

CRITERIA FOR GRADING PHD QUALIFYING EXAMINATION

Summary of Phases I and II

Additional Comments

Reader: Student:		ent:				
Overall Rating: Acceptable		Unacceptable				
Instructions: The ten criteria below are considered to be applicable across all areas. Acceptable ratings by two of the three panel members must be obtained for each area. Unacceptable ratings should be accompanied by rationale.						
	CRITERIA	ACCEPTABLE	UNACCEPTABLE			
1.	Demonstrated depth of knowledge					
2.	Demonstrated analysis of knowledge					
3.	Based answer on breadth of knowledge, (conceptual, clinical, and/or empirical).					
4.	Synthesized relevant knowledge to suppor answer.	t				
5.	Demonstrated critical thinking in assessing answering the question.	ı and 				
6.	Demonstrated an ability to integrate experiments knowledge and own ideas with extant knowledge in the field.					
7.	Presented the answer logically, derived log consequences based on evidence.	gical ———				
8.	Articulated the answer clearly.					
9.	Supported the answer with pertinent refere and resources (current, classic, significant)					
10.	. Written and presented in a scholarly mann	er				