The second phase of the SDG Innovation Process, Ideation, focuses on ideating solutions to the framed problem and selecting an idea on which to focus. We discuss expansion during ideation and contraction during downselection, with a focus selecting for feasibility, impact, and value. Teams continue to work independently to complete the Ideation phase requirements.

**Learning Objectives:** By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Brainstorm a variety of different solutions and map how these solutions address the framed problem
- Assess solutions for feasibility, impact, and value created for users
- Identify and justify a solution on which to focus

**Phase deliverables:**
- Journey Map: The team’s plan for navigating through the SDG Innovation Process.
- Solution Canvas: Adapted from the Business Model Canvas. Sections filled out related to solution, users, customers, and value.
- Sketches of three solution ideas
- Define value and complexity of three solution ideas
- Selected solution idea

**Activities:** Teams work independently to complete activities in preparation for their phase deliverables. Example activities include:
- Brainstorming guidelines
- Planting idea seeds - a brainstorming activity
- Pitch your idea concepts
- Value vs. complexity mapping
- Downselecting ideas

**Formatting:** Sessions 15-20 do not have firm time limits. Rather, teams will complete activities on their own and at their own pace, guided by professors.

**Teaching Resources:** The resources available in Appendix 16 are:
- Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Ideation phase
Sessions 19 and 20: Feedback Through Enabling Users to Experience the Solution

Description: The third phase of the SDG Innovation Process, Prototyping, focuses on creating something that a potential user can experience, in order to give appropriate feedback on the solution. We discuss prototyping for both tangible objects as well as processes, as well as prototyping the entire solution concept versus an element of the solution. Teams continue to work independently to complete the Prototyping phase requirements.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
- Produce a simple, rapid prototype through which others can experience your solution and provide feedback
- Analyze what assumptions require testing
- Describe, assess, examine, analyze, identify

Phase deliverables:
- Journey Map: The team’s plan for navigating through the SDG Innovation Process.
- Solution Canvas: Adapted from the Business Model Canvas. Sections filled out related to solution, resources, and cost structure.
- Outline requirements of prototype
- Creation of looks-like and/or works-like prototype
- Prioritization of what assumptions to test

Activities: Teams work independently to complete activities in preparation for their phase deliverables. Example activities include:
- Design specifications
- Rapid prototyping
- Priority vs. confidence mapping

Formatting: Sessions 15-20 do not have firm time limits. Rather, teams will complete activities on their own and at their own pace, guided by professors.

Teaching Resources: The resources available in Appendix 17 are
- Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Prototyping phase
- Examples of prototypes from January 2019
Sessions 21 and 22: Pitches and Preparing for Implementation

Description: Students pitch their work to each other, professors, and external evaluators. This exercise serves as a launching point for discussing ways for teams to take their ideas forward to implementation.

Learning Objectives: By the end of this session, students will be able to:
● Succinctly communicate their ideas to an external audience
● Navigate the entire SDG Bootcamp Activity Cards to continue developing their ideas

Deliverables:
● Three-minute pitch of the solution, followed by up to 10 minute question and answer session
● Maximum 10 page written proposals, which can serve as a baseline written document to share with people who might be interested in funding, supporting, or joining the solution efforts in the future, should students wish to continue developing your ideas.
Appendices:
Teaching Materials
Appendix 1: Introduction Session Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2018. For the powerpoint version, please e-mail andre.calmon@insead.edu

2. A recording of Andre Calmon teaching a version of this session in 2016 (link to Youtube)
Building a Sustainable Future: Business Model Innovation as a Force for Good

Andre Calmon

The Business School for the World®

Sustainable Development Goals

1. NO POVERTY
2. ZERO HUNGER
3. GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING
4. QUALITY EDUCATION
5. GENDER EQUALITY
6. CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION
7. AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY
8. DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH
9. INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE
10. REDUCED INEQUALITIES
11. SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND COMMUNITIES
12. RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION
13. CLIMATE ACTION
14. LIFE BELOW WATER
15. LIFE ON LAND
16. PEACE, JUSTICE AND STRONG INSTITUTIONS
17. PARTNERSHIPS FOR THE GOALS

© Andre Calmon
How do we get there?


#ParisAgreement
The rise of private capital and the fall of public capital in rich countries, 1970-2016

Source: WID, world (2017); See wr2018, wld, world for data series and notes.
Jeff Bezos is the richest person in history!

by Chris Isidore @CNNTech

January 9, 2018, 8:33 AM ET

Amazon’s New Checkout-Free Grocery Store Doesn’t Take Food Stamps

INSEAD
The Business School for the World®

SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

Policy Technology

Innovative Business Models
Why do you care about social and environmental challenges?

Grameen Bank - Microfinance
Do you agree with the definition of social business in "Building social business models: lessons from the Grameen experience"? Why? Why not?

"I disagree with the fact that social business is cause-driven rather than profit-driven. Working in not-for-profit organizations that did not even have the need to repay for invested capital, I was able to observe how the organization operates with stakeholders that seek financial profit in the end. I believe it is extremely difficult to bring in stakeholders with the stature and not-for-profit focus like this social business definition requires...."

"I don't agree 100%. Social businesses in my opinion are derived from business innovation of existing and conventional business models. There is no fine line whether these businesses are profit driven. On the same note, one can argue that a large number of profit-maximizing businesses also take the social aspect into consideration. Would you define those as social businesses? Overall, I think it is not an easy task to define if a business is a social business or not without looking at its context in a more comprehensive manner"

"Yes, I agree. Social business need to be sustainable and distinguished from charity, but the non-dividend distribution included in the definition is very important. I believe that shareholders and Stakeholders interests are most of the time incompatible. Therefore, having a business where the dividend and maximum profit pressure are removed from the equation truly permits to truly focus on the stakeholders. The definition also clearly distinguished social business from charity, which is also important. In a social business, Shareholders can get their money back and there is a need to be sustainable, so profitable."

We can apply POM/BMI tools to develop business models that create value, address environmental and social problems, and are financially profitable!
A Quick Review of POM

POM: Business Model Innovation

Existing tech, existing markets, new business models
POM: Some of the tools

- Focus
- Resequencing
- Splitting
- Modularity
- Flexibility
- Aligning incentives, shifting risk
- Marketplace/Intermediaries
- Speed-up/postpone

POM: Business Model Innovation

**THE DECISION MAKER**
- Transfer to best informed
- Transfer to he who cares
- Transfer to who can bear best

**THE DECISION TIMING**
- Delay Decisions
- Sequence/Order
- Split Decisions

**THE INCENTIVES**
- Change Revenue/Streams
- Align Time Horizons
- Integrate

**MANAGING COSTS & RISK**

**THE SUBSTANCE**
- Focused V/s Diversified
- Hedge Complement
- Reduce/increase #
Two types of risk

- Information risk
  - Quality
  - Demand
  - Supply
  - Financial

- Incentive alignment risk
  - Objectives of stakeholders
  - Contract structures

Overview: Three Cases
Recipe for analysis

THE DECISION MAKER
- Transfer to best informed
- Transfer to he who cares
- Transfer to who can bear best

THE DECISION TIMING
- Delay Decisions
- Sequence/Order
- Split Decisions

What is the goal?
Who are the Stakeholders?
What are the costs and risks?

THE INCENTIVES
- Change Revenue/Streams
- Align Time Horizons
- Integrate

THE SUBSTANCE
- Focused Vs Diversified
- Hedge Complement
- Reduce/Increase #

Grameen Phone (1996)
Grameen Phone

- **Goal:** Build a profitable wireless network in Bangladesh

- **Challenge:** low buying power of consumers (risk)

- **Solution:** “Telephone ladies” lease phones and rent them (act as an intermediary)

- **Key ideas:** pooling, servicization, splitting, intermediaries

- **Outcome:** from 0 villages in 1997 to >14,000 in 2002

---

Grameen Danone
Grameen Danone

• **Goal:** Fulfill basic nutritional needs at a low price

• **Challenge:** Production and distribution

• **Solution:** Local production and revenue sharing for distribution

• **Key ideas:** focus, incentive alignment

• **Outcome:** To be seen (preliminary results promising)

Other lessons from M. Yunnus

• Cooperation with larger partners (Telenor and Danone).
  • Pooling of resources and knowledge, combining process and local expertise

• Favoring "social profit-oriented shareholders" and having clear objectives.
  • Incentive alignment

• Continuous Experimentation.
  • Splitting – lean start-up!
Zeta Communities

Buildings correspond to about 1/3 of CO2 emissions

Technology exists to mitigate building emissions by 30% in a profitable way, but are not adopted.

Why?

Zeta Communities

Integrated design and building (Incentive Alignment)

Mass customization through modularization (benefits of flexibility and focus)

“Net-Zero” emissions at a 10-20% lower cost
The common thread

- No new tech innovation or design
- Through Business Model Innovation, changed the way products are made, sold and serviced to generate positive impact

A new way of thinking about sustainability

We need:
- New tech
- New policy
- New business models
What is sustainability?

- **Sustainability**: "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."
Are these examples really sustainable?

What does sustainability mean anyway?

- **Sustainability**: "Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

More importantly, what does it mean for you and your career?
A new way of thinking about sustainability

Social/Environmental Return

Financial Return

Old

In Progress

Future

???????
Appendix 2: Essmart Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. A copy of the required reading and assignment
2. Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2018
3. A link to a recording of Andre Calmon teaching this session in 2016.
Essmart:

Distributing Life-Changing Technologies to Rural Villagers

**Essmart’s Mission:** To bring essential, life-improving products to all people, no matter who they are or where they are from.
Introduction

Essmart works with small, independent retail shops in India to distribute life-improving technologies such as solar lighting and clean cooking products to consumers in small towns and villages. The social enterprise was founded by Jackie Stenson and Diana Jue-Rajasingh, and began operating in 2012. Both women had an interest in international development as undergraduates, and subsequently pursued research and work opportunities in Asia and Africa. They discovered that new technologies designed for developing countries – which they had worked with during their studies – rarely reached the intended end-users, often for lack of efficient, appropriate, scalable distribution systems coupled with a lack of consumer awareness. The vast majority of people whom Jackie and Diana met had never heard of various life-improving technologies such as off-grid solar lighting solutions, smoke-reducing cookstoves, or more efficient non-electric farming tools, let alone used those innovations. Why was that?

Many products had not been thoroughly tested with end users in a way that reduced interviewer bias, and thus they could fail to meet users’ needs. Moreover, inadequate education on how to use the products and lack of servicing provision soon rendered them useless or at risk of malfunctioning. The hype around new inventions was not enough. Life-improving technologies might have the potential to change lives, but not without robust last-mile dissemination systems – both of the solutions themselves and related user information and adoption support.

Essmart was created to bridge the dissemination gap and ensure that life-changing technologies are known and accessible to anyone who can benefit from them. It relies on existing retail networks to reach consumers, creating awareness about products, and providing consumers with the opportunity to purchase them from a trusted, reliable source. The business model has three core elements: (1) demonstrate, (2) distribute, (3) guarantee.

In the five years since Essmart was founded, the company has grown to operate six distribution centres in Southern India. In addition to expanding operations and serving new regions within India, the management team wants to develop the business model to increase sales in existing locations. Should they increase marketing and consumer education to build more brand awareness and expand the product catalogue, or extend after-sales services to reduce the financial risk that consumers bear when purchasing the products?
Great Ideas that Lose Their Way

Access to Resources

In India, 700 million have unreliable electricity, 1 400 million suffer indoor air pollution from inefficient biomass cookstoves2, and 97 million people lack clean drinking water.3 Over 70% of the population live in rural areas, of which almost 80% are hard to access by road.4

Access to resources varies greatly in the different towns and villages where Essmart operates, with populations ranging from 10,000 to 100,000. Generally, those living in or close to towns have better access to running water and electricity, but suffer frequent power cuts lasting up to 10 hours. In remote villages and mountainous areas, people may share a communal water tap or have a well at home. Wells can fill with dirty water during the monsoon season.

Among other things, this shop sells cookies, shampoos, and toys.

Life-Changing Innovations at the Base of the Pyramid

For over 60 years there has been increasing interest in developing innovative technologies for low-income users who experience challenges like those above. Hundreds of life-improving technologies that met the need for clean water and electricity already exist, such as affordable off-grid solar lanterns, nonelectric water purifiers, smoke-reducing cookstoves, and bicycle-powered mobile phone chargers. Initiatives like the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves, which aims to distribute 100 million stoves by 2020, and academic programmes where students

design products for international development, bare testimony to the keen interest and good intentions.

However, there are two major challenges. First, although products are specifically targeted at the base of the pyramid (BOP), designers often focus on the technical aspects without understanding the end user. To make products affordable, inventors tend to remove extra features – leaving just the ‘bare bones’ – not realising that those features offer the aspirational branding or sense of ‘value-for-money’ required for potential users to adopt them. Also, inventors can assume the problem they are trying to solve is of the utmost importance to the consumer, when in fact it is not. For example, a cookstove designed at optimal height to reduce fumes from combustion required users to stand while cooking – instead of kneeling, the traditional way. Potential users shunned the stove because it forced them to change their habits and behaviours. The lesson is that products must be designed with the customer’s desires and values in mind, not just the designer’s perception of the problem.

Second, no matter how well-designed life-changing products may be, there is no guarantee they reach the people for whom they were made. Inhabitants of small towns and villages often do not know of their existence nor how to access them. Paul Polak, founder of International Development Enterprises and author of Out of Poverty, explains the challenge:

*If you succeed, against all odds, in designing a transformative radically affordable technology, you still have addressed only 25% of the problem. The other 75% is marketing it effectively, which requires designing and implementing an effective branding, mass marketing and last mile distribution strategy.*

**Distribution Methods for Durable, Socially Impactful Goods**

Effective, appropriate dissemination is undermined by low consumer awareness, inconsistent product availability, risk aversion, affordability, lack of confidence in product performance/warranties, and negative stereotypes resulting from years of failed dissemination. Despite continuous efforts by non-profit organizations, government programmes, multinational corporations, and social enterprises to distribute innovative technologies, no strategy has been completely successful.

Life-improving products have been typically distributed on a project-by-project basis by non-profit organizations or via government campaigns, but these projects tend to encounter barriers to scale or widespread adoption. First, there is often limited funding and time; they not designed for widespread, long-term use. Second, they are often often marketed to users as a way to lift themselves out of poverty; yet (as Jackie witnessed in her design work as an engineer) low-income end users tend to reject products that cement their ‘poor’ status in favour of aspirational solutions. Third, without appropriate servicing channels, technologies often become trash. During her work as engineer designing life-improving products, Jackie found water pumps in various states of disrepair in Ethiopia after project funding and know-how had dried up, broken bicycle ambulances in Zambia where no budget had been allocated for maintenance, and more.

---

A popular strategy to increase consumer awareness - massive door-to-door campaigns - combines education and subsidized direct sales. However, such initiatives can be expensive and labour-intensive, as Diana saw first-hand in southern India when researching distribution channels. A company selling cookstoves door-to-door had trouble retaining employees who disliked knocking on doors and collecting money, resulting in low sales and high turnover. Another company focused on mass marketing at the expense of a local presence, so although its TV commercials created consumer awareness, there were no salespeople to do live product demonstrations on the ground (in the towns and villages where consumers lived).

In India, the customer relationship is of paramount importance. Consumers buy from retailers they trust, and rely on their endorsement of new products to make informed decisions when spending their disposable income. Purchasing a life-changing technology is often an investment, and as such has to be protected through after-sales service. Not only are warranties important, but consumers have to know they will be honoured if products brake down or malfunction. Retailers, too, need to have confidence in the products as well as the manufacturers and distributors supplying them.

To be effective, distribution systems have to handle marketing, consumer awareness, and after-sales service – three elements essential to ensuring that life-improving technologies reach and are adopted by the intended end users. Manufacturers were aware of this, yet their options were limited. It was often too expensive for them to design and implement their own distribution systems. Finding an external distributor that specialized in life-changing technologies was challenging since there were so few.

**Developing Essmart’s Business Model**

**Launching the Social Enterprise**

Essmart’s business model grew out of years of research (by Jackie and Diana) prior to the idea of launching a social enterprise. As undergraduates, although they did not know each other, they shared a strong interest in international development. Both were involved with MIT’s D-Lab, a programme that focuses on the co-creation of life-improving technologies with communities in the field. After subsequently working abroad and learning about the challenges of distributing life-improving technologies, each chose to focus their Master’s degree on the issue.

The two did not meet until fall 2011, when a lecturer at MIT introduced them. The idea to launch a social enterprise and their choice of business model developed out of discussing their respective research and the lessons learned. When they could not find any organization that was solving the last-mile distribution problem in a way they believed was scalable and sustainable, they realized their only option was to start their own initiative and fill the gap.

Essmart’s founding mission is to create a marketplace for life-improving technologies to provide product choices and education so that consumers can make informed decisions as to which offered the greatest benefits. Rather than re-inventing the wheel, it leverages existing retail networks and the trusted relationships those retailers have with their customers. After thinking through various aspects of the business model, Jackie and Diana solicited feedback on their ideas from professors and experts in the university ecosystem, developed a business plan.
as part of an entrepreneurship course, participated in business plan competitions, and successfully obtained funding to conduct a pilot.

**Strategy**

Essmart sources pre-existing life-improving products from manufacturers. It focuses on the demonstration, distribution and guarantees needed for these products to reach end-users.

**Demonstrate**

Since its portfolio of products are new to end-customers, Essmart has to create awareness in a manner that highlights the value that the technology creates and builds customer trust – ‘de-risking’ investment in an unfamiliar product. It uses full-time employees, called ‘sales executives’, to build relationships with retail shops and help them market and sell life-improving products.

Sales executives work with local retail shops to demonstrate products in the shops and at weekly town markets. Up to 10 products are presented at each demonstration to educate both retailers and consumers on product features and the user needs they address. The sales executives coordinate the demonstrations and refer consumers to local retailers if they are interested in purchasing a product. Although demonstrations have limited reach (compared to door-to-door campaigns), they are less labour-intensive, while ensuring a presence on the ground. The demonstrations not only create awareness of Essmart’s products, but they also allow retailers to become more familiar with marketing techniques and build consumer trust in the products.

![An Essmart sales executive shows a consumer various products at a market.](image)

**Distribute**

Villages usually have a general store, where people purchase fast-moving consumer goods such as groceries and household products, as well as an agricultural supplies or hardware store. There are over 15 million of these small, local retail shops in India, on which over 192 million households rely for their everyday needs.\(^6\)\(^7\) Despite this extensive retail coverage, life-improving technologies are rarely available outside of major cities. While some retailers receive

---


inventory from existing distributors, others have to shut up shop and travel to a nearby town to purchase inventory.

To address the physical distribution gap, Essmart partners with small shops in towns and villages. Retailers are given a catalogue with product pictures and descriptions, as well as a few samples to introduce Essmart’s product selection to consumers. Retailers can invest in a large quantity of inventory or have products “delivered to order” at a higher cost (i.e., they contact a sales executive, who will deliver the product within 1-2 days from a nearby Essmart distribution centre). This removes inventory risk from the retailers and lowers the barrier to adoption if retailers are not ready to invest in larger quantities of inventory.

**Guarantee**

To guarantee the quality of the products offered and de-risk adoption for end users, Essmart ensures that manufacturers’ warranties are upheld. Typically, most products have a warranty and manufacturer’s phone number on the box, but these do not always work, or the servicing can take weeks. Essmart ensures a reliable channel through which, if a product malfunctions, consumers can return it to the shop where they made the purchase, to be retrieved by a sales executive on his next visit, repaired or replaced, and then delivered to the shop for the consumer to pick up.

At the time of Essmart’s creation, no other distributor of life-improving technologies facilitated warranties. The non-profits that Jackie and Diana encountered in their research rarely emphasized after-sales service, or simply paid lip-service rather than making it an integral part of operations from the start. Yet it was vital for end customers to overcome risk aversion and provide protection against losing their money if a product malfunctions.

**Pilots**

In January 2012, a pilot was carried out with Diana and Prashanth Venkataramana, Essmart co-founder and Director of India Operations. They visited 200 retail shops in southern India and surveyed retailers about the products Essmart was considering for distribution: Did they know what the products were? Would consumers be interested and at what price? After analysing the data, they began a trial run with two shops to test pricing strategies and associated sales with a selected sample of products. The shops sold out of their first run of 17 items within a week.

During an extension of this pilot starting in August 2012, the founding Essmart team talked to additional consumers and visited their homes. They discovered that a lot of people had purchased battery-powered LED lights but found they malfunctioned within three months due to low quality. One consumer was hesitant to buy an Essmart solar lantern because of the high cost and his previous experience of low-quality technologies that tended to break down. Likewise, retailers were hesitant to offer products they were unfamiliar with, fearing their reputation would be damaged if these stopped working.

The pilots confirmed many of the findings that Jackie and Diana had uncovered through years of research, and became the backbone of Essmart’s business model. The successful test run of selling products through the retail network proved that it was an effective strategy to distribute life-improving technologies. Additionally, conversations with retailers and consumers affirmed the importance of after-sales service. As a social enterprise, Essmart’s mission is to improve
the lives of people through distributing new technologies. Guaranteeing reliability by offering
after-sales service serves that mission.

Scaling Operations

Essmart’s first distribution centre was established in Pollachi (population 100,000), outside the
city of Coimbatore (population: 1.6 million) in the Indian state of Tamil Nadu. It was an ideal
first location as there was a need for life-improving technologies, consumers were aspirational
and willing to save up to purchase Essmart products. The town was large enough to receive
deliveries from suppliers but had easy access to rural areas, without which the business model
would not be sustainable.

Over the next two years, Jackie, Diana, Prashanth, and Poonacha Kalengada, Essmart co-
founder and Director of Field Operations, led the expansion into new areas and established five
more distribution centres. The team developed the following criteria for potential locations:
they had to have a bank branch; they had to be able to receive deliveries from other states in
India; and as they were often the ‘last stop’ for traditional courier companies, there was need in
the peri-urban and surrounding rural area. The initial locations were also chosen because of the
field team’s connections to individuals in those areas - utilizing personal networks to grow the
team was an effective hiring method. As it moved into new regions, those criteria continued to
evolve, as did the business model.

Retailer Relationships

Developing relationships and building trust with retailers is the central focus of Essmart’s
operations, unlike other initiatives that focused on retail shops simply as a pass-through entity
to sell to end customers. Essmart learned to take the time to turn shop owners into active sellers,
as their buy-in was key to scaling up efficiently and effectively. Before retailers agree to sell
Essmart’s products they have to believe that the social enterprise will offer quality products,
follow through on delivery and after-sales service, and be a trusty long-term partner. Sales
executives spend time at each shop in the early days of a relationship, often on a near-daily
basis, to build trust. The co-founders will also visit shops to reassure retailers of Essmart’s
commitment to serving them and their customers. Retailers thus gain the confidence necessary
to market Essmart’s products to their customers, who rely on their opinions when making
purchasing decisions, with minimal ongoing support from Essmart.

Brand Awareness

An early challenge was how to make the Essmart brand visible to consumers as retail shops are
often packed with different brands and have little room to consistently display marketing
materials from distributors. In addition to product demonstrations, Essmart experimented with
a variety of marketing materials to create brand awareness. Catalogues and signs were changed
multiple times as Essmart tested the effectiveness of different formats. For instance, the
catalogue changed from a book to a standing calendar. Different formats had positive and
negative attributes: e.g., light boards mounted to the outside of shops were expensive yet
durable, while less expensive plastic banners often ripped in the wind.

Another challenge was the diversity of retail shops with which Essmart works. No single
marketing formula could be applied. Marketing materials had to be based on the characteristics
of individual shops and their customers. Some retailers wanted the catalogue and signs; others relied on personal recommendations to sell products. Essmart’s marketing solution had to be scaleable yet stay flexible enough to cater to the needs of a diverse set of retailers.

An Essmart sales executive with a retailer displaying Essmart’s brand and product samples.

Professional Development Workshops

In early 2016, Essmart received a grant from the Women's Empowerment Fund at the Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves to facilitate workshops for retailers, with the goal of improving active selling techniques of retailers – especially women employees who typically took a more passive selling role. The workshops lasted five days and focused on developing business skills. There were approximately 25-30 men (who often influence the role of women employees in their shops) and 10 women who attended different parts.

This first attempt at more formalized training had positive outcomes but met several logistical challenges. It was difficult for attendees to stay the entire five days as they were coming from different villages, often by bus. After the workshops, the Essmart team decided to reproduce some of the content but in a different format – short videos to be shared with retailers through WhatsApp and by sales executives during shop visits. Essmart hopes to incorporate some of the content of the workshops into more formalized decentralized training sessions with shop owners to make them more realistic and impactful for both Essmart and shop owners alike.

Essmart 2018: Short-Term Goals

By December 2017, Essmart has impacted over 111,000 people, sold 28,000 products, and partnered with 1,300 retail shops. Essmart currently operates seven distribution centres (six in the state of Tamil Nadu and one in the state of Karnataka, which has only been open for two months). Given variations in language and culture across states, Essmart is investing in the development of new marketing materials and hiring additional staff. Its long-term goal is to continue expanding operations to serve new regions throughout all of India, and then to expand into other countries.
In addition to expansion to new regions, the management team wants to develop the business model to increase sales in existing locations. Some will come with the economies of scale associated with geographic expansion. The management team continues to experiment with the marketing techniques for both shop owners and end consumers, as well as the trade-off of focusing these interventions on educational marketing versus the assurance of after-sales service. So far they have experimented with six different interventions with the support of a USAID Development Innovations Ventures grant. The next step will be to further develop new ideas with both Essmart sales executives and retailers and test these ideas so they can be implemented across the company.
Exhibit 1
Profiles of Essmart’s Management Team

Essmart’s management team functions with a fairly flat structure rather than a traditional hierarchy. Major decisions are made via consultations with the entire team, with the team member with the most relevant expertise taking the lead on facilitating both the decision-making process as well as any operational execution.

Jackie Stenson, CEO

*Education:* MPhil in Engineering for Sustainable Development, University of Cambridge; BS in Mechanical Engineering, Harvard.

*Prior Work Experience:* Jackie designed and implemented a range of life-improving technologies in 11 sub-Saharan African countries, and researched technology dissemination strategies in Africa and India.

*Functional Responsibilities:* Project management, fundraising and investor relations, logistics software development, legal and accounting tasks associated with the US entity, market-testing contracts, and forming partnerships


Diana Jue-Rajasingh, COO

*Education:* PhD Candidate at University of Michigan Ross School of Business and Department of Sociology; Master in City Planning, International Development Group, MIT; SB in Urban Studies and Planning, SB in Economics, MIT.

*Prior Work Experience:* Diana studied community development and social impact technology dissemination in India and western China. She has been a visiting scholar at IIT Madras and a Fulbright researcher in Bangalore.

*Functional Responsibilities:* Strategy, sector-wide partnerships, logistics software development, data and reporting.

*Awards:* Forbes’ ‘30 Under 30’ list, Echoing Green Fellowship, Cartier Women’s Initiative Awards laureate, Grinnell College Innovator for Social Justice Prize, Fulbright-Nehru Research Fellowship, American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP) Outstanding Student Award, MIT Department of Urban Studies and Planning, Best Thesis Honourable Mention, MIT International Development Initiative Technology Dissemination Fellowship.

Prashanth Venkataramana, Director of India Operations

*Education:* MPhil in Engineering for Sustainable Development, University of Cambridge; Bachelor of Engineering, Anna University

*Prior Work Experience:* Prashanth previously managed the Africa and Middle East regional sales and distribution for a multinational corporation, including setting up the company’s distribution network in Sri Lanka. He speaks Tamil, Telegu, and Hindi, and is from Pollachi, where Essmart’s first Distribution Centre is located.
Functional Responsibilities: Sales targets and strategies, marketing strategies including development and execution of experiments and schemes, partnerships, supplier relationships, fundraising, legal, and HR.

Awards: Action for India Social Innovator, Villgro Foundation Sankalp Entrepreneur Scholar, University of Santa Clara Global Social Benefit Incubator (GSBI) Fellow.

Poonacha Kalengada, Director of Field Operations

Education: MBA in Agri Business Management, BSc in Agriculture, University of Agriculture Science, Bangalore

Prior Work Experience: Hailing from a farming community, Poonacha previously worked in managing sales employees for an agricultural inputs company that distributes fertilizers and seeds. Poonacha is from Karnataka and speaks Tamil, Kannada, Hindi, and Malayalam.

Functional Responsibilities: Establishing new Distribution Centers, hiring and training Essmart’s sales executives and office administrators, programmatic developments (such as incentive programs) for both internal employees and shops, logistics software development (from user perspective)

Taylor Matthews, CFO

Education: MBA, MIT Sloan School of Management; BA in Philosophy and Political Science, Yale.

Prior Work Experience: Taylor has worked in investment banking and management consulting, in addition to holding financial and business operation leadership roles at growing Silicon Valley start-ups.

Functional Responsibilities: Finance, accounting, fundraising, and reporting
Exhibit 2
Awards

MIT D-Lab Scale-Ups Fellowship, 2016
Grinnell College Innovator for Social Justice Prize, 2016

Forbes 30 Under 30 List, Social Entrepreneurship category, 2015
UN Global Alliance for Clean Cookstoves Women’s Empowerment Fund Award Winner, 2015

USAID Development Innovation Ventures Award Winner, 2015
Cartier Women's Initiative Awards Laureate for the Asia-Pacific region, 2014
D-Prize Inaugural Winner, 2013

Echoing Green Fellowship, 2013

Dell Social Innovation Challenge
Grand Prize, 2012

MIT IDEAS Global Challenge Prize, 2012
Exhibit 3
Essmart Distribution Centres and Expansion Plans
Exhibit 4
Customer Testimonials

Sundar, one of our earliest customers in the village of Negamam, is a fan of one of our water filters. In fact, he used to sell a brand that Essmart doesn’t carry, but he switched to selling Essmart’s water filter because he appreciates Essmart’s after-sales service. If he has any problems with a water filter or needs a replacement filter, he can call his Essmart sales executive to remedy the problem as soon as physically possible. Sundar uses the water filter in his home, where his family can enjoy clean, healthy water for years on end. Here, Mrs. Sundar poses with her family’s filter.

Subramanian, one of our shop owners in the village of Pusaripatti, took us to meet one of his first customers, who owns a small barber shop in Pusaripatti, and bought an Essmart-distributed solar lantern for his shop. He turned on the lantern, excited to show us how it illuminates his shop at night. Shortly after he did so, a man walking by noticed the lit shop and stopped to get his hair cut. The barber shop owner demonstrates how Essmart-distributed essential technologies can immediately improve small businesses by enabling commerce at night.
Exhibit 5
Sample Marketing Materials Offered to Retailers and End Customers

Solar Lantern Page of Essmart’s Catalogue for Retailers and End Customers

Essmart Hand Out for End Customers

Essmart Newspaper Ad
Exhibit 6
Store Visits and Demonstrations by Region in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Market Size</th>
<th># of Sales Executives</th>
<th>Sales / Week (Average)</th>
<th># of Store Visits / Week (Average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollachi</td>
<td>…</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$858</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthiyur</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$375</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mettupalayam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$229</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$258</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krishnagiri</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$514</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vellore</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$153</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,387</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 7
Training Videos

Tender Coconut Opener 1
Agricultural Sprayer 1
Solar Lanterns 1
Agricultural Sprayer 2

Essmart produced 12 marketing videos and these were shared with the Sales Executives every Monday morning during the experiment period of 12 weeks. The content was in two categories: Product Information and Sales Strategy, with one sales strategy video after every two product information videos totalling 4 of the former and 8 of the latter. In addition to the videos, separate discussion prompts (or points of information) were also given to the Sales Executives to help discuss with shopkeepers about the content in the videos in greater detail. Before heading out to the shopkeepers, the Sales Executives watched the videos with the team in their Distribution Centre and the Facility Managers reinforced the aim of the exercise, explained the technical data in the videos, and answered any questions.
Besides the case, there is plenty of material available about Essmart on-line (a good starting point is: http://www.essmart-global.com/media/). If you think that you do not have enough information and/or data to answer a question, feel free to make assumptions. However, all assumptions must be properly justified.

**QUESTION 1 - DESCRIBE ESSMART**

What is Essmart? What type of products is Essmart selling? Who are the stakeholders in Essmart’s supply chain? What are the information risks and incentive alignment risks for each of these stakeholders?

**QUESTION 2 - ESSMART AND BMI**

What is Essmart’s business model? List the POM/BMI concepts that they are using and explain how they apply to Essmart’s business model.

**QUESTION 3 - WARRANTIES AND MARKETING AT ESSMART**

To increase sales of its products, should Essmart increase marketing efforts or after-sales services? Why?

**QUESTION 4 - POSSIBLE WARRANTY MODELS AT ESSMART**

As part of their “Demonstrate, Distribute, Guarantee” strategy, Diana and Jackie, the founders of Essmart, are considering different types of warranty strategies for a new solar-powered lamp that they will sell. The options being discussed are:

1. No warranty is offered;
2. Devices that fail under warranty are returned by the customer to the point of sale (POS). After a defect is verified, Essmart is notified and a new device is immediately shipped to the customer as replacement. The replacement will be delivered within 24 to 48 hours. The defective product is then sold for scraped or returned to the manufacturer for some salvage value. The warranty length is 24 months;

3. Devices that fail under warranty are returned to the point of sale (POS) and then transported to a repair facility (managed by Essmart) where the defective product is repaired. After repair is completed, the repaired device is shipped to the consumer. The whole process will take between 2 and 3 weeks, depending on the load at the repair facility. Thus, the consumer does not receive a replacement immediately and waits 2 to 3 weeks for his/her solar-powered lamp to be repaired. The warranty length is 24 months.

What are the pros and cons of each of these strategies? What are the tradeoffs? what data should you collect in order to support/inform your decision on which warranty plan to deploy?

**QUESTION 5- A RESPONSIVE CLOSED-LOOP SUPPLY CHAIN**

**Disclaimer:** The goal of this question is to help you explore some of the challenges that arise when managing closed-loop systems, and also the potential benefits that this type of system can provide. Although this question is in the context of warranties, the intuition and analysis can be applied to most types of closed-loop systems (recycling, sharing systems, on-line retailing, etc). This question involves concepts in probability, and will require some math. You do not have to be very precise, and don’t be afraid to ballpark your calculations – just make sure to justify and explain your thought process. Also, feel free to ignore “Certified Pre-Owned” sales if convenient.

After some research, a new type of warranty system was proposed. This system, based on the recycling/repair strategies of large consumer electronics companies, seeks to reconcile the tradeoffs from the previous proposals. A description of this warranty system is described in the appendix.

Forecasts indicate that the new solar-powered lamp will sell, on average, 2,500 units per week during the first year after launch. After that, an upgraded model will be introduced and the sales of the current model of the solar-powered lamp will be discontinued. Any excess inventory at the reverse-logistics facility at the end of this product’s life-cycle will be sold through a “Certified Pre-Owned” program.

Furthermore, based on previous models of this product, it is estimated that 15% of lamps will fail during the first two years after their sales date (which is the warranty coverage period). In this case, it is adequate to assume that the failure rate\(^1\) of this product is constant. Hence, the probability of a device failing in a given week, given that it did not fail up to the beginning of that week, is about \(\frac{1}{640}\). In other words, \(\frac{1}{640}\) of devices owned by customers will fail each week.

---

\(^1\)Also known as hazard rate.
If this system is implemented, the expected lead-time to repair a device will be 3 weeks (we assume that repair times are fixed) and that 20% of devices that arrive at the reverse logistics facility cannot be repaired and are scrapped. Also, historical data indicates that about 10% of sold devices are returned as “regret returns”, i.e., functioning devices that are returned by the customers shortly after sales for a refund (customers have up to 2 weeks to return recent purchases). Essmart can either resell functioning devices that were returned as “regret returns” (sales occur through their “Certified Pre-Owned” program), or allocate them to inventory at the reverse-logistics facility to satisfy future demand for replacements. If a stock-out at the reverse-logistics facility occurs, warranty requests that arrive during the stock-out period are fulfilled using new devices (it is more expensive to source a new device than to repair a broken one).

One key question when designing this system is: *What fraction of regret returns should be allocated as seed-stock to the reverse-logistics facility in order to minimize the number of new solar-powered lamps being used as warranty replacements?* For example, if the allocation fraction of is 50%, about 125 returned devices will be allocated to the reverse-logistics facility (out of the expected 250 regret returns received weekly). Using repaired devices or regret-returns for warranty replacements is always cheaper than using a new device as a replacement.

Thus, estimate the expected number of new devices that will be used as warranty replacements when:

- 0% of regret returns are allocated to the reverse-logistics facility (all regret-returns are resold as certified pre-owned);
- 30% of regret returns are allocated to the reverse-logistics facility;
- 60% of regret returns are allocated to the reverse-logistics facility;
- 90% of regret returns are allocated to the reverse-logistics facility;

What allocation level would you choose?

Furthermore, what would be the number of new devices needed to fulfill demand for replacements in Option 2 of Question 3?

**Bonus:** In the previous analysis, we are not considering costs. If a new device costs $20, an average repair costs $5 (including labour and transportation), the salvage value of an unrepairable device is $4, and a refurbished (or “regret-returned”) lamp can be sold through the “Certified Pre-Owned” program for $15, what is the maximum investment that you would be willing to make in order to build this reverse-logistics facility?

**APPENDIX: A DIFFERENT KIND OF WARRANTY SERVICING STRATEGY**

Essmart is analyzing the possibility of adopting a new strategy for managing warranties and after-sales service. Inspired by the consumer electronics industry in western countries, Essmart is considering setting up a reverse logistics system to repair and refurbish products that they sell and that fail under warranty.
This dedicated reverse logistics facility would process customer warranty claims and regret returns. Devices that are returned to this facility are typically repaired and/or refurbished and then held in inventory. This inventory can then be used to serve as replacement devices for future customer warranty claims. Essmart can also potentially sell refurbished devices into a side-channel. This reverse-logistics system acts as a closed-loop supply chain (CLSC), as returned devices get reused to satisfy customer warranty claims.

In this set-up, Essmart will offer a customer warranty with strict requirements: when a warranty claim is filed, a new or refurbished item must be given to the customer as a replacement within 48 hours. Thus, a replacement item is sent before the original item can be repaired. Repairing items is relatively slow: Essmart must collect and then transport each defective product to its reversed logistics facility, where it can take up to three weeks for the product to be repaired.

THE CUSTOMER WARRANTY

The customer warranty is designed to minimize the time a customer spends without a working device. For the purposes of this analysis, assume that this warranty has a base length of 12 months. If a device presents a problem, the consumer returns the device to the point of sale, usually a small shop located near the village where the customer resides. If the problem is verified by the shopkeeper, a warranty claim is filed and, if available, a replacement device is immediately given to the consumer. If a device is not available in the store, a replacement product is delivered to the store within 48 hours by Essmart. A key feature of this proposed system is that, whenever possible, the replacement product is a remanufactured or refurbished device from some previous warranty claim or regret return for the same model. If there are no refurbished products available, Essmart will send the customer either a new device of the same model. In this type of system, giving customers an upgraded device is usually as a ‘last resort’ for fulfilling warranty claims, since it creates an incentive for some customers to file warranty claims as an attempt to obtain a better device.

The customer warranty contract also allows for regret returns, such that the user can return a product within a few weeks after purchase for a complete refund, net of a stocking fee. Some of these returned devices can also be transported from the retail site to the reverse logistics facility.

There can be loss in the system due to the structure of the warranties and due to customer service considerations. An example is no trouble found (NTF) products, which are devices where the customer claims that there is an issue with the device, but neither the shopkeeper nor Essmart can replicate the problem. In this case, Essmart needs to decide if it will indeed fulfill the customer’s warranty claim and; furthermore, Essmart might not use this product as a replacement, since it cannot ensure perfect functionality. Another source of loss are devices that simply cannot be repaired, usually due to extensive damage. In these cases, it may be too expensive for Essmart to repair the product and hence there is a loss to this closed-loop supply chain.
Figure 1: Dynamics of the CLSC. The dashed line outlines the reverse logistics facility.

**Operational Flows of the Reverse Logistics Facility**

The reverse logistics facility processes the warranty claims and regret returns, and maintains an inventory of refurbished devices. This facility also repairs failed devices. The dynamics of this system are depicted in Figure 1. Grey arrows denote the flow of items from customers into the system, dark arrows represent items leaving the system, and white arrows correspond to the flow of items within the system. The dashed box outlines the limits of Essmart’s proposed reverse-logistics facility that processes and stores returned items. The elements of the flow are as follows:

1. When a warranty claim is filed at the point of sale, a replacement is either immediately given to the customer if stock is available at the store, or a replacement is transported from inventory within the reverse logistics facility. Note that the customer receives a replacement before the original item is repaired.

2. The defective item is then shipped to the reverse logistics facility for repair.

3. A second source of inventory is regret returns. Customers that return an item through this channel do not receive a new product.

4. Products received from warranty claims and regret returns go through a triage process that generally leads to four possible outcomes: (i) no problem is found and the product is sent to inventory after a refurbishment; (ii) there is a problem and the device and a repair is attempted.

5. If the product cannot be repaired, it is either disposed or sold for scrap.

6. Excess inventory of refurbished devices held by the reverse logistics facility can be sold through side-sales channels. Side-sales not only generate revenue, but also act as an inventory control mechanism, allowing the facility to reduce inventory levels, especially towards the end of a product’s life cycle.
Since this is a closed-loop system, there is a correlation between the demand for refurbished devices (flow 1) and the arrivals of refurbished devices into inventory inventory (flow 4); furthermore, as noted earlier, there will be some loss as not all returned units are recoverable.

Due to the warranty contract, every customer warranty claim is fulfilled within 48 hours, preferably using refurbished items. Backlogging of the demand for replacements is not allowed and if there are no repaired/refurbished products in stock, Essmart will send the customer either a new or upgraded item.
Why should we care about poverty alleviation?
Where is growth?

Share of informal employment in total employment excluding agriculture

Source: ILO 2018

© Andre Calmon
Data from Sub-Saharan Africa

Source: ILO 2018

Smartphone adoption is growing

Source: GSMA 2017
The Base of the Pyramid in India

- Wealth pyramid of the **poor** in India (>80% of population)

  - 20% (330m) - Upper Middle Income Poverty (< $5.5 in PPP)
  - 42% (494m) - Lower Middle Income Poverty (< $3.2)
  - 24% (268m) - Extreme Poverty (< $1.9)

Source: WB 2011

Variability is evil: farming
Variability is evil: farmers

What are the decisions?
What are the information risks?
What are the incentive alignment risks?

Variability is evil: solutions

• Increasing productivity is linked to decreasing variability

• Not enough to address demand side (market failures): must address supply side too

Exec. in residence: Dominique Lecossois
What is their business model?

Being poor is a risky business

- What is a poverty trap?
Being poor is a risky business

• What is a poverty trap?

Need at the Base of the Pyramid (BOP)

• Hundreds of millions of people in low-income markets have **unmet essential needs**, including access to
  - Potable water
  - Electricity and lighting
  - Cooking and refrigeration
  - Farming technology
Life-improving technologies exist…

• Many of these needs can be met by existing technologies

• Different than FMCG
  ▪ Durable goods
  ▪ New technologies
  ▪ Relatively costly
  ▪ Lack brand recognition

… but distributing them at scale is hard
One more Extreme of General Management

Operations at the base of the pyramid

Spot the difference
The value-access paradox in low-income markets

BOP consumers who would most benefit from existing life-improving durable goods are the ones that can least afford and find them.

Value-Access Paradox
Availability: If you need it, you can't find it

- Limited shelf space in shops
- Limited working capital
- Demand is uncertain – most of these products are new
- Retailers need to "push" products

Financial distress: If you can find it, you probably can't afford it

- Most life-improving durables are worth a few weeks of labor (think about buying a fridge)
- Virtually all BOP consumers have access to loans. However, loans and financing can be expensive (monthly rates as high as 10%)
- Retailer's "skim" the market
**Consumer education:** if you can access the product, you might not understand its value

- Daily exposure to toxic smoke generated from traditional cooking methods causes 4 million premature deaths a year (WHO)

- Initiatives have been unable to communicate the value of clean-cooking solutions

---

**After-sales service:** if it breaks, you can’t fix it

- Market is flooded by cheap products that are unreliable (Brine et. al (2015))

- Reverse logistics in this context is difficult and expensive

- Products are designed for cost, not repair…
What are the tradeoffs for a consumer buying a new product?

- Quality/Maintenance cost
- Learning cost
- Identifying benefits
- Huge Opportunity Cost
- Essmart offers warranties
Challenges for manufacturers

- Huge search costs
- Lack of trusted partners
- Huge transaction costs
- Lack of visibility (for more sophisticated contracts)
- Lots of demand uncertainty

What are possible distribution channels? How do they impact price/strategy?

- NGOs: market distortions and requires subsidies
- Door to door sales force: requires training and might be hard to scale. Might increase price
- Brick-and-mortar stores: super expensive and risky
- Direct to small retailers: lack of trust, visibility, logistics is an issue
Essmart and manufacturers

- Increases visibility
- Reduces search and transaction cost (pooling of logistics)
- Provides information
- Might increase price (but increases size of pie)

Challenges for unorganized retail at the BoP

- Unorganized retail is >10% of Indian GDP and second largest employer
- Huge opportunity costs in adopting new products
- Little access to “trade credit”
- Little access to traditional distribution channels
Essmart and retailers

- Resequence decision of carrying product (deliver to order)

- Consignment sales

- Develops brand, relationship and trust which allows for new products

Essmart is a distribution company in southern India, connecting local shops with a catalogue of quality, affordable products, such as solar lanterns and water filters.

We leverage an existing network and trusted relationships to fill a gap in the global supply chain.
**Word of the day: Shift Risk!!**

- Essmart acts as an intermediary shifting risk away from those most vulnerable to variability

- Can handle variability through statistical pooling and focused logistics

- What are the risks for Essmart? How can they handle it? What are the challenges for growth?

**Should we invest?**

© Andre Calmon

---

**Global Distributors Collective**
What is the role of a intermediary/marketplace?

1. Increase Incidence of supply-demand matches (due to risk pooling)  
   Search costs, Liquidity, etc.
2. Create Trust in Transactions  
   Insurance, Background check, Escrow, etc.
3. Price Regulation  
   Haggling, Prices adjust to match supply with demand
4. Lower Transaction Costs  
   Share transaction costs across many players
5. Reduce Opportunism, while retaining flexibility  
   Best of both worlds, marriage and dating

Parting thoughts: Facebook vs Essmart

“Grow then monetize”

• Homerun strategy in Silicon Valley
• “Social Entrepreneurship” in developing countries
Essmart wrap-up

- Value-Access Paradox

- Smart intermediaries will be big winners in the BoP

- Be aware of poverty traps being relieved and changing equilibriums

- Reverse-logistics acts as a real real option

- Think about closed-loop systems in the long run. Simulation helps.

- Word of the day: **Shift Risk!**
Appendix 3: Whole Foods Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Teaching plan
2. Document camera materials
3. Closing slides
WHOLE FOODS Teaching Plan

[5 min] INTRO & INITIAL THOUGHTS

[25 min] TRADITIONAL STORE EVALUATION
- What are constraints to growth (Comp, location, etc.)
- How do you feel about how they have responded?
  o 365 Value and Smaller Format
- Value Proposition / Strategy Map

[15 min] HOW SHOULD WF THINK ABOUT LOCATION?
- How exposed to cannibalization; inside/outside draw

[20 min] FOOD DESERT EVALUATION
- What sort of customers?
- What competition? [Strategy Map]
- 365 Value and small format assessment
- Customer Fit gap discussion

[20 min] FOOD DESERT RECOMMENDATIONS
- Two competing frameworks; and evaluation
- Does WF have capability/advantage with either?
- How should they proceed?

[5 min] WRAP

Overview of Board Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2a] CONSTRAINTS TO GROWTH / RESPONSES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teaching Objectives

1. Identify and analyze key challenges in selecting new markets for service locations.
2. Describe the issue of food deserts and examine strategies to alleviate them.
3. Analyze cost & strategic implications of incremental innovations to a business model, with a focus on entering low-income markets.

Assignment questions

1) What is your assessment of Whole Foods’ growth strategy and, particularly, their goal of 1,000 stores?

2) How should Lannon evaluate locations for potential Whole Foods stores? What should his criteria be? Why?

3) Is Whole Foods well-positioned to tackle the issue of food deserts? If so, why? If not, why not?

4) As Whole Foods proceeds with their plans to locate stores in food deserts, what do you expect their principle challenges will be? How would you address those challenges?

CASE INTRODUCTION

- Today we are going to take a look at Whole Foods and their ambitious growth goal of reaching 1000 stores by 2022, which would nearly triple the number of stores in their network over a 10 year period.

- This case explores a service setting, giving us an opportunity to evaluate what some of the general business and growth challenges are in that context. With the early part of the class today, we’ll focus on those challenges.

- With the latter part of the discussion, we’ll focus on Whole Foods goal to enter areas that are considered to be “food deserts”; parts of the country that lack access to grocers like Whole Foods. We’ll think about how well these areas fit Whole Foods’ model and what we might advise them do to change or augment their plans…

- For now, though, let’s consider the goal itself…
[COLD CALL], the investment community was pretty divided when Whole Foods announced their goal to grow to 1,000 stores. Where do you come down on that debate... what was your opinion of the goal as you read the case? [Discuss but do not board; keep discussion moving]

Left Middle Board

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1,000 Stores</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For</td>
<td>Against</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compete w/ mega chains</td>
<td>Seems arbitrary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larger social impact</td>
<td>Enough WF markets?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirational goal</td>
<td>Cannibalization risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial preemption</td>
<td>Suppliers large enough?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tougher acquisition target</td>
<td>Absorptive capacity?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(but mkt cap &gt; Kroger’s)</td>
<td>13/yr to 80/yr? Really?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential arguments for:

Compete with large chains: “They are coming for WF’s market. WF needs scale to stave them off. Whole Foods has fallen from number 1 in the segment to number 6 in the span in four years (’10 to ’14) [p. 3]"

Larger social impact: “Both Robb and Mackey seem socially minded. Their platform for social impact is their store footprint. More stores = more impact.”

Aspirational goal: “1,000 stores may seem arbitrary, but it is a big and memorable number. It sets direction and gives everyone at Whole Foods something to be excited about and work for.”

Spatial preemption: “This is the fastest growing segment of the grocery sector. It’s a land grab. If you don’t claim the turf now, the competition will. Go in first and deter entry.”

Tougher acquisition target: “Acquisition is the primary means of achieving growth. With the Kroger’s of the world pursuing this market space, Whole Foods in danger of being acquired at their current size.”

WF Market Cap: $16.8 Billion at the end of 2013 (up to $10 Billion today)
Kroger Market Cap: $13.7 Billion at the end of 2013 (but up to $32 Billion today!!!)

Potential arguments against:

Goal seems arbitrary: “Why 1,000 stores… does not seem well-thought out.” PUSH: What number would seem less arbitrary to an outsider? How would you have set a target?

Enough WF markets?: “Data not in the case, but WF is a niche player. Are there enough markets for them to grow to 1,000 stores, or will they start cannibalizing revenue from existing locations. I don’t buy Robb’s faith that cannibalization will only be a short-term issue [p. 8]”

Is current supply base large enough: “Roughly tripling size… and they use a lot of local/organic suppliers. Are these suppliers ready for that sort of scale; if not, are there enough other suppliers to turn to?”

Insufficient personnel to pull it off?: “Grew from 276 stores to 351 stores from 2007 through 2013 [p. 4 and 1, respectively]. That’s between 10 and 13 per year [p. 4]. Now they want to grow at a rate of 80 per year? Doesn’t seem like they would have the staff or supply base to pull that off.”
[Summarize where group stands on debate whether 1,000 stores is good goal for Whole Foods]
Let’s dig a bit deeper… what are the hurdles that Whole Foods’ faces in pursuing the goal? What are their constraints to growth?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints to Growth</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competition / Entry</td>
<td>365 Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Big players (WM, Kroger)</td>
<td>Quality concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Niche players (Sprouts)</td>
<td>+ New customers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 25% price cuts</td>
<td>+ Loyalty program?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- WF: #1to #6 from ’10-’14</td>
<td>Smaller Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of good locations</td>
<td>- New stores only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Cannibalization concern</td>
<td>+ Greater density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruiting talent</td>
<td>- Limited price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital</td>
<td>Impact (doc cam)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When “competition” comes out or to get it out

How well positioned is Whole Foods to deal with that price competition given their traditional position---traditional format and product mix?
- **Significantly greater operating cost**
  - How much larger is their operating expense? [ex. 5] Why?

Considering their traditional markets, not food deserts at the moment, how do you feel about how **Whole Foods has responded to these potential limiters**? (Don’t push for specifics yet, coming soon)

How do you feel about 365 Value products as a means for WF to address price competition?

How do you feel about the new small store format? (doesn’t help 361+ existing stores; etc.)

**Who has been to a Whole Foods store? Why do you shop there?** [Get WF Value Proposition out]

*Get ‘proximity’ on value proposition—Whole Foods generally is *not* the closest option*

(This will be important when later discussing cannibalization)

**How do a traditional competitors fit relative to this value prop; Kroger or Piggly Wiggly (not a Wegmans)**
- This is how WF has chosen to position themselves in the market…

**How do feel about these efforts---the 365 Value items and small store format---in light of Whole Foods’s value proposition?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WF Value Proposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality (freshness)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Ex 6 indicates price vs offer; clearly not how WF competes)

**How do 365 Value items and the small store format affect the Whole Foods value proposition?**
- Small format: lowers ambience?
  - Especially if pushing to reduce OpEx
  - Value 365: Lowers quality? [doc cam slide 1]
  - Both degrade external consistency?

How do we feel about this? [Different kind of promise in small format than in traditional format]

**Can Whole Foods make this work? How?**
We still have this issue of location, we don’t have a lot of data here, but how should WF think about where to locate these additional 650+ stores?

- How susceptible to cannibalization is WF relative to a typical grocery store?
  - How many of you have shopped at Whole Foods?
  - How many of you that shop at Whole Foods, have another grocery store closer to you?
    - Refer back to “proximity/convenience” if it came out for the strategy map

- The fact that so many are willing to pass another store to get to a Whole Foods; should that make Whole Foods more or less concerned about cannibalization than a typical store?

- Doc cam Inside Draw / Outside Draw chart (doc cam slide 3). Have any of you seen this before? [If so, ask them to walk through the how to interpret the axes, other explain them]

  [Board an illustration of inside draw and outside draw]

- How should this chart effect the way Whole Foods thinks about cannibalization and the density of their store network?
  - Push: Who should have the denser network, HEB or Whole Foods? Why?

  [Update graph to illustrate cannibalization of outside draw]

How would you expect the smaller format WF store to be positioned with respect to its inside and outside draw?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left Board Front</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INSIDE / OUTSIDE DRAW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Draw inside/outside draw dynamics]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Slides 8 and 9 from wrap slide deck]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[To illustrate Whole Foods’ cannibalization risk, use the board to build the inside/outside draw figures from the wrap slides]

1) Indicate Whole Foods initial location;
2) Add competitors
3) Describe concept of “inside draw” and illustrate WF’s catchment area
4) Describe concept of “outside draw”
5) Add a second WF location
6) Illustrate how strong outside draw, leads to greater cannibalization.

After digging into the growth challenge more deeply; how do we feel about the 1,000 store target? [Let discussion be free here to assess the main arguments for and against]
[SUMMARIZE LANDING POINT FOR TRADITIONAL FORMAT DISCUSSION]. But what about Whole Foods’s goal to alleviate food deserts… how do you feel about that?

What sort of customers will Whole Foods be reaching in Food Deserts? [Get them to Ex 10]

Who is your competition here? [Gas stations, convenience stores]. Where would they be on this value proposition map? [Update value prop map in colored chalk with convenience stores]

How do you like Value 365 and the smaller format to serve that customer?
- Lower price is good, but quality hit too big?
- Think about Detroit man who complained about big chains coming in with “cheap” stuff

What about the smaller format stores… how excited are you about those in this setting?
- Doc Cam for back of envelope financial analysis (doc cam slides 4 and 5)

So what is the fundamental point of concern here? [Get Poor fit and draw illustration below]

Center Board Top

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market Fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Promise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm’s boundary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What shapes customer expectations and needs? [Promise, demographics, competition]

Can you do anything about this mis-alignment of customer needs and promise?

- **Change Expectations**: How?
  - What shapes customer expectations/needs: Firm’s promise, competitors, demographics
    - WF controls some of this, but a lot outside of its control

- **Change customer promise**: But then what?
  - External consistency undermined unless matching changes made there
OPTIONAL IF TIME (50/50 based on my experience)

So Whole Foods’ model, even with the smaller format, does not seem to fit well with food deserts. What alternative models are there for addressing food deserts; who has a plan that they would like to propose? [CALL] How would you design an operating system to tackle the issue?

[Board the student’s plan]

Does anyone have a plan that differs significantly from [student 1’s] plan? [sample plans below]

Center Board Middle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student 1’s plan</th>
<th>“Oasis Foods”</th>
<th>Student 2’s plan</th>
<th>“Food Truck”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Small, bright, clean stores</td>
<td>Network of food truck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner w/ chain; procurement scale</td>
<td>- Prepared foods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Not co-branded (dif. offer)</td>
<td>- Fresh produce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower levels of inventory than WF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong presence of prepared foods</td>
<td>Trucks rotate on a schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of employees hired locally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition/meal prep events</td>
<td>Central prep and storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers compensated for</td>
<td>- Truck inv. tracked centrally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Economic performance</td>
<td>- Re-supply vans sent as needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Community goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Student 1] and [Student 2] have offered two different approaches to improve food access where it is currently lacking; What aspects of each do we like and what should we change?

[Focus this conversation on the:]
- internal consistency of the plan [abstracting from Whole Foods’s current operating system]
- external consistency with the food desert strategy map

From Whole Foods’s perspective, could either of these plans fit with their current operating system?
- Discuss what fits and what does not…

If Mackey and Robb are dead set on addressing the issue of food deserts, how should they proceed?
- If one plan looks promising, but has some internal consistency issues, this conversation takes a “how do we get there from here” bent.
- If neither plan is deemed a promising fit; then this will take an acquisition angle

Lots of flexibility with this pasture. Skip this pasture if no time left. If 10 minutes or less, simply have a conversation around: “Does anyone have thoughts on elements of an operating system that could feasibly serve food deserts?”
WHOLE FOODS

DOC CAM MATERIALS

365 Value:
Consumer Reports Quality Percentile
Inside and Outside Draw

% of customers for whom brand is closest that visit brand

Outside Draw

% of brand customers for whom brand is not the closest option

Impact of smaller format (back of envelope)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Price</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative OpEx</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ex 5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COGS</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpEx</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Op Income</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Impact of smaller format (back of envelope)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Relative Price</th>
<th>Relative OpEx</th>
<th>COGS (ex 5)</th>
<th>OpEx</th>
<th>Op Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base% Sales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base% Sales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.3%</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assumes stores half the size of traditional Whole Foods (25k versus 50k)
Assumes OpEx cut by .30%

### Inside and Outside Draw

- Inside Draw: % of customers for whom brand is closest that visit brand
- Outside Draw: % of brand customers for whom brand is not the closest option
Whole Foods Wrap

Whole Foods Update

- Progress toward 1,000 store goal (announced early 2012)
Whole Foods Update

- Progress toward 1,000 store goal (announced early 2012)

![Graph showing progress toward 1,000 store goal]

- Feb '17
  469 Stores

- Actual rate 3/mo.

- Goal: 6.7/mo.

- 355 Stores Short

- Previous rate: 0.8/mo.

- 199 Stores Above
Smaller Format & Food Desert Update

- “365 by Whole Foods Market (smaller format)
  - Handful opened in 2016 (in urban WF markets)
  - Lower price point; “cheaper products”
  - Mackey on cannibalization: “Maybe so... It’s an experiment.”

- Whole Foods in Detroit and Englewood
  - Big effort with community engagement
  - Detroit: 70% of the 180 staff hired from Detroit
  - Englewood: 30 items discounted 50% or more (eggs, milk)
  - Well-received so far (sales greater than expected)
  - Open question: How many become core customers

Replication and customer fit
Inside and Outside Draw

Inside Draw: Percentage of "home market" that is captured by the location
Outside Draw: Percentage of location's customers that come from outside its home market
Cannibalization and Inside/Outside Draw

Firms with strong outside draw are more subject to cannibalization
Appendix 4: Ekal Vidyalaya Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Teaching plan
EKAL VIDYALAYA Teaching Plan

[5 min] INTRO

[20 min] NEEDS ANALYSIS & FAILURE OF GOV’T SCHOOLS
   - Why have gov’t schools failed to serve rural children?
     (School economic factors; rural needs factors)
   - What are most critical education needs for rural India?
     o How would you rank these? (List for value prop)

[15 min] EVALUATE EKAL BMI PROCESS & RESULTING MODEL
   - How does Ekal’s model address rural education needs?
     o What sourcing relationships in portfolio? Why?
   - Other ways could they have met needs? Why not these?
     (Introduce concepts of internal / external consistency)

[15 min] EKAL MODEL CONSTRAINTS TO GROWTH
   - Historically, what growth challenges has Ekal faced?
   - Going forward, what is their most challenging limiter?
   - As Shyamji, how would you overcome these limits?

[20 min] CONTRAST EKAL’S BMI to WHOLE FOODS’ BMI
   - How does Ekal’s BMI approach differ from WF’s? Why?
   - What would an Ekal solution to food deserts look like?
   - Can you integrate this into WF model? How, or why not?

[15 min] CASE and MODULE WRAP
   - Introduce first blog post assignment, share samples

Overview of Board Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1a] Gov’t school fail points (economic/need)</td>
<td>[1b] Rural education needs in [2a] Matched to Ekal Model</td>
<td>[2b] Other options / eval internal consistency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Teaching Objectives

1. Conduct a needs assessment, identify operating priorities given those needs, and then develop the foundations for an operating strategy that delivers on those priorities.
2. Analyze an operating model to assess not only how well it is aligned with its value proposition, but to also assess the challenges to future growth embedded in its design.
3. Contrast the development of a new business model to address a social need to the adaptation of an existing model (drawing on Whole Foods from the preceding session).

Assignment questions

1. Consider rural life in India as described in the case. How are the education needs in this setting distinct from those in more developed regions? What factors contributed to government schools’ failure to serve these rural areas?

2. Considering the needs identified above and the failure points of government schools. What should be the operating priorities of a system of schools designed for rural India? How does Ekal Vidyalaya deliver or fail to deliver on those priorities?

3. What are Ekal’s most significant constraints to growth? With these in mind, if you were Shyamji Gupta, what would be your plan for Ekal going forward? Why?

4. Imagine the Poplis tackling Whole Foods’ food desert challenge. How would they have approached the issue; what would a Popli-style solution have been for urban food deserts in the US?

CASE INTRODUCTION

- In 1986, a group of social entrepreneurs reimagined education in India, developing a low-cost, "one-teacher school" model to provide educational access in regions that had proven cost prohibitive for government schools.

- More than a quarter century later, in 2014, the Ekal network included over 54,000 schools, with the goal of growing to a network of more than 100,000.

- However, with the emergence of India as a burgeoning economic power, government schools had received the mandate and funds to extend their reach to many of the regions that Ekal serves. This has caused Shyamji and Ekal to reevaluate their role and their goals.

- Our job today will be to first evaluate the Business Model Innovation Ekal undertook in their early days; then to visit their present situation and decide how we would move Ekal forward.

Contents of this appendix:

1. Instructions on how to post on the class Edublogs blog

2. The INSEAD class blog can be found here: [http://insead.edublogs.org/](http://insead.edublogs.org/)

3. Here are a few sample posts from previous years: [sample 1], [sample 2], [sample 3].
Class Blog Instructions

Our class website is https://insead.edublogs.org after registering (instructions below), you can post and comment on your colleague's posts. If you have any questions or problems, let me know.

1. Register as a blog author through this link: [omitted for privacy]. Please do not share this link with students outside of the class;
2. Access the blog through: https://insead.edublogs.org and login with your username and password;
3. After logging in, you can post by clicking on the button “+ New” on the top navigation bar, and clicking “Post”;
4. Add a title to your post and enter your post to in the box. Pictures, videos, and references are encouraged! If you can't see your post on the website, you are doing something wrong.
5. If you want to save your draft, click “Save Draft”. When you are ready to post, click the blue button “Publish” on the right. I strongly encourage you to draft your post in Word/Google Docs, and then copy the near final version to the website. This way you minimize the chance of some glitch happening. Also, you can edit your post after publishing if needed.

6. Don't forget to add a cool featured image to your post! To do that, scroll down in the “Add New Post” page, and click “Set featured image” on the right.

7. Go back to: https://insead.edublogs.org and read and comment on your colleagues' posts!
Appendix 6: Emma Shoes/Herman Miller Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. An open and abridged version of the Emma Shoes case (for a full version, please contact andre.calmon@insead.edu)

2. Link to a recording of Andre Calmon teaching a version of this session in 2016

3. Slides for this session
Emma Safety Shoes

Designing a Circular Shoe

(Open and Abridged Version)

This case was written by Andre Calmon, Assistant Professor of Technology and Operations Management, Luk Van Wassenhove, Professor of Technology and Operations Management, The Henry Ford Chaired Professor of Manufacturing, Director, INSEAD Humanitarian Research Group and Anne-Marie Carrick, Research Associate, all at INSEAD. It is intended to be used as a basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of an administrative situation.

This is an open and abridged version of the case. For a full version, please contact andre.calmon@insead.edu

This open version may be used for teaching purposes but it has not yet received an official case number by The Case Centre. No part of this publication may be copied, stored, transmitted, reproduced or distributed in any form or medium whatsoever without the permission of the copyright owner.
Introduction

Tom Hermans, Managing Director and owner of Emma Safety Footwear, had just returned from visiting new suppliers in South India. The company had made significant changes since Tom and three other investors had acquired it five years earlier in 2013. Emma had been in a financially precarious situation despite being the third brand in the Netherlands with revenues of €30 million. From the outset, Tom wanted to differentiate the Emma product range from other manufacturers in a market where competition was high. All safety footwear looked the same - similar shape and black.

It was with this in mind that he began looking at the idea of developing a shoe based on the circular economy principles. The redesign would require more sustainable materials and components. It also meant the company needed to find “next use” applications for parts of the shoes after disassembly. Although sustainability had been an important aspect of the company’s culture, the decision to develop a circular shoe required careful consideration and research as it would touch Emma’s business model, internal operations, and the company’s supply chain upstream and downstream. If they decided to develop a circular shoe, how would the company’s current customers react given the fierce competition in the safety shoe sector? Primary research showed that the circular shoe would cost more to produce – would the customers accept the increased price simply because the shoe was sustainable or should Emma absorb the additional costs?

Overview of Emma Safety Shoes

Safety shoes are a personal protective equipment that has a tough reinforcement around the toe area to protect the foot of the wearer from falling objects or compression. The shoes come with a midsole plate to protect against punctures from stepping over shards of hard materials.¹

Founded in 1931 in Limburg, the Netherlands, Emma’s origins were in the mining industry. During the 1920s, coal fuelled all major industries such as steal and heavy engineering. In the south of the country mines proliferated with many people moving from the north of the Netherlands, Germany, Belgium and Poland to work in the mines. Demand was high and the mining industry grew. However it was also one of the most dangerous professions: miners were subject to explosions, fire, roof collapses, flooding and suffocation. Accidents in the mines were common, with miners sustaining injuries that meant they could no longer work underground. Long-term health issues were also an issue, due to the cramped conditions the miners endured, often spending most of the day or night crouched or bent double. As a result, a fund for disabled miners (AMF) was created in 1918 to give financial support to those affected. It was soon oversubscribed and almost collapsed² leading to the Dutch State Mines creating employment opportunities suitable for the injured miners – among them repairing clothes and shoes for the miners. As part of this effort, a specialist shoe factory was built dedicated to providing miners with safer, sturdier and more supportive footwear, thus improving conditions in the mine by

² Emma Safety footwear catalogue 2016.
making their own boots – Emma Safety Shoes.\textsuperscript{34} Named after a former queen of the Netherlands, Emma initially produced shoes uniquely for the mining industry but its range soon expanded to other industries. Currently, Emma has 1000 people working globally with Emma products, 150 who were based in the Netherlands and facilities in Brazil, suppliers in South India, Italy etc). Emma safety footwear supplied workers in many industries including logistics, food, chemical, construction, metal, automotive, agriculture, electronics, security, services, oil and gas (Exhibit 1).

There were 80 different models with 500,000 pairs of shoes produced annually (click here for the full range). Each shoe was designed for use as safety footwear and not as a ‘fashion article’ with added safety value. Emma had also developed its own range of tests to ensure the products’ safety level (Exhibit 2). In addition to footwear, Emma supplied socks, insoles, shoe polishes, cleaning brushes and laces. It offered a foot scanning service onsite to match the best shoe to the person’s foot. Bespoke support shoes were also available with orthopaedic support. These requests represented between 1 and 5% of the company’s revenue and were a major source of cost and revenue.

Emma – A Social Enterprise

\textit{Our aim is not just to meet but to exceed the relevant standards because we are only interested in making the best. We are only satisfied when we are sure that people can go to work in total safety and without a worry thanks to our products. Naturally, that also applies to the people working at Emma. Indeed Emma has a special approach to personnel.}\textsuperscript{5}

From the first injured miners, Emma had a tradition of employing people with disabilities who were distant from the employment market. The company’s history of care of duty extended throughout the entire production chain from the suppliers’ working conditions to the origin of its raw materials:

\textit{We aim for honest and responsible production whereby people are treated and rewarded fairly. Child labour is unacceptable, while a safe place to work is of great importance. For Emma, doing business responsibly means being conscious of our environment.}\textsuperscript{6}

The Dutch environmental standards were applied across all Emma’s operations with regular inspections to ensure that waste was treated and disposed of correctly. Its purchasing department assumed responsibility for the environment by only working with suppliers who had attained the ISO 14001 standard.

The company also considered the sustainable development goals in all aspects of production and labour (Exhibit 3) as Iris van Wanrooij, Sustainability Programme Manager, at Emma explained:

\begin{itemize}
\item[5] www.emmasafetyfootwear.com, 2018
\item[6] www.emmasafetyfootwear.com, 2018
\end{itemize}
We are now also looking into the sustainable development goals especially with responsible production and fair labour of course. And indirectly, with good water usage and stuff. We are not only looking at our company here, but obviously the 800 people outside of the Netherlands who are working for Emma.

Safety Shoe Market and Emma

By 2017, Emma had become market leader in the Netherlands with a strong presence in the rest of Europe notably in Belgium (Exhibit 4). The global safety shoe market was fragmented with many small local players. Some of the larger players included: Honeywell, Bata, Caterpillar, WL Gore & Associates, Rockfall, Acme Zaininternational, XO Footwear, Liberty Shoes and Dunlop Protective Footwear. The market was attractive as the products were deployed across various industries with construction the most demanding (Exhibit 5 – market share by end user and geography). It was also a growing industry with analysts’ forecast the market to register a CAGR of 5.28% from 2016-2021 (Exhibit 6).\(^7\)

The safety shoe market, however, was traditionally conservative with a history of little innovation – most of the products looked similar and were black. As Tom pointed out:

\textit{Shoes can be every colour but in the end they are still black. As the industry is about safety the players and customers are reluctant to change. Another element is that most of the companies are family-owned who are conservative and want to stick to the old traditions.}

Supply Chain and Business Model

The production cost of an Emma safety shoe was on average €45 with sale price at an average of €85.\(^8\) The shoes’ life span was between 10 to 14 months although, legally, safety shoes in the Netherlands had to be replaced every 12 months. Some of the company’s larger clients had their own safety staff who added a Quick Response Code that tracked the shoe and alerted the user when the shoes needed replacing. Iris, noted:

\textit{Our customers have to buy safety shoes annually and we need to convince them to purchase Emma shoes. That’s why we constantly need to look for new solutions not only in terms of sustainability but in innovation.}

The upper part of the shoes consisted of 25 to 30 components supplied from Emma’s supplier in Brazil. The sole was added to the upper part of the shoe in the Netherlands at the last production stage. Emma partnered with renowned suppliers such as Vibram the Italian company that manufactured and licensed Vibram branded rubber outsoles for footwear. Cordura\(^8\) a

\(^7\) Source: Technavio.com 2018.
\(^8\) Emma Safety Footwear, internal excel spreadsheet.
manufacturer of fabric known for its durability and resistance to abrasions, tears and scuffs.\textsuperscript{9} Sympatex\textsuperscript{8} was a partner and producer of waterproof, windproof and breathable fabrics.\textsuperscript{10} 

Emma's products were mainly sold through dealers, with a small volume sold online. Roel Cremers, Product Manager at Emma, explained:

\textit{We have a push-pull strategy – we push our dealers to sell our products but we also pull by visiting the end users explaining the concept and quality of our shoes. The shoes are shipped to the dealer, who then send them to the end customer from their warehouse. Emma receives 30% and the dealer 40% of the margins. The dealers are our sales network. If we cut them out we lose our sales.}

The demand stream was generally predictable and stable as Emma knew how many employees there were in each company on the shop floor who required shoes, and also what type of shoe they needed every year.

**Developing a Circular Shoe?**

**Turnaround**

When Tom Hermans and the three investors purchased Emma Safety Shoes in 2013, the company was the third brand in the Netherlands but was on the verge of bankruptcy. Tom’s first task was to restructure and implement a clear vision for the company. He was also keen to develop the company’s legacy of social entrepreneurship and its environmental engagement. Corporate social responsibility was as important at Emma as making a profit, living by its motto:

\textit{A company with a big heart and care for people at a distance from the labour market. When ordinary shoes just aren’t up to the job…}\textsuperscript{11}

Tom also realised that few people had a vision of the entire supply chain, he explained:

\textit{For example where did the leather uppers come from and how does the tannery work? I travelled to see our suppliers and sub-suppliers to get the whole picture – from the slaughter houses to where the shoes finish when they are thrown away.}

Tom Hermans, Owner, Managing Director Emma Safety Shoes.

First stop was Emma’s major in north India - a visit that turned out to be a nasty shock. The tanneries were heavily polluted:

\textit{It was unbelievable we had been working there for 20 years. On my return to the Netherlands I asked how is it possible that we produce there when we have such}

\textsuperscript{9} Cordura\textsuperscript{8} fabrics were used in products such as luggage, backpacks, trousers, military wear and performance apparel.

\textsuperscript{10} The fabric features a waterproof, membrane that is laminated to fabrics either on its inner surface or sandwiched between two fabric layers (often marketed as "3 layer laminate").

\textsuperscript{11} \texttt{www.emmasafetyfootwear.com}, 2018.
high social standards yet we are letting people work in atrocious conditions – we must change this.

Tom Hermans, Owner, Managing Director Emma Safety Shoes.

Within two months they had moved suppliers to the south of India where there was a more innovative environmental attitude.

As part of Tom's plan to save costs and increase margins the number of dealers was decreased. This resulted in the smaller dealers buying from the larger ones. Other measures included a reduction in the number of shipments from the factory - reduced from a daily service to three times a week depending on demand.

Despite all these initiatives to make Emma float once more, margins remained small. Intense competition meant Emma’s potential customers had a wide choice of suppliers for their safety equipment, not only with a high standard of products on the market but also at a lower cost. Tom was aware that the company’s survival and growth long-term depended on how it could differentiate itself further. It was with this in mind that he began investigating the possibility of producing a circular safety shoe, which, if they decided to go down this route, would be the world’s first.

We invested a lot in marketing and sales and made the brand stronger becoming market leader in the Netherlands. We have a great history, but we did not have a clear vision for the future... we talked about sustainability in social system terms but not from the product side or how we stand in the world.

Tom Hermans, Owner, Managing Director Emma Safety Shoes.

Enter Frans Beckers and FBBasics

A circular economy is a regenerative system in which resource input and waste, emission and energy leakage are minimised by slowing, closing and narrowing energy and material loops; this can be achieved through long-lasting design, maintenance, repair, reuse, remanufacturing, refurbishing, recycling and upcycling.12

It was on Tom’s return from visiting the tanneries in India that he began seriously looking into the idea of developing a product range based on the circular economy principles. He had been contacted six months previously by his good friend, Frans Beckers, who had founded FBBasics a consulting company that helped companies, governments and institutions to convert their linear production and consumption system into a circular system.13 A shoe design based on the circular economy principles could be just the product to differentiate Emma from the other players and would enhance the company’s sustainable activities. The circular economy was well-established in the Netherlands, based on the principles of the Cradle to Cradle protocol.

---


13 https://www.fbbasic.com 2018
Cradle to Cradle (C2) Protocol

The Cradle to Cradle Protocol was based on two principles: eco-effectiveness vs. eco-efficiency and waste equals foods. The phrase "cradle to cradle" was coined by Walter R. Stahel in the 1970s but the current model was based on a system of "lifecycle development" initiated by Michael Braungart and colleagues at the Environmental Protection Encouragement Agency (EPEA) in the 1990s.¹⁴

Eco-efficiency was improving the modus operandi in an industrial system in a more environmentally friendly manner i.e. reducing pollution and decreasing the depletion of natural resources. Eco-effectiveness conversely was the creation of new processes that did not generate pollution and decrease natural resources further. In the process an object could be recycled and upcycled – used over and over again retaining the same quality.

Waste equal foods was the central design principal of eco-effectiveness according to William McDonough, as eco-effectiveness sought to design industrial systems that emulated the healthy abundance of nature. ¹⁵ The design of the product meant that it could be returned safely to industry through recycling or bio-gradation resulting in “virtuous closed loops” where natural and industrial elements were continuously recycled.¹⁶

There were four elements for implementing C2C: biological and technical nutrients; the green-yellow-orange-red list; disassembly and recyclability and recycled content (Exhibit 7 details the protocol further).

Research into Circular Shoe

(Omitted – for a full version contact andre.calmon@insead.edu)

Challenges

Tom was excited about the idea of developing the world’s first circular safety shoe but was this really the best way to differentiate the company? What would it entail to deploy the circular initiative? He knew that to be successful he would need buy-in from not just suppliers and customers but investors, staff and even the government.

---

¹⁴ Explored through the publication A Technical Framework for Life-Cycle Assessment. Add ref
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• About $1.5B in revenue/year (2001)
• Has done environmental initiatives since the 80’s
• Design for the environment

- Triple bottom line
- Eco-efficiency
EMMA Shoes – the circular shoe

Group Exercise: PVC or TPU in the Mirra Chair arm pad and Emma’s Circular Shoe

- Outline the information required to make this decision and who could provide this information;

- Based on the information available in the case, and considering Herman Miller’s or Emma’s operation strategy, list the pros and cons of the decision between PVC and TPU;

- What is your recommendation? Should Herman Miller use PVC or TPU in the Mirra Chair arm pad? Why? How about Emma?

- List the stakeholders (internal and external) that are affected by your recommendation. For each stakeholder, what are a few action items that the CEO should take in order to implement your recommendation?
PVC or TPU for the armrest?

• Retail price of chair is around $750
• Material will increase production cost for each chair by about $5 to $15
• Is it worth adopting it?

Pros of TPU:
• Consistent with HM brand and goals
• Good PR, advantage compared to competitors
• PR will pressure competitors and level playing field
• Transition to green materials will happen eventually

Cons of TPU:
• Tooling is $$
• PVC is industry standard
• No infrastructure for recycling
• Sets precedents for other products
What is different in Emma’s case?

"Classic" thinking in sustainability

Raw material (cradle) 

conserves, uses less, waste less

(similar to Toyota, LCA)

End-of-life disposal (grave)

What do you think about this idea?

“Classic” thinking in sustainability

Raw material (cradle) → End-of-life disposal (grave)

conserve, use less, waste less
(similar to Toyota, LCA)

“Circular” thinking as a BMI: Cradle to Cradle

Cradle to Cradle (C2C) Video

Waste = Food

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QMsFIPc_yWc
Circular Economy: an economic system with closed material loops (size >$500B/year)

Implementation of C2C requires BM changes

**Design:** needs to change traditional way of thinking and also imposes constraints (such as material choice).

**Manufacturing (and remanufacturing):** modularity for easy assembly and recovery. Waste reduction. Data collection.

**SC Management:** Suppliers might not “buy in” if you are a small/medium business. Requires sharing info and data.

**Cost:** Might require expensive changes

**Brand and marketing:** might backfire or be useless if not all products are C2C
What does Herman Miller and Emma gain?

**First mover advantage!** (anticipate legislation)
also **reduce operating expenses**, improves brand, increases employee retention…

**Example:** Phillips – improve sustainability of products & processes; pressure gov. for tougher regulation.

PHILIPS

---

What happened at Herman Miller

- They chose TPU and modified production tools
- Mira chair design is modular (easy to disassemble and repair)
- Aeron chair also no longer has PVC
- >50% of products follow “C2C protocol”
- Current CEO, Brian Walker, will have 100% of products C2C approved by 2020
What happened at Emma Shoes

- They used TPU for the circular shoe
- Initial launch was a success
- Working on updating their business model

If C2C is so awesome, why is it not more widespread?

- “Closing the loop” is a BMI and requires big changes in existing business models
- Competing with focused “linear firms” might be initially difficult.

It’s hard for the established companies to adapt:

**New Business Opportunity!**
Word of the day: **Close The Loop!!**

Innovation: Circular BMI

“Servitization”

SOURCE: Ellen MacArthur Foundation circular economy team
When does this work best?

- When there is price volatility and supply risk (e.g. rare earth minerals)
- When waste from others can be used as inputs (agribusiness/upcycling)
- When there is resource depletion and scarcity
- Products that are expensive for customers, or that present information risk for customers (e.g. CD’s - Spotify)
- Products that can be modularized
- When there is regulation (e.g. WEEE Directive)

What are the challenges?

- Focused “linear” players
- Incentive alignment risks with suppliers (needs trust)
- Information risks from consumers (and also needs trust)
- High transaction costs
- Price volatility
Innovation Template: Circular Economy

• Template 1: Recycling/Upcycling/Reuse/Refurbish
  • Pick your favorite industry-market
    • Are there large supply risks? Are there scarce resources being depleted?
    • If yes, how are the incumbents dealing with this? Are they closing the loop? If yes, how? If not, why not?
    • Are there opportunities to extract and reuse/recycle/upcycle the scarce/volatile resource from products at the end of their life-cycle? If not, can you modularize the product to allow for it?

• Template 2: Extending life-cycles/servitization
  • Pick your favorite industry-market
    • Are there misaligned quality incentives? Are products expensive and/or have short life-cycles?
    • If yes, how are the incumbents extending the product’s life-cycle? Are they closing the loop? Are any players doing servitization?
    • Will servitization reduce risks for customers? Can you align incentives between players?

Wrap-up: Close The Loop!!
Appendix 7: HeidelbergCement Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Description of Kiln Decision spreadsheet
   (please email David Drake for a copy of the spreadsheet: dfdrake@colorado.edu)
2. Teaching plan
3. Free money game (1-slide)
4. Document camera materials
5. Closing slides

Description of Kiln Decision spreadsheet: This session is not intended to be a spreadsheet building exercise, so a spreadsheet can be provided for students to weigh HeidelbergCement’s options (for a copy of the spreadsheet, please email David Drake at dfdrake@colorado.edu). In that spreadsheet, the large, gray block of data are facts from the case. The numbers in the blue block are assumptions that students can adjust to test various scenarios. And the numbers in the green block are calculations.

For any analysis that students do, they should assume:
1. That cement will sell for roughly 75€/tonne;
2. That the carbon cost pass-through rate is likely to be around 60% if a border adjustment is implemented, but would be 0% without a border adjustment.*
3. That 5€ / tonne of CO2 is the lowest possible emissions price and 65€ / tonne of CO2 is the highest possible emissions price, given the projections at the time of the case;
4. That a kiln, regardless of the technology used, has a useful life of 30 years; and
5. That HeidelbergCement uses a discount rate of 9%.

* Pass-through rate refers to the percentage of carbon cost incurred by a conventional precalciner kiln that HeidelbergCement could pass on via price increases. Without a border adjustment, this is very limited by the presence of offshore competitors. With a border adjustment, based on HeidelbergCement’s experience in observing demand responses to price changes, they have reason to believe that they could pass roughly 60% of their carbon costs on to the customer without materially impacting demand.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Price without Border Adjustment</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border Adjustment? (1 = yes; 0 = no)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon price pass-through % (if border adjustment =1)</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions Price (euro / tonne of CO2)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark Emissions Intensity (CO2 / tonne cement)</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual cement demand (tonnes)</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Kunda and Essu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transship Wet Kiln</td>
<td>3,000,000 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precaliner Kiln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS Kiln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Cost (euro)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land reservation cost (euro)</td>
<td>2,000,000 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Cost (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>65 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transship Cost (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>5 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions Intensity (CO2/tonne cement)</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Cost (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>8.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Cost (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>78.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>80.00 €</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Margin (euro/tonne cement)</td>
<td>2.00 €</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Present Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precaliner Kiln</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commit Today</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defer Commitment 7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HEIDELBERGCEMENT Teaching Plan

[5 min] INTRO

[15 min] INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS
- Alternative Fuel Capability & CCS

[30 min] SCENARIO BUILDING
- What are the key uncertainties?
- What criteria should we use to make decision?
- Commonality in uncertainties [direction/pick one]
- Scenario Building / Narratives / Competition

[15 min] SCENARIO PLANNING
- What should HC do in each future?
- Other stakeholder: How would EC feel about each?

[20 min] IMPLICATIONS OF POSTPONE OPTION
- Value drivers of postpone option?
- Economic & Environmental Impact of Postpone
- What do you do over next 7 years? (or option below)

OPTIONAL: Play the “Free money game” if students seemed hesitant to buy into the value in postponement

[5 min] WRAP

Board Plan

|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
Teaching Objectives

1. Describe emissions regulations, its goals, potential adverse effects, and how it can impact firms’ decision-making.

2. Apply scenario analysis frameworks to analyze decisions with highly uncertain outcomes, identify potential futures through the framework, and determine best option in each future.

3. Identify and describe real options and their value drivers, and distinguish a valuable option to postpone a decision from simply deferring a difficult decision.

Assignment questions

1. Assuming that postponing the decision was not an option, how should von Achten replace the expiring kiln in Kunda? Why? How does the possibility of postponing the decision change your recommendation, if at all?

2. HeidelbergCement faces a considerably more uncertain world today than they did in the past. Which of the uncertainties facing HeidelbergCement are you most concerned about? How would you recommend they address these uncertainties and concerns?

3. What are the environmental implications of each of HeidelbergCement’s available options and, in particular, the option you recommend?

4. [Optional For classes with a policy bent] How would you assess the effectiveness of the EU-ETS in regulating emissions in the cement sector? If you were advising the European Commission, how would you recommend they amend the EU-ETS for the sector, if at all?

CASE INTRODUCTION

- Earlier in the course we looked at Whole Foods’s growth strategy and considered the implications of location choice in a retail setting where customer fit and the potential for cannibalization were important considerations.

- Today, as we consider HeidelbergCement’s location decision, a whole different set of factors from into play. Ultimately, to replace the expiring Kunda plant, the decision HeidelbergCement must make combines a location and technology choice.

- At one time, this decision would have been straightforward… shut down the legacy kilns in Estonia and replace them with a precalciner kiln… But then EU-ETS was announced in 2000; and implemented in 2005… and that has made the decision more complex and rife with risk…
[COLD CALL] How has emissions regulation in Europe changed the game for Heidelberg?

[Brief discussion, let it be free flowing---costs; uncertainty; new competitive threats]
[Doc Cam regional asymmetry slides (slide 1 and 2) here or in discussion below if helpful]

The big decision in the case is deciding where to build a kiln and what kiln technology to use. For now, let’s assume that postponing the decision is not an option. If you were von Achten, what would you do?

[Push students for how they arrived at their decision.]

[Competing options with differing criteria are brought up at this point. The goal of the rest of the class will be to bring clarity to the decision process.]

Center Board Middle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Precaliner/Kunda</th>
<th>Precaliner/Slantsy</th>
<th>CCS/Kunda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Known technology</td>
<td>Best for high CO2 price</td>
<td>Head start on learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best if low CO2 price</td>
<td>and no BAM (most likely)</td>
<td>Develop new capability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- quite (most?) likely</td>
<td>Serve Russia if BAM</td>
<td>- Platform option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry “too big to fail”</td>
<td>- regional flexibility</td>
<td>- Network flexibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC the best w/ this tech.</td>
<td>Political unrest?</td>
<td>Boost “green” brand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not time to invest in this</td>
<td>Increase BAM likelihood?</td>
<td>Carbon “Sink”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ignores exposure in tech</td>
<td>- bad for kiln, good for HC</td>
<td>Costly!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arguments for/against Slantsy Precalciner

- Increase the likelihood of a Border Adjustment Mechanism (this will likely be presented as a negative for the Slantsy investment; in reality HeidelbergCement would probably be thrilled to significantly increase the likelihood of BAM by investing less than 200MM euro; do not raise this yet. A BAM would hurt the profitability of this facility, but vastly improve their profitability across the rest of their EU network)
  - That said, it is unlikely that the chance of increasing BAM is great. Would losing a kiln from Estonia to Russia swing the vote of Britain and The Netherlands (to staunchest BAM holdouts)?

Arguments for/against Kunda/CCS

HeidelbergCement has developed the best capability in the industry in using alternative and bio fuels (45% in EU, vs industry global average of 21%). Does that capability influence anyone’s decision? How?

Push: If you turn to CCS, do you get to leverage that capability?
- DOC CAM NET vs GROSS EMISSIONS (doc cam slide 3)

Answer: Yes… kiln acts as a carbon sink by generating negative net emissions from its fuel use
Pretty technical insight; can distract from main message, so use with discretion. But… some students find it really interesting that a cement kiln can be used to generate negative emissions. Very pertinent to sustainable built environments.
We are choosing a cement kiln and location... this is something HeidelbergCement has done for over 140 years. Why is this so difficult now? [get more specific than in the opener]

What are some of the key uncertainties here?
Push: How is that making the decision more difficult? What is driving that uncertainty?

How should we even think about a decision like this when there are so many moving parts?
What criteria should we base this decision on?
[pounce on maximize expected profit → how… do we know probabilities for these uncertainties?]
- Key difference from Genentech case: Genentech had experience with drug discovery; could make reasonable guesses as to probabilities of approval, etc. HC not so lucky

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Uncertainties</th>
<th>Two primary uncertainties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-ETS continuance (1)</td>
<td>1. CO2 Price Exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions price (1)</td>
<td>2. Offshore Advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Allowances (1)</td>
<td>Possible Criteria (e.g.,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political sanctions (2)</td>
<td>1. Max profits?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border adjustment (2)</td>
<td>2. Min chance of loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exchange rate (2)</td>
<td>3. Max optionality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology risk (CCS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is there any commonality b/t some of these uncertainties? Can we boil them down into a couple of primary uncertainties that HeidelbergCement faces with this decision?

Which way would von Achten like these uncertainties to break?
[CO2 price low; Offshore advantage low]
- Get this to point to later (opinion likely to change)

If EC would let you pick one outcome; which would it be? [Low CO2 price]; [again opinion will likely change]

Now let’s take a different approach to thinking about this. Let’s use these two uncertainties to create four possible futures that HeidelbergCement will face (Draw 2x2)... Are each of these scenarios feasible? What narrative would explain how we get from HeidelbergCement’s current situation to this future?

What is the competitive landscape likely to look like in this future (i.e., where is HC’s primary competition for the EU coming from)?
Who is setting the price (and with what technology)?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Descriptions</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Free</td>
<td>Carbon Flush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business as usual, a</td>
<td>Offshore advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions Price</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What would you call this scenario? Give it a name. [Iterate for each quadrant]
Let’s think through this decision on a future by future basis. If we knew which of the futures we would face, would it be difficult to decide which technology and location to invest in? Which options would look most attractive if HeidelbergCement found themselves here [pick scenario]?

[Discuss; Iterate through the scenarios] Why? What options look particularly unattractive?

**Left Board Top** [LIKELY JUST ADD THIS TO CENTER BOARD]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Emissions Price)</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best: Kunda CCS</td>
<td>Best: Slantsy/Precalciner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst: Slantsy/Precalciner</td>
<td>Worst: Anything in Kunda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Imp: Positive</td>
<td>Env. Imp: Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best: Kunda Precalciner</td>
<td>Best: Kunda Precalciner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst: Kunda CCS</td>
<td>Worst: Kunda CCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Env. Imp: None</td>
<td>Env. Imp: None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does anyone have some numbers that we can put to this?

- Walk through on doc cam; **have own numbers standing by in case things go pear-shaped**
- What assumptions have you made? (key ones: price; alt fuel mix; allowances)

So, part of what was making this so difficult is that the best option varies based on how all of these uncertainties resolve… [doc cam results now, if you have not already, doc cam slide 4]… Each option is preferred in some setting, and each option is the least preferred in some setting...

So, at the beginning of class, when we were exploring this choice… at one level, yes, we were debating what technology or location to invest in. But at a deeper level, what **we were really debating was what future to invest in… or what futures to hedge against**.

We still have one missing piece… who is the other major stakeholder in this game? [the EC]

- How would they feel about each of these outcomes?

Stepping out of these alternate futures and back to the time of the case, does this exercise offer any insight into how HeidelbergCement should replace Kunda if postponing were not an option?
All right, when ignoring the option to defer, [summarize where discussion ended up without taking the option to defer into account] How does the option to defer the Kunda kiln for an additional seven years change things?

[DISCUSSION]

What does this option to defer buy you?
- Reduces cost of being wrong: How much is simply postponing a wrong decision worth?
- Increases chance of getting it right:

Is this an attractive option for HeidelbergCement?

In general, what drives the value of an option like this? (see “value drivers” list below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk mitigation</th>
<th>Value drivers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; cost of being wrong</td>
<td>Low cost to “buy” option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pushes invest. Out 7 yrs</td>
<td>Substantial uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Saves 10’s MM in NPV</td>
<td>- value increases w/ unc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; chance of being wrong</td>
<td>Outcome vary a lot based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; chance of being right</td>
<td>on how unc. resolves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Impact</td>
<td>Long horizon to execute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative: extend wet kiln</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If wrong, lose 160MM euro. (170MM for CCS)
Discounted loss if made 7 years from now:
100MM euro (87MM for CCS)
NPV savings: 60MM euro (83MM for CCS)
(Beware: cost of “buying” the option is 65MM euro)
- 5MM upfront;
- 9 euro/ton in Esse (Wet kiln op cost vs. precalciner)
- 14 euro/ton in Kunda when transshipping

Value drivers close:
So if you have enough of these (indicate value drivers) then you have a valuable option to defer.
If you don’t have enough of these, you’re just procrastinating!

Options---see what students recommend HC do over the next seven years or play the “free money game” to shine more brightness on what distinguishes an option to defer from simply procrastinating

Option 1: If you choose to defer the decision, what else should HeidelbergCement be doing over the next seven years?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POA next seven years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continue to develop CCS technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Platform option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- May be worthless, may save your business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobby the European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Don’t passively wait for future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Be active in shaping future</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How much will CCS be worth to HeidelbergCement?
- Depends… maybe nothing, maybe a lot

So why are they investing so heavily in it?
- CCS technology is also a real option; in this case, a platform option.

What drives the value of this sort of option? (same as above)

Based on this discussion… Can anyone make a case for investing in CCS today? [Treat the Kunda CCS plant as production scale R&D; begin the learning, get an edge over competition; just one plant in broad network… yes, likely to lose money, but it provides improved option value]
Option 2: Now… you are all business students, and that often means that we have a bias toward action. That’s good… sometimes! But it also means that choosing to wait can leave a bad taste in our mouths. So… let’s make sure that there can actually be value in waiting.

I need a volunteer… [If no hands follow with: “you can when ten dollars”]

[Walk through the “free money game” slide]

Here’s the game: You choose a number from 1 to 6. After you give us all your number, I am going to roll a di. If the number I roll matches the number I gave us, then you get the ten dollars. Sound good?

What is the expected value of playing this game?

Okay… ready? What’s your number?

[After they give you a number almost roll the di] Wait… wait. Let’s make this more interesting.

Instead of playing the basic game, I want to give you a choice.

Here’s a quarter [hand the student a quarter]. Now… you can buy an option here. You give me that quarter back, and we change the game up. You pay me the quarter, and then you don’t have to give me your number until after I roll the di. Sound good?

What is the expected value of this version of the game?

[Play the game out; the student almost certainly will play the second version and win $10]

[Wrap up the game by highlighting the difference---noted on the slide---between buying an option to defer and simply procrastinating]
Regulatory Asymmetry across Regions

Cement heavy and limestone ubiquitous; transport often cost prohibitive
Significant domestic cost advantage in the absence of regulation
Regulatory Asymmetry across Regions

Cost parity to disadvantage after accounting for projected emissions costs.
BCG (2008) projects Italy, Greece, Poland, and UK production displaced.

Alternative Fuels: Gross vs Net Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>Use (Gross CO2)</th>
<th>Don’t Use</th>
<th>Difference (Net CO2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fossil</td>
<td>100kg/GJ</td>
<td>0kg/GJ</td>
<td>100kg/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio /Alt</td>
<td>100kg/GJ</td>
<td>100kg/GJ</td>
<td>0kg/GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal CCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio/Alt CCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HeidelbergCement Update

- No further clarity yet around Phase IV of the EU-ETS

- HeidelbergCement has postponed kiln replacement decisions whenever possible since 2003
  - 46 kilns in HeidelbergCement’s EU Network
  - Replaced 2 in the last 12 years (Poland and Germany)

- They continue to develop CCS technology in Brevik, Norway at an R&D scale
  - No production scale CCS plant operating today

- They actively engage with EC, IEA, and Cembureau in an effort to help guide the future of the EU-ETS
HeidelbergCement Take-Aways

• Insight into challenges of managing under regulatory uncertainty
  
  – Firm’s view: “State of the world” uncertainties make capital-intensive decisions very risky
  
  – Regulator’s view: Incomplete information and regulatory complexity can lead to adverse outcomes

HeidelbergCement Take-Aways

• In a stable environment, operations strategy is about staking out a position and maximizing profit

• In a turbulent environment, the goal becomes to create strategic flexibility; two tools to consider
  – Scenario analysis
  – Real options intuition
Scenario Analysis

• Steps in scenario planning
  1. Understand uncertainties that determine future
  2. Create possible alternative futures
  3. Craft narratives to better understand each future
  4. Develop options for managing in each future

• Purpose of scenario planning:
  – *Is not* to come up with a forecast (it will be wrong)
  – *Is* to prepare for range of futures that may unfold

“Real Options” Intuition

• Real option value drivers:
  1. Low cost to “buy” the option (reserve land, OpEx)
  2. Substantial uncertainties (e.g., CO₂ price, BAM)
  3. Outcomes vary greatly (profit across scenarios)
  4. Length of optionality horizon (e.g., 7 yrs)

• Many real options exist. Three examples:
  – Option to defer (e.g., sustain Kunda plant)
  – Platform option (e.g., CCS, R&D generally)
  – Market optionality (e.g., serve Estonia or Russia)
Appendix 8: Better Place Role-Playing Game Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. A recording of Andre Calmon teaching the introduction to the game in 2016. (https://youtu.be/3wfvmI Pfv7E)

2. A description of the game and each player's role;

3. Game debrief slides.
Better Place Game Decisions

Note: Each player has private and public information. Please refer to the game sheets for references.

Better Place: Mr. (Mrs.) Marcos (Sarah) Santos and Mrs. (Mr.) Taylor Smart

Objective (current): Obtain at least 3 out of 4 goals:
1. Obtain tax incentives from government for battery swap stations. The current cost of building a swap station and maintaining it for five years is $2 million, and you are requesting a $500,000 tax incentive from the government. This would effectively reduce the cost of setting-up a switching station to $1.5 million.
2. The government provides tax breaks for electric vehicles: this is critical for increasing adoption. With tax incentives, the consumer price of your current electric vehicle (only the car, since the battery is included in the subscription), manufactured by Renault, would go from $17,000 to $12,000. The average price of a new gas fueled car in the category targeted by Better Place is $15,000. A hybrid costs on average $20,000.
3. You receive investment from the Clean World Fund, allowing Better Place to install extra stations in Mulin, the largest city of Carpania. You already have 6 planed stations, and you estimate that 15 stations would be the tipping point to eliminate potential customer “range anxiety” in the greater Mulin area. In return for the investment, the Clean World Fund would receive equity in the Better Place operations in Carpania.
4. You establish a partnership with a large Carpanian auto-maker. This is a key part of the plan. If an automaker decides to produce a car that is compatible with Better Place batteries, and is willing to sell cars together with a Better Place battery subscription (as done with Renault in other markets), this would be a decisive argument to convince government officials to provide subsidies for switching stations. If this deal falls through, you could enter the Carpanian market with Renault vehicles, which might not be attractive to Carpanian consumers.

Decision (current): Enter or not the Carpanian market. If they enter the market but less than 3 out of 4 things objectives occur, the company fails.

Objective (new) : Profitably enter the Carpanian market. This will, once again, depend on the decisions of the other players. However, Better Place will be able test different scenarios with the Whatifcs tool.

Decision (new): Enter or not the Carpanian market. The decisions of the other players is still private, and they might not be profitable.

Government: Mr. (Mrs.) Lucas (Lara) Weber
**Objective (current):** Maximize adoption of new tech

**Decisions (current):** The government can choose two out of four options:
- Tax breaks for battery switching stations;
- Tax incentives for EVs;
- Tax incentives for green technologies;
- Carbon Tax.

**Objective (New):** The new objective will be "votes" - we need to discuss this further.

**Clear World Fund: Mrs. (Mr.) Olivia (Olivier) Green**

**Objective (current):** Maximize investment ROI

**Decisions (current):** The investor must decide on how much to invest in three companies:
- SunnyTech: A solar startup that will grow with green tax incentives
- Ecolnject: A startup also being considered by Major Engines
- Better Place

**Objective (New):** The objective will be the same. The ROI of the investment in Better Place and Ecolnject will be "dampened" by other player decisions.

The current payoff table is below. The cells with "depends" indicate dependence on the decisions of Major Engines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clean World Fund Decisions</th>
<th>Government Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon Tax + Green Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SunnyTech</td>
<td>350%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecolnject</td>
<td>500% or 100% (depends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Place</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major Engines: Mrs. (Mr.) Aditi (Arjun) Gupta**

**Objective (current):** Maximize market share and gains/losses
**Decisions (current):** Major engines possible decisions are:
- Make "Project Omega" compatible with Better Place;
- Invest in Ecoinject, a startup for more efficient fuel injection;
- Pursue "Project Omega" without Better Place;
- A combination of the above.

**Objective (New):** The new objective will be investment ROI. The ROI of the investment in Better Place will be "dampened" by an investment in Ecoinject.

The current payoff table is below. The cells with "depends" indicate dependence on the decisions of the investor.

### Government Decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Engines Decisions</th>
<th>Carbon Tax</th>
<th>EV Tax Break</th>
<th>EV+Carbon tax</th>
<th>Switching Station + EV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Place</td>
<td>-$50mm</td>
<td>+6% -$50mm or -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+6% -$50mm or -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecoinject</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>+1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP+Ecoinject</td>
<td>3% and -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+1% -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+ &gt;6% -$50mm or +3% and -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+1% -$50mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega+Ecoinject</td>
<td>~3%</td>
<td>~4%</td>
<td>&gt;4%</td>
<td>~4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group Sheet

Part 1: Introductions
Please introduce yourself and describe your role in your organization.

Part 2: Discussion
Let the Better Place team (or individual) do their pitch and place their requests. Afterwards, negotiate and reach an agreement.

Part 3: Decision Time and After Class
Once you think you have reached your decision, write and submit your strategy/decision together with your group number. What were the main issues and conflicts? (Less than 250 words) DO NOT SHARE YOUR DECISION WITH OTHERS.

Part 4: Next Class
We will debrief and announce winners.
Mr. (Mrs.) Marcos (Sarah) Santos - Better Place VP of Operations

Preliminary Instructions:

Your role and instructions are described below. Please do not share this paper or discuss the contents with anyone else unless explicitly directed to do so by the professor or by these instructions. After reading these instructions, you will get together with the people who have been assigned the same role to discuss it and make sure all the details are clear. After this, you will join a group of the other players in this exercise.

Details of your role (share this with Taylor Smart):

You are Mr. (Mrs.) Marcos (Sarah) Santos, a recent INSEAD graduate (MBA ‘06D) hired to be part of the team responsible for coordinating the expansion of Better Place into new markets. More specifically, you are responsible for designing, analyzing, and developing operations strategies for potential new markets.

Currently, you are considering entering Carpania, one of the richest countries in the world. The Carpanians love their vehicles and the majority of Carpanians own cars and use them in their daily commute. In addition, Carpania has a large auto-industry and cars correspond to almost 15% of the country’s exports.

Although Taylor Smart, your colleague, thinks that Carpania is the ideal new market for Better Place, you have a few concerns. Namely,

- Range anxiety: Carpanians love driving their cars and use them in their daily commute. The average Carpanian drives 60km/day, and 80% of Carpanians drive between 40km/day and 120km/day. You are afraid that electric cars will not be adopted since batteries have a small range (current range is about 150km max).

- Initial target market segment: although Better Place has focused on personally owned vehicles, another potential market are fleet vehicles (taxis, delivery vans, company vehicles, etc.). Although the B2B sales cycle is challenging, focusing on fleet vehicles could potentially allow Better Place to enter the Carpanian market in a calculated and controlled way, without needing partners other than Renault. The drawback is that you would not obtain the “exponential growth” expected by your investors. This could be a good alternative to establish a foot in the market, in case the other players are not swaying your way.

- More attractive markets: you have recently met with public officials in California, England, and Canada. All these markets seem amenable to the Better Place business proposition. In fact, the Californian government has promised substantial tax breaks for Better Place and you are in advanced talks with GM. Unless you are able to cut a deal with Carpanian public officials, automakers, and investors, the Carpanian market will be considerably unattractive.

Decision

Should you enter the Carpanian market? What will be your target market segment?
Mrs. (Mr.) Taylor Smart - Better Place Business Developer

Preliminary Instructions:

Your role and instructions are described below. Please do not share this paper or discuss the contents with anyone else unless explicitly directed to do so by the professor or by these instructions. After reading these instructions, you will get together with the people who have been assigned the same role to discuss it and make sure all the details are clear. After this, you will join a group of the other players in this exercise.

Details of your role (share this with Marcos (Sarah) Santos):

You are Mrs. (Mr.) Taylor Smart, a recent INSEAD graduate (MBA ‘08J) hired to be part of the team responsible for coordinating the expansion of Better Place into new markets.

More specifically, you are responsible for developing Better Place in Carpania, one of the richest countries in the world. The Carpanians love their vehicles and the majority of Carpanians own cars and use them in their daily commute. In addition, Carpania has a large auto-industry and cars correspond to almost 15% of the country’s exports.

You need strategic partners and investors to join Better Place in Carpania. You also want to convince the government to provide tax incentives for re-charging and battery swapping stations. Currently, the cost of the Renault electric car Better Place uses is $17,000 (not including the battery) and the cost of the battery is about $10,000. Ideally, you would like all of the following four outcomes:

1. The government provides tax incentives for battery swap stations. The current cost of building a swap station and maintaining it for five years is $2 million, and you are requesting a $500,000 tax incentive from the government. This would effectively reduce the cost of setting-up a switching station to $1.5 million.

2. The government provides tax breaks for electric vehicles: this is critical for increasing adoption. With tax incentives, the consumer price of your current electric vehicle (only the car, since the battery is included in the subscription), manufactured by Renault, would go from $17,000 to $12,000. The average price of a new gas fueled car in the category targeted by Better Place is $15,000. A hybrid costs on average $20,000.

3. You receive investment from the Clean World Fund, allowing Better Place to install extra stations in Mulin, the largest city of Carpania. You already have 6 planned stations, and you estimate that 15 stations would be the tipping point to eliminate potential customer “range anxiety” in the greater Mulin area. In return for the investment, the Clean World Fund would receive equity in the Better Place operations in Carpania.

4. You establish a partnership with a large Carpanian auto-maker. This is a key part of the plan. If an automaker decides to produce a car that is compatible with Better Place batteries, and is willing to sell cars together with a Better Place battery subscription (as done with Renault in other markets), this would be a decisive argument to convince government officials to provide subsidies for switching stations. If this deal falls through, you could enter the Carpanian market with Renault vehicles, which might not be attractive to Carpanian consumers.

The partnership with a Carpanian automaker would be similar to the one that exists with Renault, and similar to the contracts that Wireless Service Providers have with mobile phone manufacturers. In order to encourage adoption of Better Place’s electric vehicles, the initial cost of the car would be subsidized to match the cost of a typical internal combustion engine vehicle (or even potentially be cheaper), and customers would pay a subscription that defines a certain price per km. Thus, if the
electric vehicle made by the automaker costs the consumer $17,000 (without the battery), then the consumer would buy the vehicle from the automaker and lease the battery, paying a subscription per km driven (that also covers the costs of electricity use). The current cost for Better Place for sourcing and installing a battery is about $10,000, but this price is expected to go down over time.

Although the partnership with the Carpanian automaker is not crucial, you expect that it would lead to a 6% market share of the Carpanian car market in 4 years, instead of 2% without the partnership (given that the other outcomes occur).

In Carpania, the electricity cost of an electric vehicle is about $0.04/km. If the average cost for the consumer for an internal combustion vehicle is $0.10/km, Better Place would charge the consumer $0.09/km, making a gross profit of $0.05/km. If a consumer drives on average 60km/day for 330 days each year, that would correspond to about $1000/year/customer in gross profit. This value can increase as battery prices go down and renewable energy is used in the recharging stations.

**Your Objective:**

Your objective as a Business Developer is to maximize the number of partnerships, investment, and tax breaks for Better Place.

**Evaluation**

For you and Marcos (Sarah) Santos, at least 3 out of the 4 outcomes have to happen in order for the market entry to be considered successful. A secondary evaluation criterion is the number of battery swapping stations you are able to build.
Mrs. (Mr.) Aditi (Arjun) Gupta - COO, Major Engines

Preliminary Instructions:

Your role and instructions are described below. Please do not share this paper or discuss the contents with anyone else unless explicitly directed to do so by the professor or by these instructions. After reading these instructions, you will get together with the people who have been assigned the same role to discuss it and make sure all the details are clear. After this, you will join a group of the other players in this exercise.

Details of your role:

You are Mrs. (Mr.) Aditi (Arjun) Gupta, an INSEAD graduate (GEMBA ‘04) and the COO of Major Engines, the second largest auto-manufacturer in Carpania, one of the richest countries in the world. The Carpanians love their vehicles and the majority of Carpanians own cars and use them in their daily commute. In addition, Carpania has a large auto-industry and cars correspond to almost 15% of the country’s exports. As a COO, you don’t usually meet with small start-ups. However, you decided to make an exception since Taylor Smart (the Better Place business developer) is also an INSEAD alumnus. You think the partnership could be interesting, but might conflict with your current plans.

Major Engines has been a player in the hybrid vehicle market for the last 10 years, and has the best selling mid-size hybrid vehicle in Carpania. The average cost per kilometer for the owners of this car is $0.07/km and the vehicle is sold for $20,000.

You also have been coordinating a secret project called the Project Omega¹: the first all-electric vehicle (EV) of Carpania. There are two challenges for this project that have been on your mind:

- Range Anxiety: Carpanians love driving their cars and use them in their daily commute. The average Carpanian drives 60km/day, and 80% of Carpanians drive between 40km/day and 120km/day. You are afraid that electric cars will not be adopted since batteries have a small range (current range is 150km max). In fact, Major Engines is considering marketing the Omega car as an extra car a household could own for intra-city daily commute. Thus, consumers might buy one car for their daily commute, and use a vehicle with a gas engine for longer trips. Completely recharging a battery takes several hours.

- Car and Battery costs: batteries are expensive and the one used in Project Omega would cost the consumer about $11,000. This puts the price of the Project Omega car at an estimated $27,000 (just the vehicle’s price is $16,000 without the battery). However, for customers, the cost per kilometer for electric vehicles charged at home is estimated at $0.04/km.

- Consumer tax breaks for EVs: You have been lobbying for tax breaks for electric vehicles. You estimate that a $5000 tax subsidy will be decisive for a successful launch of the Project Omega car, since it will reduce the price of the vehicle to $22,000 – only 10% more expensive than the current hybrid vehicles in the market.

The project is already quite advanced (it could be introduced into the market in 2 years) and the costs necessary to launch this vehicle have already been budgeted. However, you might consider postponing the launch of Project Omega depending on oil prices and tech for combustion engines.

More specifically, you have been chatting with Ecolinjet² (based in Mulin, Carpania) - a startup that has developed a new fuel injector technology that makes both regular and hybrid engines at least 20% more

¹ It’s up to you to share some of this info or not.

²
fuel efficient. They estimate that, for mid-size non-hybrid sedans, they can reduce the average cost per kilometer by 25%, from $0.10/km to $0.075/km. The new fuel-injector would not incur a significant cost increase in current car prices. For the hybrid car that Major Engines produces, this would represent a cost reduction for the consumer, and the cost per km would decrease from $0.07/km to $0.056/km. However, any type of carbon tax could increase this cost per kilometer. Given that the value customers place on fuel economy of operating a vehicle is increasing in the cost/km, market studies indicate that, if a carbon tax happens, partnering with EcoInject would increase you market share by 3% (an additional $120 million in revenue/year). If there are no carbon taxes in place, the market share increase would be about 1%.

You understand the value of being a first mover in a market, and Better Place’s technology seems potentially disruptive. In preparation for your meeting, you had your engineers analyze how much it would cost to redesign Project Omega and its production line to be compatible with the Better Place batteries and the initial estimate was $50 million.

If a partnership with Better Place were to happen, and if the entry of Better Place into the market is successful, this new vehicle will likely be a huge success, and you estimate you would obtain an additional 6% market share in 4 or 5 years (about $240 million in revenue per year). However, if you partner with Better Place and things don’t work out for them, this will be an embarrassing failure for Major Engines, hurting your prospect of becoming CEO. You estimate that, without this partnership, Better Place will be able to obtain at most 2% of market share in the next five years (you would lose about 1% of market share in this case).

If you choose not to partner with Better Place, your analysts envision two possible outcomes for Project Omega. If no consumer tax breaks are put in place, they estimate that Major Engines’ market share increase from the Project Omega car will be less than 1% (the vehicle will be a niche product) and the project will largely be considered a failure. If tax incentives do occur, the market share increase will be about 4% (about $160 million in additional revenue per year).

Finally, if you partner with EcoInject and no carbon taxes are in place, leading to hybrid and internal combustion vehicles with a very low cost per km, this might make the economics of the Better Place business model infeasible in the short run. You are also concerned that Better Place might not be able to secure the investment necessary to build battery swapping stations.

**Decision:**

Will you make the Project Omega car compatible with Better Place? Will you ditch electric vehicles all together and stick to hybrid cars? Will you partner with EcoInject?

**Evaluation**

You will be evaluated on your increase in market share and/or losses.
Mrs. (Mr.) Olivia (Oliver) Green, Partner, Clean World Fund

Preliminary Instructions:

Your role and instructions are described below. Please do not share this paper or discuss the contents with anyone else unless explicitly directed to do so by the professor or by these instructions. After reading these instructions, you will get together with the people who have been assigned the same role to discuss it and make sure all the details are clear. After this, you will join a group of the other players in this exercise.

Details of your role:

You are Mrs. (Mr.) Olivia (Oliver) Green, an INSEAD graduate (MBA ‘02D) and a successful entrepreneur. After two successful start-up exits (one for a company that produced organic-cotton underwear and the second for an on-line liquor store) you raised money and created the Clean World Fund, a social impact fund focused on investing in companies that would help reduce carbon emissions and speed-up the transition to a clean energy world. You are based in Mulin, the largest city in Carpania, one of the richest countries in the world.

The Carpanians love their vehicles and the majority of Carpanians own cars and use them in their daily commute. Carpania also has a very active start-up scene, and there are many companies in the green-tech sector. Although Carpania is an advanced economy, it has a very pollutant energy base. Less than 10% of the energy in Carpania is generated from renewable sources and there are many coal power plants throughout the country. This is a source of concern for the government, and policymakers are trying to find the best way to help Carpania transition to a greener economy.

You need to decide if you will invest or not in Better Place. Your investment will likely be used to build battery swapping stations. You estimate that at least 15 stations are needed in the greater Mulin area in order to eliminate “range anxiety” and to obtain a critical mass of customers. Given the equity that you will receive in exchange for your investment, you estimate that the ROI for Better Place will be 600% in the long run if they are able to successfully enter the market. However, this depends on Better Place being able to build enough switching stations, on a large Carpanian car company partnering with them, and some tax incentives from the government.

Besides Better Place, you are looking at two other potential investments (these companies are in “stealth-mode”, so you should not share this info with Better Place. You can share the existence of these companies with the other players, but don’t share any numbers):

- **SunnyTech** (based in Mulin, Carpania): a solar energy tech company that produces nanocrystal solar cells. These solar cells Could potentially be 20%-30% more efficient that traditional solar panels with only a 15% increase in price. This price increase would not significantly reduce the average payback time of installing solar panels for most consumers. Thus, if there are no other incentives for price reduction (such as tax breaks) the expected ROI for investing in this company is about 30%. If there are tax incentives for solar energy (which would reduce the cost of adopting solar panels by 20%), the market for green tech would increase significantly, and the expected ROI would be around 350%.
- **EcoInject** (based in Mulin, Carpania): a startup that developed a new fuel injector technology that makes both regular and hybrid engines at least 20% more fuel efficient. They estimate that, for mid-size non-hybrid sedans, they can reduce the average cost per kilometer by 20%, from $0.10/km to $0.075/km. The new fuel-injector would not represent a significant cost increase in current car prices. This company was founded by a senior engineer from Major Engines, Carpania’s largest car company, and you are aware that they might partner with (and maybe later on acquire) this company. Also, in the long run, some sort of carbon tax seems inevitable, creating more demand for this new technology. If the process of a carbon tax is accelerated and happens in the near future (i.e., if this is part of the policymaker’s decision), and if Major Engines partners with EcoInject, you expect a ROI of 500%. However, if Major Engines partners with EcoInject but no carbon tax happens, the ROI is expected to be about 100%. In case there is no partnership, the ROI is -100%, i.e., your investment is lost.

If Major Engines partners with EcoInject, leading to hybrid and internal combustion vehicles with a very low cost per km, it could potentially make the economics of the Better Place business model infeasible

Due to the number of investments the fund already has, you are willing to invest **at most $20 million between two of the tree startups**. Your main concern regarding Better Place is that, unless they obtain a partnership with a local automaker, it will be difficult to enter the Carpanian market (**Carpanian’s are very nationalistic and prefer local car brands**).

**Decisions:**

In which two of the three companies will you invest? How much?

**Evaluation**

You will be evaluated on the ROI of your investments.
Mr. (Mrs.) Lucas (Lara) Weber, Environment Minister of Carpania

Preliminary Instructions:

Your role and instructions are described below. Please do not share this paper or discuss the contents with anyone else unless explicitly directed to do so by the professor or by these instructions. After reading these instructions, you will get together with the people who have been assigned the same role to discuss it and make sure all the details are clear. After this, you will join a group of the other players in this exercise.

Details of your role:

You are Mr. (Mrs.) Lucas (Lara) Weber, the Environment Minister of Carpania, one of the richest countries in the world. The Carpanians love their vehicles and the majority of Carpanians own cars and use them in their daily commute. Carpania also has a very active start-up scene, and there are many companies in the green-tech sector. Although Carpania is an advanced economy, it has a very pollutant energy base. Less than 10% of the energy in Carpania is generated from renewable sources and there are many coal power plants throughout the country.

Despite your young age, you are already one of the stars of the Carpanian political scene, and you are on the fast-track for a potential future presidential candidacy. You have the ear of the president, and you are helping shape the future of Carpanian environmental policy. Better Place seems like a very exciting company, and you have already visited their HQ in Israel.

Currently, you are evaluating potential tax breaks and/or incentives for the green industry. In the long run, you hope that this will help Carpania transition to a “clean” economy. Also, due to the huge political expense of approving one of these incentives, you need to focus your efforts. More specifically, you are considering four options and will choose two of them:

1. **Tax breaks for the expansion of battery switching stations:** This is a tax incentive tailored for Better Place with the goal of increasing adoption of electric vehicles by effectively reducing the price of implementing a battery switching station by $500,000. However, there is a drawback: if Carpanian drivers do not change their driving habits, overall carbon emissions might not decrease since the majority of energy generated in Carpania is from pollutant sources and switching stations would further incentivize driving.

2. **Tax incentives for electric vehicles:** This tax break would effectively reduce the price for a consumer purchasing an electric vehicle by $5000. It would increase adoption of electric vehicles and would also encourage Carpanian automakers to produce electric cars.

3. **Tax incentives for green energy technologies:** This is a tax break that would cover consumers that install solar panels or other energy saving technology. It would decrease the cost of adopting solar panels by 20% and would increase the adoption of renewable sources of energy in Carpania. There are many startups in Carpania developing novel solar panel tech. If one of these companies managed to get their technology to market (they might require private investment for such), and you manage to implement green energy tax incentives, this would be a game-changing step for transitioning Carpania to a green energy base.
4. **Carbon tax**: This would increase the average cost per kilometer for gas and hybrid cars by 20% (for example, from $0.10/km to $0.12/km). Experts say that it would be a decisive step towards reducing emissions and research indicates that this might be the best way to decrease CO₂ emissions. However, it could impact many Carpanian automakers, like Major Engines, and transportation dependent industries. You hope that it will spur investment in more efficient internal combustion engines and hybrid vehicles.

Finally, you understand the value and potential of Carpanian industry, and would prefer to have local players develop clean and green tech, instead of players from abroad.

**Decision**

What two policy options (out of the possible four) will you choose? Which one will you prioritize?

**Evaluation**

You will be evaluated by the environmental outcome of the negotiations, i.e., how much you were able to advance the adoption of green technologies. The “greener” the outcome, the better. The second evaluation criteria is how much you help Carpanian companies
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• What is their business model?

Does the “mobile phone” metaphor make sense?

Who were the stakeholders?

• Consumers

• Better Place

• Government

• Auto manufacturers

• Investors
Consumer: What are the risks?

- Range Anxiety
- Cost
- Quality
- Charging Time

Group Outcomes - EA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Better Place</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Major Engines</th>
<th>Investor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group Outcomes - EB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Better Place</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Major Engines</th>
<th>Investor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X(!)</td>
<td>BP $13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>BP $13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Better Place: Marcos (Sarah) Santos and Taylor Smart

- What did you want?
- What were the decisions?
- How were you evaluated?
- What is realistic? What is not?
**Better Place:**
Marcos (Sarah) Santos and Taylor Smart

Outcomes (EA):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 2, 5, 8, 10</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 4, 6, 7, 9</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 and 3</td>
<td>No Entry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcomes (EB):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 7 and 9</td>
<td>4/4 (But no carbon tax and EcolInject happened)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1, 2, 3, 5</td>
<td>3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>0/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 8</td>
<td>No Entry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Government: Lucas (Lara) Weber

- What was your objective?
- What were your options?
- What did you decide?
- Why were governments reluctant to give Better Place a tax break?

Government Options

- Carbon Tax: Increases cost of CO₂ emissions
- Tax incentives for Electric Vehicles
- Tax incentives for Green Tech
- Tax Breaks for switching stations

What is the “greenest” combination?
In 2012, more than 50% of Americans lived in areas where EVs were more pollutant than hybrid cars.

Don "State of Charge: Electric Vehicles’ Global Warming Emissions and Fuel-Cost Savings across the USUCs. April 212

You need to think Cradle to Cradle!!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 2, 5, 8, and 10</td>
<td>EV and Stations tax break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 9</td>
<td>EV tax break + Carbon Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 4 and 7</td>
<td>EV Tax break + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6</td>
<td>Swap Station Tax Break + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1 and 3</td>
<td>Carbon Tax + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Government Decisions (EA)

©Andre Calmon
### Government Decisions (EB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 4, 7, and 9</td>
<td>EV and Stations tax break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5</td>
<td>EV tax break + Carbon Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1 and 2</td>
<td>EV Tax break + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>Swap Station Tax Break + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 6 and 8</td>
<td>Carbon Tax + Green Energy Tax Incentives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Investor: Olivia (Oliver) Green**

- What were your options?
- How were you evaluated?
- Where did you invest?
- What is the “greenest” option?
### Payoff table for the investor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CleanWorld Fund Decisions</th>
<th>Government Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carbon Tax + Green Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SunnyTech</td>
<td>350%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcolInject</td>
<td>500% or 100% (depends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Place</td>
<td>-100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What were the challenges?

**CleanWorld Fund Decisions**

- **SunnyTech**: 350% 350% 30% 30%
- **EcolInject**: 500% or 100% (depends) 100% or -100% (depends) 500% or 100% (depends) 100% or -100% (depends)
- **Better Place**: -100% 600% or -100% (depends) 600% or -100% (depends) 600%

### Investor outcomes (EA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 5, 6, and 10</td>
<td>$20mm in BP</td>
<td>600% ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4</td>
<td>$18mm in BP + $2mm in SunnyTech</td>
<td>600% BP + 350% on Sunny Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3</td>
<td>$10mm in EcolInject + $10mm in SunnyTech</td>
<td>500% on EcolInject 350% on SunnyTech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2, 7, 8</td>
<td>$x in BP and $y in Sunny Tech</td>
<td>600% on BP and 30% on SunnyTech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>$20mm in EcolInject</td>
<td>500% on EcolInject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 9</td>
<td>$18mm in BP + $2mm in EcolInject</td>
<td>600% on BP + 500% on EcolInject</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investor outcomes (EB)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups 4</td>
<td>$19.5mm in BP</td>
<td>600% ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 1, 2, and 3</td>
<td>$x in BP + $y in SunnyTech</td>
<td>600% BP + 350% on Sunny Tech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6 and 8</td>
<td>$x in EcolInject + $y in SunnyTech</td>
<td>500% on EcolInject 30% on SunnyTech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5, 9*</td>
<td>$x in BP and $y in Sunny Tech</td>
<td>600% on BP and 30% on SunnyTech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7*</td>
<td>$15mm in BP</td>
<td>600% ROI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EcolInject and no Carbon Tax

Major Engines: Aditi (Arjun) Gupta

- What were your options?

- What were your alternatives?

- What would you have to change to accommodate BP? (Why did Nissan/Renault do it?)

- What was your decision?
## Major Engines Payoff Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Engines Decisions</th>
<th>Government Decision</th>
<th>Carbon Tax</th>
<th>EV Tax Break</th>
<th>EV+Carbon tax</th>
<th>Switching Station + EV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Better Place</td>
<td>-$50mm</td>
<td>+6% - $50mm or -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+6% - $50mm or -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EcoInject</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>+ 1%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
<td>+ 1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP+EcoInject</td>
<td>3% and -$50mm</td>
<td>+ 1% -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+ &gt;6% -$50mm or +3% and -$50mm (depends)</td>
<td>+1% -$50mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omega+EcoInject</td>
<td>≈3%</td>
<td>≈4%</td>
<td>&gt;4%</td>
<td>≈4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What were the challenges?

**Major Engines outcomes (EA):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 9</td>
<td>Partner with BP + EcoInject</td>
<td>&gt;6% increase in market share - $50mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 2, 5, 6, 7, 8</td>
<td>Partner with BP</td>
<td>6% increase in market share - $50mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups 1 and 3</td>
<td>Omega + EcoInject</td>
<td>&gt;4% increase in market share</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Major Engines outcomes (EB):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 5 (?)</strong></td>
<td>Partner with BP + EcoInject (?) with Carbon Tax</td>
<td>&gt;6% increase in market share -$50mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups 1, 2, 3, 4</strong></td>
<td>Partner with BP</td>
<td>6% increase in market share -$50mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups 6 and 8</strong></td>
<td>Omega + EcoInject</td>
<td>&gt;4% increase in market share</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group 7 and 9</strong></td>
<td>BP + EcoInject – No Carbon Tax</td>
<td>3%, -50mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What would be the “greenest” outcome?
### Group Outcomes - EA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Better Place</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Major Engines</th>
<th>Investor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Group Outcomes - EB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Better Place</th>
<th>Government</th>
<th>Major Engines</th>
<th>Investor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are unrealistic things about the game?

- Decisions are not made simultaneously
- There are uncertainty in the outcomes of decisions
- There might be many more stakeholders
- Trust and information risks for partnering with companies

Big Takeaway: **Alignment Risk**

- Beware of “private” information and collusion. It can alter equilibrium outcomes
- “Utility” of an outcome might mean different things to different stakeholders
- Do not make assumptions when there is no trust
- The more moving parts, the harder it is to get a desirable outcome.
- Be upfront about your hypothesis and experiments
If Better Place was so great, why did they fail?

Hints of failure: Better Place in Japan

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ISUSFGvuj0
How to lose $1 billion (in 8 simple steps)

1. Pride (think that you won by design, clouded judgment)
2. Launch too early with wrong assumptions on your business model
3. No focus: Go global or go home
4. Too many promises without experimenting
5. Alienate potential partners (Alignment Risk)
6. Vicious cycles: Sunk cost fallacy
7. Burn all bridges
8. Pride (don’t learn from your mistakes)

Similar decisions, different outcomes

- Government during crisis invested in local manufacturers (auto bailout)
- Car companies decided to invest in own vehicles (Chevy Volt)
- Switching stations and batteries were more expensive than expected
- Complaints that Better Place wasn’t “actually green”
What do you think Better Place should have done?

- Start Focused (fleet vehicles?)
- Experiment more and design better experiments
- Obtain Economies of scale through other means
- Vertical Integration

- Was it really a BMI or just leveraging limitations in tech?

Will Tesla succeed? What is different?

“People love their iPhones – not the wireless carriers”
Supercharger instead of swapping stations

Important lessons

• Start focused

• New tech adoption + new business model is very tricky and requires many incentives to be aligned

• Experiment, but do it in the right way

• Who will look after the environment?
Appendix 9: Climate Change Blog Post

Contents of this appendix:

1. The INSEAD class blog can be found here: http://insead.edublogs.org/. This exercise was inspired by a similar exercise at HBS.

2. Here are a few sample posts from previous years: sample 1, sample 2, sample 3

3. Debrief slides
Climate Change Discussion

Andre Calmon

INSEAD

The Business School
for the World

Planetary Boundaries

Steffen and others, 16 January 2015. Science
A blanket around the Earth

Source: http://climate.nasa.gov/causes/
Temperature data from four international science institutions. All show rapid warming in the past few decades and that the last decade has been the warmest on record. Data sources: NASA’s Goddard Institute for Space Studies, NOAA National Climatic Data Center, Met Office Hadley Centre/Climatic Research Unit and the Japanese Meteorological Agency.

Welcome to the new normal
Reactions - COP21: 1.5 °C

IPCC 5 and Paris Agreement are on course website.

Adaptation: What will this mean for business?
- More information risk (supply/demand risk)
- More regulation
- More funds for clean tech
- More need for resilience and LCA
Things are looking good for renewable energy

Swanson's Law is an observation that the price of solar photovoltaic modules tends to drop 20 percent for every doubling of cumulative shipped volume.

Food Supply Chains
Consumer Goods and Retail

Energy Sector
Insurance & Asset Management

Construction and Future of Cities

UAE
Tourism

Fashion
Tragedy of the commons

What are the causes of collapse in Northwest Atlantic cod fishing?
- Overshoot
- Tech evolution and insufficient (self) regulation
- Complete collapse (equilibrium shift)

How about climate change?

Wicked Problems

- Problems are never completely solved
- Every problem is unique
- There is no clear problem definition
- Are multi-causal multi-scalar & interconnected
- Multiple stakeholders with conflicting agendas
- Every solution ramifies throughout the system
- Every wicked problem is connected to others
- Straddle organizational & disciplinary boundaries
- Can take a long time to evaluate solutions
- Solutions are not right/wrong, but better/worse

Based upon Rittel and Webber (1973)
Takeaways

- Climate change is a complex multifaceted problem ("wicked problem")
- Will require engagement of multiple stakeholders to change equilibrium
- Will require carrots and sticks
- New economic equilibrium has to be sustainable both from an environment/social and economic point of view
- Will we change in time?

We need new business models

Beyond BMI: Call for Action

- You can make a difference
- Help manage equilibrium change (both on supply and demand side!)
- Plenty of business opportunities

Appendix 10: Self-Regulation: IKEA and Sustainable Apparel Coalition Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Slides used when the session was taught at INSEAD in 2017

Self-Regulation and the Future of Trust
Andre Calmon

INSEAD
The Business School for the World®

Industry Challenges...

Second tier suppliers (textile mills) were involved in human trafficking and exploitation

175 textile mills

Is this an easy problem?
What to do when labor violations are suspected?

- IKEA started investing in better relationships with suppliers in the 80s (after a formaldehyde incident)
  - Supplier contracts imposed strict environmental code of conduct (zero-tolerance policy)

- In the mid 90s, produced most of its rugs in the Uttar Pradesh, India and in Pakistan
  - Swedish TV doc in 1994 depicted child labor in an IKEA supplier in Pakistan. Code of conduct was extended to include a new labor policy. All suppliers signed contract addendum.

- At that time, decided not participate in the Rugmark Consortium, an industry wide entity that created a “no child labor used” label for rugs.
What to do when labor violations are suspected?

- In 1995, a famous German documentary maker notified IKEA that one of its main rug suppliers, Rangan Exports, was using child labor.

- Sent a film clip to IKEA and requested an IKEA representative to participate in a live-broadcast TV panel that would occur within 24 hours, after the film was aired.

- What would you do as the head of IKEA’s rug division?

What would you do?

- Would you send someone to participate in the live panel?

- Would you terminate Rangan Export’s contract?

- Would you join the Rugmark Consortium?

- Is it a ”no win” situation? Would you leave India?
Immediate aftermath

- IKEA immediately terminated their relationship with Ragnan Exports
- Did not join Rugmark (thought it was risky)
- Stayed in India

but... turned out the story was fake. The filmmaker was arrested by the German police. Most of his documentaries were fabricated.

Now what?

Align tactical response to firm's strategy:

- Only abandoned existing suppliers if they were unwilling to address child labor
- Addressed root causes: "Alternative Learning Centers" together with UNICEF to help children bridge into traditional schools. Over $200million invested

Marianne Barner

Child labor in rug industry report
Lessons: Tactical

- Processes must exist and goal must be clear.

- Investigate before you act

- Cutting off suppliers might not be the right answer and might exacerbate issues. Think about second order consequences

- Problems are usually systemic

"create a better everyday life for the many people"
How will you get your suppliers to comply?

- Lobby for regulation? Regulation can make things worse
- Random inspections? Cat and mouse game
- NGO’s? Can be bribed
- Capacity/Training investment? “Model Factory”, but might work
- Sanctions/Penalties? Does not solve the problem

Implementation (three different styles of oversight): “Police Patrol + Fire Alarms + Fire Extinguishers”

Beware of incentives: depending on “carrots and sticks” that you use, there will be different oversight equilibria...
Quick word on the **Rugmark Consortium**

- Founded in 1994 by Kailash Satyarthi
- Eventually became GoodWeave International
- Winner of the Nobel peace prize in 2014.

"If not now, then when? If not you, then who? If we are able to answer these fundamental questions, then perhaps we can wipe away the blot of human slavery," - Mr. Satyarthi
Complete and Post Higg Index Modules

Connect and Share Modules with Supply Chain Partners and SAC Members

Compare your Performance to your Peers through the Web Tool Benchmark
Why do companies attempt to self-regulate?

© Andre Calmon
Why do companies attempt to self-regulate?

- Good PR
- Alternative is government regulation
- Collective reputation affects individual firms (coercion/externalities)
- Information risk for customers
- Creates "market rules"
- Too costly for suppliers to deal with many regulations
- Customers are willing to pay more (maybe?)
- "Follow the leader"
- Actually cares about environment (maybe?)
  - Adverse Selection
  - Moral Hazard

What makes a self-regulation organization legitimate?

- Credible measurement and enforcement mechanisms
  - Sanctions, expulsion can hurt brand, effectiveness in imposing standards and metrics
- Perception of legitimacy
  - Won't be ignored
- Good Reputation
- Able to disseminate and exchange information (mimetic forces)
  - Needs to be privately beneficial

Which of these is the hardest to achieve?
SAC growth

Will it work?

Now What?

• This is not just a compliance/regulation problem: this is a business model problem.

• We need Business Model Innovation – what tools will you use?
  • Circular model
  • Fire Alarms + Police Patrol (+ Fire Extinguishers)
    • Increase Visibility (Fire Alarms - Crowdsourcing)
  • Resequencing (BTO)
  • Reduce information risk
  • Incentive alignment
Challenge: solve this problem.

- Think of a supply chain you are familiar with or interested in
- What are the main sustainability challenges? Why are suppliers not complying?
- How are these challenges attached to the business model (revenue/cost models and information risks)?
- Can you think of an innovation that changes the risks, costs, and revenue, and also address the sustainability/social concerns?

Takeaways

- Knee-jerk reactions might not be optimal in the long-run (remember IKEA)
  - "Police Patrol + Fire Alarms + Fire Extinguishers"

- Self-Regulating Organizations can be an alternative to government regulation. However, they are subject to adverse selection and moral hazard.
  - To be effective needs: Enforcement, credibility, reputation, ability to disseminate information

- What's next?
Appendix 11: Technology as a driver of innovative business models: Blockchain and Vertical Farms - boom or buzz?

Contents of this appendix:

1. An abridged version of the Vertical Farms case study (for a full version contact andre.calmon@insead.edu)

2. Slides for the Blockchain discussion.
A bit of Bitcoin
Andre Calmon

Bitcoin as a successful example of self-regulation


- Successful digital money needs to answer two questions:
  - Can I certify that this money is authentic and not counterfeit?
  - Can I be sure that no one else can claim that this money belongs to them and not me? (Aka the “double-spend” problem.)
How traditional banking works works

Everyone has a (encrypted) copy of this ledger. This is the cool part. It's just a list of transactions.

Blockchain

Centralized ledger
Blockchain is a distributed ledger

- It is a list of transactions that has been crowd-verified. You get rewards for making sure that the crowd approves and adding to the blockchain (e.g. mining bitcoin).
- Giant shared spreadsheet where it's hard to change entries. Easy to read, hard to write. Some data is public, some data is private.
- It is a distributed database. It powers Bitcoin, but it is different from Bitcoin.

Different models
What is a Blockchain?

https://anders.com/blockchain/

When could a Blockchain be used?

• Need for redundancy found in distributed ledger
• Multiple writers from different organizations (1000s, 10,000s)
• There is absence of trust (people might cheat)
• No trusted intermediary available
• Transaction Interaction (past transactions affect future transactions)
• Need for rules and validation
• Assets/info can be verified in real-life

http://www.multichain.com/blog/2016/05/four-genuine-blockchain-use-cases/
What does this have to do with sustainability?

- Sustainability is fundamentally an incentive alignment and information risk game
- Information in SCs are extremely fragmented. "Multiple audits"
- IT costs are proportionally too high in developing countries
- Regulation is not enough for global supply chains (poverty chasing)
- More smartphones than toilets: most logistics data systems are not suited for the "leapfrog" reality of developing countries

Operational Advantages

**Interoperable**
- A modular, interoperable platform that eliminates the possibility of double spending

**Auditable and Traceable**
- An auditable record that can be inspected and used by companies, standards organizations, regulators, and customers alike

**Cost-efficient**
- A solution to drastically reduce costs by eliminating the need for “handling companies” to be audited

**Real-time and agile**
- A fast and highly accessible sign-up means quick deployment

**Public**
- The openness of the platform enables innovation and could achieve bottom-up transparency in supply chains instead of burdensome top-down audits

**Guaranteed continuity**
- The elimination of any central operator ensures inclusiveness and longevity

Source: provenance whitepaper
Applying it to Tuna (Provenance)
Will this work for tracking physical assets?

• What are some of the challenges?

What other cool things could we do with distributed ledgers/databases?

• Smart contracts: like renting a movie on iTunes, but on a Blockchain. It's a contract that enforces itself. Written in code and included in the "blockchain".
• Can set permissions and conditions
Example (IBM is not the only player)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IgNfoQQ5Reg

Takeaways

Blockchain technology might me the solution for many visibility/coordination/cost issues in fragmented supply chains. **Might** be the next big thing.

Remember the fundamentals: it's not about the technology, it's about the supply chain.
Additional Resources (check class Google docs for more)

• Light
  • Provenance's whitepaper: https://www.provenance.org/whitepaper
  • FT.com: http://www.ft.com/intl/cms/s/0/454be1c8-2577-11e5-9c4e-a775d2b173ca.html
  • Fred Eshram’s post about Ethereum: https://medium.com/the-coinbase-blog/ethereum-is-the-forefront-of-digital-currency-5300298f6c75#8w17r5ip

• Heavy
  • https://anders.com/blockchain/
  • Princeton’s bitcoin course: https://www.coursera.org/course/bitcointech
  • Mastering Bitcoin: https://www.bitcoinbook.info/
  • Ethereum’s Whitepaper: http://gavwood.com/paper.pdf
  • Satoshi’s paper: https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf

© Andre Calmon
Appendix 12: Unilever Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Teaching plan
2. Document camera materials
3. Closing slides
UNILEVER Teaching Plan

[10 min] INTRO & VIDEO
- End with Paul Polman doc cam quote

[20 min] HOW SHOULD INVESTORS FEEL ABOUT QUOTE?
- Can 3BL be competitive? How? [Virtuous cycle]
- Is 3BL advantage sustainable? [Critical success factors]

[25 min] HOW HAS 3BL CHANGED PARTNER RELATIONSHIPS?
- Introduce concept of operational vs. sourcing control
  o What sourcing relationships in portfolio? Why?
- Unilever’s Firm boundaries
  o Coordination/transparency/complexity
  o Ecosystem view (involving competitors, gov’t)
  o Introduce concept of coopetition

[10 min] HOW DO PARTNERS STAND TO GAIN OR LOSE?
- Upstream / Downstream
- What is the impact on sales? [Tomato example]

[10 min] BIGGEST CHALLENGES? RECOMMENDATIONS?
- What is the likely bottleneck to effort? How to address?
- How to develop relationship with consumer? Realistic?

[15 min] CASE and MODULE WRAP
- Introduce final blog post assignment, share samples

Overview of Board Plan

|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
Teaching Objectives

1. Examine how sustainability objectives can extend the ‘virtual boundaries’ of the firm.

2. Describe the virtuous profit-impact cycle firms strive to create through sustainability efforts and identify the critical success factors which underpin that cycle.

3. Conduct stakeholder analysis to determine the varied effects an initiative can have on partners based on their position in the value chain.

Assignment questions

1. Pier Luigi states that for Unilever to be truly sustainable, it must “deliver ‘triple bottom line’ value” (i.e., deliver value across economic, social, and environmental bottom lines). Can a firm using “triple bottom line” successfully compete against firms focused only on maximizing profits? If not, why not? If so, how, and under what conditions?

2. How has Unilever’s sustainability initiative transformed its supply chain practices?

3. If Unilever succeeds in significantly reducing food waste in its end-to-end supply chain, which of Unilever’s partners do you anticipate will be the winners and losers? What do they stand to gain or lose? Is Unilever the right party to be leading this effort?

4. Based on your answer to question 3 above, how should Pier Luigi Sigismondi and Unilever proceed? What steps should they take next, and why?

CASE INTRODUCTION

- Since its origins, Unilever has taken a social-minded approach to business. But Paul Polman has taken things up a notch since his arrival in 2009; doubling down on that aspect of Unilever’s mission.

- To give this some context, we have a short video that describes some of the recent progress made at Unilever. This video refers to waste to landfill progress… not food waste progress, but it will give you a sense for what is being done by the organization. [PLAY VIDEO]
  Video link (~ 2 min): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W700bpAPdQw

- That video was first shown at an investor meeting. Pier Luigi was running that meeting, and he said it was really an eye-opening experience for him. Within UL extraordinary there is extraordinary buy-in for 3BL, but that was not the case among the investor community.

- Here’s a quote from the case [DOC CAM PAUL POLMAN’S QUOTE, slide 1]
[COLD CALL] If you are a potential investor, how do you feel about Paul Polman’s quote and Unilever’s triple bottom line approach? [Allow for a fairly free discussion]

[DOC CAM PIER LUIGI’S INITIAL 3BLQUOTE, slide 2]

[READ QUOTE] This is the essence of Unilever’s 3BL mind-set. But this begs the question: How can a 3BL firm compete with a profit maximizing firm in the long-run?

Center Board Middle

Can 3BL Compete?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased margin</td>
<td>“Cost of leadership”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Improved op efficiency</td>
<td>- Staff, Opportunity Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased demand</td>
<td>Constrain supplier set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stronger brand</td>
<td>Are advantages sustainable?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Increased price, or volume</td>
<td>- Competitors can copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive innovation / discovery</td>
<td>- Suppliers may serve comp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee retention</td>
<td>- Not all options win-win</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Build the Virtuous Cycle boxes as each comes up during the discussion]

Optional: If students argue that Unilever may ‘run out of opportunities’ to reduce waste profitably, it can be useful to take a moment for an aside to discuss Marginal Abatement Cost Curves (doc cam materials, slide 3 and 4), which is a useful tool for prioritizing sustainability improvement projects.

If Unilever is creating an advantage through 3BL, is that advantage sustainable? How… (Why Not?)

[highlight points on the virtuous cycle] Push: What are the critical success factors… What must Unilever achieve through 3BL for it to provide a sustainable advantage. [Doc cam 3BL advantage quote, slide 5]

Increase demand via brand: Not certain… we’ll get recommendations for how you might attempt this toward the end of our discussion.
Maintain an efficiency edge: May involve competitors in improvements, but need to stay a few steps ahead. (highlight related paths)

These are the success factors… [Pick a skeptic]… it’s not clear that UL can achieve these through 3BL… you seem to have some doubts that they can, which is fair, but if they do achieve these, how would you feel about their 3BL efforts?
How has 3BL changed the way that Unilever engages with their partners?

- USLP requires an intimate understanding of partners’ operations

[When/if sufficient coordination examples given] Visualize Unilever’s supply chain… what strikes you about these examples?

- They all involve farmers… (UL’s 2nd, sometimes 3rd tier supplier); Unilever is not in any of them
  - Not coordinating its activities w/ suppliers; coordinating activity in periphery of its network

In order to execute on the Sustainable Living Plan, Unilever has extended its virtual boundaries, and intensified its activity within those boundaries. What are the downsides to this? (Complexity! Driven by scale of the effort and product/supplier heterogeneity)

Is their engagement limited to their partners? [Gov., NGOs, competitors; taking an ecosystem view]

- Drive home ecosystem view [Doc Cam Pier Luigi Value Chain quote, slide 6]
- Unilever is no longer managing a supply chain; they are managing an ecosystem

**Center Board Top**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3BL Impact on Partnerships</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased coordination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Farmer to farmer (Kenya &amp; Argent.)</td>
<td>[diagram of ecosystem]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Farmer to processor (tomato grading)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Farmer to government (Hindustan)</td>
<td>Increased complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased transparency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Requires operational knowledge</td>
<td>- Expanded dimensions to monitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecosystem view</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Governments, NGO, competitors</td>
<td>- Heterogeneity (product, partner)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[OPTIONAL; time permitting]**

We have talked about operational and sourcing control, the advantages and drawbacks to each… which should Unilever be leveraging with the Sustainable Living Plan? [Doc cam slide 7]

**Center Board Middle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method to achieve 3BL</th>
<th>Sourcing Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational Control</td>
<td>May not drive change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Little direct op. control own some</td>
<td>[idea of additionality]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>farms, etc. need to build case</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What would Adam Smith say?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increasing demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>➔ Invisible hand drive change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[DO NOT BOARD, BUT DISCUSS]**

What sort of sourcing relationships would you want in your portfolio? Why?

How can you exert operational control if you don’t have an ownership stake?
Let’s say Unilever pulls this off… They execute and deliver on these success factors, so 3BL is successful for them. How do their partners stand to gain or lose?

**Center Board Middle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UPSTREAM</th>
<th>DOWNSTREAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberated capacity</td>
<td>Retailers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- land, processing equip.</td>
<td>Lower COGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential to capture share</td>
<td>- some savings passed on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower COGS (inc. yield)</td>
<td>Potential differentiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower prices</td>
<td>Retailers: - lower sales?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- more supply</td>
<td>Consumers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- less demand in market</td>
<td>Lower prices &amp; volumes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Have tomato waste doc cam slides (slides 8 and 9) ready to bring up if the discussion starts to get bogged down in numbers.

If you are Pier Luigi and charged with overseeing the implementation of the Sustainable Living Plan, what do you expect will be the biggest challenges?

**How would you address these challenges?**

**Push: Where do you expect the bottleneck to be in identifying and implementing improvements?**
- We know there are three strategies for alleviating a bottleneck: [get reactions ideas for each]
  - Add capacity. Improve bottleneck efficiency. Divert demand to other resources.

**Push: How might Unilever begin to extend the initiative downstream?**
- How might they engage the consumer about doing that?

**Center Board Middle**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UL is the bottleneck</td>
<td>Roundtables / Coalitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- retailers</td>
<td>Share work with horizontal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involve downstream</td>
<td>Best practice portal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- retailers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- consumers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“I don’t think our fiduciary duty is to put shareholders first. I say the opposite... if we focus our company on improving the lives of the world’s citizens... this will result in good shareholder returns.”

Paul Polman
Unilever CEO
“To be truly sustainable, our business must deliver `triple bottom line` value--economic, environmental, and social.”

Pier Luigi Sigismondi
Unilever Chief Supply Chain Officer
“Integrating sustainability into our brands will encourage innovation, drive cost efficiencies, and create competitive advantage as retailers and consumers increasingly demand sustainable options.”

Pier Luigi Sigismondi
Unilever Chief Supply Chain Officer
“What makes our plan different is that it applies across the value chain. We’re taking responsibility for our suppliers, distributors, and crucially, for how our consumers use our products.”

Pier Luigi Sigismondi
Unilever Chief Supply Chain Officer

There is a continuum of “make versus buy”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Control</th>
<th>Sourcing Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training and incentives</td>
<td>Enables cost arbitrage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning model</td>
<td>- (labor costs, quotas, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling flexibility</td>
<td>Medium-term volume flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume and mix flexibility</td>
<td>- (“asset light” capacity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responsiveness & Improvement  Lowest (short-term) cost
Waste in the tomato supply chain for every 100 tomatoes grown

27% of tomatoes in Unilever’s supply chain went to waste

Farming 39% → Storage 12% → Processing 18% → Distribution 12% → Consumer 18%

100 grown → 73 Consumed

Waste in the tomato supply chain for every 100 tomatoes grown

27% of tomatoes in Unilever’s supply chain went to waste

Farming 39% → Storage 12% → Processing 18% → Distribution 12% → Consumer 18%

100 grown → Tomatoes Sold → 73 Consumed

10.5 3.2 4.9 3.2 4.9

Tomatoes Wasted

89.5 86.2 81.3 78.0
Unilever Wrap

Unilever Update: USLP Performance

- Target: Reduce waste from own manufacturing to 2008 levels by 2020, despite greater volumes
  - Update 1: 97% reduction in waste per ton of production
  - Update 2: Supply chain waste/consumer down 29%

- Target: Reduce water use in own factories to below 2008 levels by 2020
  - Update: Water use in own factories reduced 37% relative to 2008

- Target: Halve environmental impact of products by 2020
  - Update: Pushed the target date back to 2030

- Target: Halve lifecycle GHG emissions by 2030
  - Update 1: Lifecycle GHG emissions have increased 6%
  - Update 2: GHG emissions from own operations down 39%
Unilever and Kraft Heinz Takeover Bid

- Feb 17: Kraft Heinz announces bid to acquire Unilever
  - $148 Billion offer
  - Owned by 3G and Berkshire Hathaway

- Feb 19: Kraft Heinz withdrew bid after strong pushback
  "A major point of concern, particularly in Europe, was whether the Anglo-Dutch consumer-products giant’s focus on “brands with purpose” would survive the relentless cost cutting that is the hallmark of 3G Capital, the private equity firm that manages Kraft Heinz."

- March 21: Kraft Heinz announce $200 million investment to:
  - “Provide 1 billion meals to people in need”
  - “Reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 15%"

Framing Your Sourcing Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>in-house</th>
<th>equity-partner</th>
<th>Sole source</th>
<th>“outsource”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% fully owned and operated</td>
<td>long-term supplier</td>
<td>spot-market</td>
<td>short-term contract</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implications:
1. Form of control and flexibility (operational versus sourcing)
2. Who bears the risk (e.g., inventory risk, development risk, etc.)
3. Formal contractual structure
4. Incentives, behaviors, expectations (cooperating, sharing info, etc.)
Integration for Control & Flexibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational Control</th>
<th>Sourcing Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training and incentives</td>
<td>Enables cost arbitrage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning model</td>
<td>- (labor costs, quotas, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduling flexibility</td>
<td>Long-term volume flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume and mix flexibility</td>
<td>- (“asset light” capacity)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responsiveness & Improvement | Lowest (short-term) cost

Take-aways: Virtual Integration and an Ecosystem view

- Concept of “virtual integration”
  - UL extending its boundaries to 2nd, and 3rd tier up and downstream
  - Key challenge: How to exert operational control/influence w/o ownership or direct, transactional relationship in some cases
  - Requires effort to identify, build case for, and implement change

- Development of an “Ecosystem view” of supply chain
  - Need to understand different “outputs” from firms’ op. systems
  - Leads to extending beyond transaction partners, and their partners
  - E.g., UL and HeidelbergCement partnering with governments, competitors, and competitors’ partners
Take-aways: Concept of Marginal Abatement Curve

- Marginal abatement cost curve (MACC): Visualize opportunities to reduce an undesirable output by cost over impact

- Useful in prioritization and in goal setting
  - E.g., Unilever’s Sustainability goals vary across waste, water, emissions

![Marginal Abatement Cost Curve Diagram]
Appendix 13: Business Model Innovation and the UN SDG’s Blog Post Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. The INSEAD class blog can be found here: [http://insead.edublogs.org/](http://insead.edublogs.org/). Here are a few sample posts from previous years: [sample 1], [sample 2], [sample 3]

2. Session Structure

Session Structure:

Instructors are encouraged to run this session as a "blog jam" and stimulate discussion among students, promoting peer learning. At INSEAD, student groups have between 3 and 5 minutes to present (depending on the number of groups) and an additional 5 minutes discussion. The instructor should push the presenters to describe how profit and positive impact are connected in the proposed business models, and to analyze barriers for scaling. Instructors should also point-out how this activity "ties" the first part of the course together. The session should finish with a recap of the first part of the course and an introduction to the SDG Innovation Bootcamp.
Appendix 14: The SDG Innovation Process and format of the SDG Bootcamp teaching materials

This appendix contains:

1. Pre-course assignment
2. Teaching schedule for the SDG Innovation Bootcamp
3. Overview of SDG Innovation Process and course format
4. Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards (for a full version of the cards please contact jackie.stenson@insead.edu)
SDG Bootcamp

Pre-Course Assignment

Welcome to the SDG Bootcamp!

The SDG Bootcamp is a hands-on, immersive learning experience to arm future business leaders with tools for designing scalable solutions that positively impact people, planet, and prosperity.

Students work together in teams of three to five to advance through the SDG Innovation Process. The course follows a self-directed, gamified format, where the teams progress through the five phases of Innovation Process at their own pace by completing activities within each phase. At the end of the course, teams pitch their solutions to all course participants.

Before the Bootcamp takes place on 19-20 January 2019, please fill out this assignment. We will use this assignment to pre-form teams of course participants. The assignment has two parts, with two different objectives:

Part 1: Personal persona

- **What:** You will create a personal persona so we can learn more about your expertise, personality type, and sector experience.

- **Objective:** Your persona will be used when the course instructors form teams, to ensure that your team has a diverse skill set.

Part 2: Insights into global development challenges

- **What:** You will outline the Sustainable Development Goal that you are most passionate about addressing. You will dive deeper into that SDG by outlining a unique, actionable insight that you have had into the SDG area, and what led you to this insight. You may need to speak with potential users (if you haven’t already done so in the past) in order to develop your insight.

- **Objective:** Your insights will be used to match you with teammates who have similar interest areas. Additionally, all of your team’s insights will also be shared during the SDG Bootcamp, so that they can be used as a launching point for narrowing in on a common problem framing. The deeper your insights are in this assignment, the more likely you and your team are to start making immediate progress during the SDG Bootcamp.

Please submit your assignment by **09:00 on 14 January 2019** to jackie.stenson@insead.edu
### Part 1: Personal persona

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.1 Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Where are you from? (city, country)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Where did you live before coming to INSEAD? (city, country)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Where do you hope to live after leaving INSEAD? (city, country; or region; or unknown)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 1.6 Expertise
What would you consider your area of expertise?
(Check only one; double click a box and change the default value from “not checked” to “checked”)
- Knowledge / analysis
- Strategy / policy
- Marketing / communication
- Making / designing
- Implementing – entrepreneurial
- Implementing - intrapreneurial

#### 1.7 Personality
What would you consider your personality type?
(Check only one)
- Say: Outgoing, articulate, storyteller, spontaneous
- Feel: Empathetic, diplomatic, relationship-oriented
- Think: Knowledgeable, methodical, structured, reserved
- Do: Action-oriented, gets things done, focused, risk-seeking

#### 1.8 Sector knowledge
Which sector do you have the most experience in?
(Check only one)
- Academia
- Public sector
- NGO / social enterprise
- Business / private sector
1.9 How familiar and comfortable are you with the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)? (Check only one)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(what are the SDGs?)  (I know all the goals, targets, indicators, and history!)

1.10 Briefly describe (~100 words) your familiarity and any previous work with the SDGs (this is not a pre-requisite for the class, but rather a way to gauge the class’s background)
Part 2: Insights into global development challenges

Background and introduction

The hardest part of designing a solution that will actually be adopted and create positive impact is getting the problem right. Successful solutions are motivated by real problems affecting real people. The other way around – designing solutions first and then trying to find the problem that it solves – has led to some of biggest failures we’ve seen in the sustainable development sector. It’s hard to get a solution to take off when your problem never existed in the first place. Therefore, you and your team will start the SDG Bootcamp by framing a problem, but the groundwork for problem framing starts here.

Framing a problem broadly can be fairly easy. Framing a problem that is a well-defined and unique, and thus points you towards an innovative solution, is much harder. Well-defined problems have three components:

1. A specific user, with a specific profile, geography, background, etc.;
2. A need of that specific user group; and
3. A unique, actionable insight into why your user’s need has not yet been met.

Let’s start with component #3.

**Definition of an insight:** An insight is a friction, dilemma, or contradiction that is either a reason why a challenge still exists, or a primary barrier to adoption of solutions that could address or mitigate a challenge.

Insights are easily confused with facts. Facts are statistics or statements about the state of affairs, but do not answer the question “Why?” The following are not insights:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>633 million people worldwide lack access to safe, potable water. More than 50% of these people live in mostly rural areas in sub-Saharan Africa.</th>
<th>This is a statistic. It tells us what a very broad problem is, but nothing deeper than that.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to safe water is not enough. 1.8 billion people drink unsafe water every day due to, among other reasons, insufficiently maintained water supply systems and poor hygienic practices.</td>
<td>The is a state of affairs. It tells us what is happening (insufficient maintenance and poor hygienic practices), but not the root cause as to why these practices continue to exist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine water treatment systems are a proven, low cost technology that can maintain the safety of water over time.</td>
<td>This is a solution description. It tells us something that could theoretically help. But if this solution exists, then why does the problem still exist?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Instead of facts, your problem should be framed around a friction or tension, that highlights a unique reason that the problem still persists.

**Example of an insight:** While chlorine water filtration continues to keep water clean after it leaves the tap, this longer-term protection does not encourage users to behave in a more hygienic way. As a result, water chlorination is not always adopted by local organizations tasked with implementing solutions because they prioritize hygiene and behavior change.

This example hints at a root cause for why a problem still exists, and highlights a tension (in this case, between local implementing organizations’ prioritization of both clean water and hygiene practices) that can become the focal point of your solution.

**Your task**

Write 1 to 2 insights.

These insights will be used to match you with teammates who have similar interest areas. Writing a second insight is optional, but it helps us ensure that you’re on a team with others who share your interest areas. All of your team’s insights will also be shared during the SDG Bootcamp, so that they can be used as a launching point for narrowing in on a common problem framing

2. Insight #1

2.1 What is the SDG that you’re passionate about addressing?

You can find the full list of SDGs here: [https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/)

2.2 What is the SDG target(s) that you’re most interested in?

Each SDG has several targets, which help divide the goal – which is always broad – into narrower sub-categories. You can find relevant links below:

- Choose your goal from the top menu bar, and click on “Goal X Targets”: [https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/)
- SDG Indicators downloadable files: [https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/indicators-list/](https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/indicators-list/)
- Independent SDG Tracker: [https://sdg-tracker.org/](https://sdg-tracker.org/)

## 2.3 What is your insight?

## 2.4 How did you come up with this insight?

## 2.5 Describe 2 different people affected by your SDG target areas, and how their experiences led you to come up with your insight.

Do the people below have to be real people, or can they be hypothetical? They must be real people, to whom you have previously spoken. Their experiences should have motivated your realization of this insight.

What if my insight comes from personal experience? Great! Then one of the people below can be yourself. But the second person should be someone else.

What if I spoke to this person a while ago and I can’t remember all the details? That’s fine! You don’t need to speak to them again. Fill out the below answers as best as you can from memory. It’s more important to remember their experiences than their name or age.

What if I’ve never spoken to someone who has been affected by this SDG target area? Then your insight might be based on statistics and not on real user experiences. Try to speak to a couple real users. Reach out to people in your network who might have relevant experiences. Ask your INSEAD classmates if they have family or friends who you could briefly speak to via whatsapp or skype. The more your insights are motivated by real people, the higher chance you have of coming up with a real problem rather than something that outsiders perceive is a problem.
**What if I don’t have any insights?** Try talking to a few people in the SDG area that you’re most passionate about, and record their experiences below. You can use these as inputs during the SDG Bootcamp, when your team is converging on an insight.

### 2.5.A Person A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you know them:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is their background:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did their experiences lead to your above insight:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5.B Person B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you know them:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is their background:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did their experiences lead to your above insight:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3. **Insight #2** (optional but showcase more interest areas helps to ensure you’re on a team with people with similar interests)

#### 3.1 What is the SDG that you’re passionate about addressing?

You can find the full list of SDGs here: [https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals/)
3.2 What is the SDG target(s) that you’re most interested in?

You can find relevant links below:

- Choose your goal from the top menu bar, and click on “Goal X Targets”: [https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/](https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/)
- SDG Indicators downloadable files: [https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/indicators-list/](https://unstats.un.org/sdgs/indicators/indicators-list/)
- Independent SDG Tracker: [https://sdg-tracker.org/](https://sdg-tracker.org/)

3.3 What is your insight?

3.4 How did you come up with this insight?

3.5 Describe 2 different people affected by your SDG target areas, and how their experiences led you to come up with your insight.

*Do the people below have to be real people, or can they be hypothetical?* They must be real people, to whom you have previously spoken. Their experiences should have motivated your realization of this insight.

*What if my insight comes from personal experience?* Great! Then one of the people below can be yourself. But the second person should be someone else.

*What if I spoke to this person a while ago and I can’t remember all the details?* That’s fine! You don’t need to speak to them again. Fill out the below answers as best as
you can from memory. It’s more important to remember their experiences than their name or age.

*What if I’ve never spoken to someone who has been affected by this SDG target area?* Then your insight might be based on statistics and not on real user experiences. Try to speak to a couple real users. Reach out to people in your network who might have relevant experiences. Ask your INSEAD classmates if they have family or friends who you could briefly speak to via whatsapp or skype. The more your insights are motivated by real people, the higher chance you have of coming up with a real problem rather than something that outsiders perceive is a problem.

*What if I don’t have any insights?* Try talking to a few people in the SDG area that you’re most passionate about, and record their experiences below. You can use these as inputs during the SDG Bootcamp, when your team is converging on an insight.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5.A Person A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you know them:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is their background:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did their experiences lead to your above insight:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.5.B Person B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do you know them:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is their background:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How did their experiences lead to your above insight:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The SDG Innovation Process

SDG Innovation Process

- **Problem Framing**: Develop a well-defined problem statement based on user/customer wants and actionable insights.
- **Ideation & Idea Selection**: Come up with a multitude of ideas that address your problem framing. Down select ideas and choose one to focus on.
- **Prototyping & Sketching**: Create a tangible prototype of your idea, so that a potential user/customer can experience your proposed solution.
- **Testing & Refining**: Get user/customer feedback on your prototype. Make changes to your solution. Evaluate if you need to go back to an earlier phase.
- **Implementing**: Produce, disseminate, distribute, sell, or market your solution to actual users and customers.
## Deliverables

### Pitch
**Objectives:** Share your passion and your solution with your peers and course instructors.

**Requirements:** 3-minute pitch + up to 10 minute Q&A session, 1 per team

**Grading:** Accounts for 30% of your grade

### Written Proposal
**Objectives:** Describe your problem and solution in more detail. Create a baseline that proposal that can be used to develop a detailed business / implementation plan in the future.

**Requirements:** Written proposal, maximum 10 pages, 1 per team

**Grading:** Accounts for 30% of your grade

### Self and Peer Evaluation
**Objectives:** Evaluate your own contributions and the contributions of your teammates throughout the SDG Bootcamp

**Requirements:** Peer evaluation, filled out individually

**Grading:** Participation (including instructors’ assessments) accounts for 40% of your grade

---

## How to progress through the SDG Innovation Process
**STEP 1: Choose your Activity Cards**

- Align directly with requirements for next phase
- Can set up your team to complete the darker heading activities

**STEP 2: Complete activities to meet Gate requirements**

- **Journey map**
- **Solution canvas**

*Requirements at every gate:*
- Journey map
  - Address any incomplete items on the below gate checklist, and how you will return to complete them.
- Solution canvas
  - Focusing on insights, problem framing, motivation, and who are your users/customers.

*Phase requirements:*
- Well-defined problem framing
  - Problem framing must have a well-defined user, friction (e.g., dilemma, conflict, or barrier that has prevented adoption or contributed to the global challenge), and role within the greater ecosystem.
- Problem framing tree
  - Assessment of where your chosen problem framing lies on your problem framing tree, and why this depth or specificity is appropriate for moving forward with the problem framing.
Other tools

Peer feedback sessions

Team progress board
SDG Innovation Bootcamp Activity Cards - Examples

Introduction and Gate Requirements

**IDEATION PHASE**

**Activity**

**Introduction**
- Ideation Phase
- SDG Innovation Bootcamp Activity Cards - Examples

**Methodology**
- SDG Innovation Bootcamp
- Activity Cards
- Examples

**Key Milestones**
- Card 28: Present Market Conditions
- Card 29: Market Value Proposition
- Card 30: Innovation Canvas

**Problem Framing Phase**

**Goals**
- Identifying and defining the problem to be addressed
- Understanding the problem's context and stakeholders

**Sub-Stages**
- Problem framing
- Identifying the problem
- Understanding the stakeholders
- Establishing the context

**Tools**
- Mind mapping
- SWOT analysis
- Stakeholder mapping

**Ideation Phase**

**Goals**
- Generating ideas and solutions to address the identified problem
- Brainstorming and ideation activities

**Sub-Stages**
- Brainstorming
- Ideation
- Concept validation

**Tools**
- Brainstorming techniques
- Idea generation tools
- Concept testing methods

**References and Resources**
- UNLEASH Activity Cards
- SDG Innovation Bootcamp
- Problem Framing Phase

**Control Parameters**
- Time constraints
- Resource availability
- Stakeholder engagement

**Examples**
- Card 28: Present Market Conditions
- Card 29: Market Value Proposition
- Card 30: Innovation Canvas

License under CC BY-SA 4.0. Work based on UNLEASH Activity Cards.
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### Prototyping Phase

**Gate**

**Requirements at entry:**
- Journey map
- Solution canvas
- Prioritization of what assumptions to test
- Story mapping

**Requirements at exit:**
- Functional requirements
- Technical specifications
- Schedule

**Outputs:**
- Working prototype
- Feedback from customers

### Testing Phase (not covered in course)

**Gate**

**Requirements at entry:**
- Journey map
- Solution canvas
- Prioritization of what assumptions to test
- Story mapping

**Requirements at exit:**
- Functional requirements
- Technical specifications
- Schedule

**Outputs:**
- Working prototype
- Feedback from customers

### Deliverables

**Pitch**

**Written proposal**

**Presentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overview</th>
<th>Pitch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective:</strong> Share your passion and your solution with your peers and experienced mentors.</td>
<td>Review our passion and your solution with your peers and experienced mentors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topics:</strong> Share your passion and your solution with your peers and experienced mentors.</td>
<td>Review our passion and your solution with your peers and experienced mentors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expect:</strong> 30% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
<td>30% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction</th>
<th>Written proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective:</strong> Introduce your solution and its potential impact.</td>
<td>Introduce your solution and its potential impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topics:</strong> Introduce your solution and its potential impact.</td>
<td>Introduce your solution and its potential impact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expect:</strong> 30% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
<td>30% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective:</strong> Evaluate your pitch and written proposal.</td>
<td>Evaluate your pitch and written proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Topics:</strong> Evaluate your pitch and written proposal.</td>
<td>Evaluate your pitch and written proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expect:</strong> 40% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
<td>40% of your marks based on these criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0. Work based on UNLEASH Activity Cards.*
Appendix 15: Leveraging actionable insights to frame a global challenge teaching materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Problem Framing phase (for a full version of the cards please contact jackie.stenson@insead.edu)

2. Example of a problem framing tree (activity from Problem Framing phase) from January 2019
SDG Innovation Bootcamp Activity Cards

Problem Framing Phase

**Get Thinking**

- **Problem framing**
  - Consider the current situation as if you were a different person.
  - Identify the problem by asking yourself: What is the problem? How could we describe it? What is our biggest barrier? How does it impact our lives?

**Generate insights**

- **Brainstorming**
  - Generate a list of insights and potential solutions.

**Playing around**

- **Scenario testing**
  - Test different solutions in scenarios or simulations.

**IDing template**

- **Value proposition**
  - Identify the value proposition by considering the benefits and value to the user.

**Making a decision**

- **Solution testing**
  - Test potential solutions to ensure they meet the needs of the user.

**Problem framing tree**

- **Root cause analysis**
  - Analyze the root cause of the problem and identify potential solutions.

**Who is affected?**

- **Profiling**
  - Identify the attributes and characteristics of the affected parties.

**Problems & solutions**

- **Potential solutions**
  - Explore different solutions to the problem.

**Testing**

- **Prototype testing**
  - Test prototypes to ensure they meet the needs of the user.

**License information**

Licensed under CC BY-SA 4.0. Work based on UNLEASH Activity Cards.
Example of a Problem Framing Tree
Appendix 16: Ideation and selection based on feasibility and impact teaching materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Ideation phase (for a full version of the cards please contact jackie.stenson@insead.edu)
Appendix 17: Feedback through enabling users to experience the solution teaching materials

These appendices contain:

1. Sustainable Development Goals Innovation Process Activity Cards - Prototyping phase (for a full version of the cards please contact jackie.stenson@insead.edu)

2. Examples of prototypes from January 2019
SDG Innovation Bootcamp Activity Cards

Prototyping Phase

**Door:**
- **Requirements:**
  - Identify the problem domain and the user's needs.
  - Define the scope of the prototyping phase.

**Justifications:**
- Analyze user problems, constraints, and goals.
- Develop a detailed prototype based on the research.

**Prototyping:**
- Develop a prototype that meets user needs, focusing on aesthetics and usability.
- Test the prototype with users to gather feedback.

**Evidence Mapping:**
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the prototype.
- Refine the prototype based on user feedback.

**User Journey Diagram:**
- Map the user's journey through the product.
- Identify pain points and areas for improvement.

**Modelling:**
- Create a detailed model of the product.
- Use tools to visualize the product design.

**Testing:**
- Conduct user testing to validate the product.
- Iterate the design based on user feedback.
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Prototype Examples
Appendix 8: Better Place Role-Playing Game Teaching Materials

Contents of this appendix:

1. A recording of Andre Calmon teaching the introduction to the game in 2016.
2. A description of the game and each player’s role;
3. Game debrief slides.