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The purpose of this study was to examine the validity of the 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) self-report instrument in a sample of eighth and ninth grade girls ($n = 70, 54.3\%$ white, $37.1\%$ African American). Criterion measures of physical activity were derived using the CSA 7164 accelerometer. Participants wore a CSA monitor for 7 consecutive days and completed the self-report physical activity recall for the last 3 of those days. Self-reported total METs, 30-min blocks of MVPA, and 30-min blocks of VPA were all significantly correlated with analogous CSA variables for 7 days ($r = 0.35-0.51; P < 0.01$) and 3 days ($r = 0.27-0.46; P < 0.05$) of monitoring. The results indicate that the 3DPAR is a valid instrument for assessing overall, vigorous, and moderate to vigorous physical activity in adolescent girls.

Introduction

The development of accurate assessment tools for quantifying physical activity in children and adolescents continues to be a research priority (13,22). Well-validated measures of youth physical activity are essential for determining the prevalence of physical activity in defined population groups, the efficacy of physical activity promotion programs, and the relationships between physical activity and health outcomes. To date, a wide range of methods has been used to measure physical activity in children and adolescents, including self-report questionnaires, direct observation, heart rate monitoring, accelerometry, and doubly labeled water. Of these, self-report questionnaires are typically used in population studies because they are characteristically non-reactive, practical (convenient and affordable), and can be customized to measure specific variables of interest (9,15).

The 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) is a self-report instrument designed specifically for the recall abilities of adolescent youth. Using the same 30-min time block format as the previously validated Previous Day Physical Activity...
Recall (PDPAR; 20,24), the 3DPAR prompts respondents to recall the specific activities they engaged in, and the relative intensities of those activities. However, 3DPAR extends the PDPAR methodology by providing for the reporting of physical activity on 3 days during a single 30-min data collection session. Because 3DPAR assesses multiple days of physical activity, it may enable researchers to estimate “usual” physical activity. Also, because it is administered in a single reporting session, 3DPAR is particularly well suited for school-based investigations in which access to students is limited to one or two class periods.

The aim of the present study was to examine the validity of the 3DPAR self-report instrument in a sample of eighth and ninth grade girls. Specifically, we assessed the validity of 3DPAR-derived estimates of total, moderate-to-vigorous, and vigorous physical activity using the Computer Science and Applications, Inc. (CSA) 7164 accelerometer to provide 7-day and 3-day criterion measures of physical activity.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects for this study were 70 eighth- and ninth-grade female students from two middle schools, two high schools, and a recreational soccer team in Columbia, South Carolina. Subject characteristics are reported in Table 1. Most of the participants were either white (54.3%) or African American (37.1%). All subjects were between the ages of 13 and 16 years (mean ± SD, 14.0 ± 0.9). Prior to participation in the study, all subjects provided written assent to participate and their parents provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the University of South Carolina Institutional Review Board.

Height and Weight

Height was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm with a portable stadiometer, and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with a calibrated digital scale (BeFour, Inc.).

Table 1 Descriptive Data for Study Participants (N = 70)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± SD or Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>58.6 ± 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-Report Instrument

The 3-Day Physical Activity Recall (3DPAR) instrument requires the recall of activity performed during each of the three previous days, beginning with the most recent day. Each day is segmented into thirty-four 30-min time blocks (7:00 am to midnight) which, in turn, are grouped into three broader time periods—morning, afternoon and evening. The instrument provides a list of 55 common activities grouped into the following categories: sleep/bathing, eating, work, after-school/spare time/hobbies, transportation, and physical activities/sports. For each block of each day, participants entered the main activity in which they participated during each 30-min time period. Participants also rated the relative intensity of the designated activity as light, moderate, hard, or very hard. To help participants select the correct intensity level, the instrument provides pictorial representations of the four levels of relative intensity.

Criterion Physical Activity Measure

Objective assessments of physical activity were obtained using the Computer Science and Applications, Inc. (CSA) 7164 activity monitor (Shalimar, FL). The CSA 7164 is a uniaxial accelerometer designed to detect vertical acceleration ranging in magnitude from 0.05 to 2.0 Gs with a frequency response of 0.25 to 2.50 Hz. These parameters allow for detection of normal human motion and will reject high frequency vibrations encountered in activities such as riding in a vehicle on a bumpy road. The filtered acceleration signal is digitized, and the magnitude is summed over a user-specified time interval. At the end of each interval, the summed value or “activity count” is stored in memory, and the integrator is reset. For the present study, a 1-min sampling interval was used. The CSA 7164 has been shown to be a valid and reliable tool for assessing physical activity in youth (7,8,21).

Protocol

The study protocol was administered over a 7-day period. On the first day, groups of 20 or fewer participants were outfitted with a CSA 7164 activity monitor which was worn for the next 7 days. Consistent with previous investigations, monitors were worn over the right hip, anterior to the iliac crest. Participants were asked to wear the monitors at all times except during periods of sleeping, bathing, or swimming. Written instructions regarding the proper placement and handling of the monitors were provided to all participants. At the completion of the 7-day monitoring period (always a Wednesday), subjects completed the 3DPAR during an approximate 30-min period, recalling activity performed on the previous Tuesday, Monday, and Sunday. A trained research assistant administered the survey to groups of approximately 15 girls using a standardized procedure.

Data Reduction

3DPAR. Based on the specific activity and the level of intensity reported by the subject, each 30-min block was assigned a literature-based MET value (1). MET values were summed over each of the 3 days (Sunday, Monday, and Tuesday) for a measure of total daily physical activity (METs · day⁻¹). In addition, the
number of 30-min blocks in which energy expenditure was estimated at 3 METs or
greater (moderate-to-vigorous activity, MVPA) and 6 METs or greater (vigorous
activity, VPA) were summed for each individual day. Three-day averages for METs ·
day⁻¹, MVPA blocks · day⁻¹, and VPA blocks · day⁻¹ were calculated.

In cases in which the reported activity type and intensity level were consid-
ered incompatible (e.g., the activity of sleeping and intensity rating of hard), the
block in question was assigned a MET value considered appropriate for that activ-
ity. The standard 3DPAR protocol indicates that participants who make four or
more incompatible responses should be excluded from the study. In this study, no
participants were excluded because of incompatible responses.

**CSA Activity Monitor.** Stored minute-by-minute activity counts were up-
loaded to a customized software program for the determination of total daily activ-
ity counts and daily time spent in MVPA (≥ 3 METs) and VPA (≥ 6 METs). To
match the recall period of the 3DPAR, only those counts recorded between 7:00
am and 12 midnight were examined. Each minute was categorized by intensity
level (light, moderate, or vigorous) based on the number of counts recorded, using
the regression equation developed by Freedson and colleagues (10). Single day
totals, averages for the 3 days corresponding to the 3DPAR, and averages for the
total 7 days of monitoring were calculated for counts, minutes of MVPA, and
minutes of VPA.

**Statistical Analysis**

Pearson-product-moment correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate as-
sociations between the CSA accelerometer variables and the corresponding 3DPAR
variables. Averages of VPA minutes over 3 and 7 days of CSA monitoring were
determined to be highly skewed and were log transformed for analyses. Statistical
significance was set at an alpha level of 0.05.

**Results**

Table 2 presents the means and standard deviations of the 3DPAR and CSA physi-
cal activity variables for individual days as well as the averages for 3 days. Also,
for the CSA variables, the averages for 7 days of monitoring are presented. Over
the 7 day period, the CSA monitors recorded less than 50 min of MVPA and less
than 4 minutes of VPA daily. Some of the CSA and the 3DPAR variables suggest
that the girls were less active on Sunday than on the two weekdays observed.

Table 3 shows the correlations between the 3DPAR and CSA variables, the
latter expressed as averages for both 7 days and 3 days of monitoring. Self-re-
ported total METs and CSA total counts were significantly correlated, with corre-
lations of 0.51 and 0.46 (P < 0.001) for 7 and 3 days of monitoring, respectively.
Self-reported blocks of MVPA and CSA minutes of MVP showed correlations of
0.35 (P < .01) for 7 days of monitoring and 0.27 (P < .05) for 3 days of monitoring.
The correlations between self-reported VPA and CSA minutes of VPA were 0.45
(7 days) and 0.41 (3 days) (P < .001).

Pearson correlation coefficients for the associations between single day
3DPAR and CSA data are presented in Table 4. Correlations between self-reported
total METs and CSA total counts ranged from 0.29 (P < 0.05) for Monday to 0.64
Table 2  Means and Standard Deviations for 3DPAR and CSA Physical Activity Variables in 8th and 9th Grade Girls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>3DPA METs·day⁻¹</th>
<th>RCSA Counts·day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>64.4 ± 14.4</td>
<td>301,382 ± 150,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>61.8 ± 11.7</td>
<td>296,783 ± 141,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>56.1 ± 11.7</td>
<td>229,472 ± 125,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 day average</td>
<td>60.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>275,879 ± 101,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 day average</td>
<td></td>
<td>296,921 ± 96,135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MVPA blocks·day⁻¹</th>
<th>MVPA min·day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>3.7 ± 3.1</td>
<td>51.8 ± 35.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>3.3 ± 2.8</td>
<td>51.0 ± 33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>3.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>32.9 ± 30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 day average</td>
<td>3.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>45.2 ± 25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 day average</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.4 ± 23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VPA blocks·day⁻¹</th>
<th>VPA min·day⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>4.0 ± 8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>2.8 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.8 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 day average</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>3.2 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 day average</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for Tuesday ($P < 0.001$). The correlations between self-reported MVPA and CSA minutes of MVPA were significant for Tuesday and Sunday; the values ranged from 0.32 ($P < 0.05$) for Sunday to 0.35 ($P < 0.001$) for Tuesday. The correlations between self-reported VPA and CSA minutes of VPA ranged from 0.30 ($P < 0.05$) for Sunday to 0.41 ($P < 0.001$) for Monday.

**Discussion**

This study evaluated the validity of the 3DPAR self-report instrument in an ethnically diverse sample of eighth and ninth grade girls. On average, girls participating in the study failed to meet current physical activity standards (3.23). Our results indicate that the 3DPAR is a valid instrument for assessing vigorous, moderate to vigorous, and overall physical activity in this population. Similar to other
Table 3  Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Associations Between Means of 3DPAR and CSA Variables in 8th and 9th Grade Girls \( (N = 70) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSA Variables</th>
<th>3DPAR Variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total METs ( \text{(METS} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>MVPA ( \text{(Blocks} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>VPA ( \text{(Blocks} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counts ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.35**</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA( \dagger ) min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counts ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.46***</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>0.46***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA( \dagger ) min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.41***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*P < 0.05; \**P < 0.01; \***P < 0.001; \dagger \ Log transformed.

Table 4  Pearson Correlation Coefficients Between the 3DPAR and CSA Variables for Individual Days \( (N = 70) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSA Variables</th>
<th>3DPAR Variables</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total METs ( \text{(METS} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>MVPA ( \text{(Blocks} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
<td>VPA ( \text{(Blocks} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counts ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
<td>0.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>0.39**</td>
<td>0.30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>0.33**</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.32*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA( \dagger ) min ( \cdot \text{day}^{-1} )</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>0.27*</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*P < 0.05; \**P < 0.01; \***P < 0.001; \dagger \ Log transformed.
self-report instruments, the 3DPAR demonstrated higher validity for assessment of vigorous physical activity than for expressions of physical activity that included lower intensities of activity. This probably reflected a better ability to recall participation in the more vigorous forms of activity (e.g., basketball) than those that are more moderate in intensity (e.g., walking). Self-reported MVPA and CSA minutes of MVPA were more highly correlated on Tuesday and Sunday, possibly because the self-report instrument was always administered on a Wednesday, which facilitated recall of Tuesday activities, and because Sunday is a weekend day and may be more distinct and easier to recall.

The validity coefficients observed for the 3DPAR compare favorably with those previously reported by our group for the original PDPAR instrument. We observed higher coefficients than reported by Trost and colleagues for single-day administration of PDPAR in fifth grade students (20). In that study, the correlation between self-reported average MET level and CSA accelerometer counts was 0.39, while self-reported blocks of vigorous activity exhibited a correlation of 0.35 with CSA counts. The higher values observed in the present study may be explained by the older age of the subjects. In contrast, the validity coefficients in this study were somewhat lower than those reported by Weston et al. (24). In that study, the correlation between total accelerometer counts and PDPAR-derived estimates of after-school energy expenditure was 0.77, while self-reported blocks of MVPA exhibited a correlation of 0.63 with the number of minutes at a heart rate greater than 50% of heart rate reserve. The higher values observed by Weston et al. are probably explained by the substantially shorter recall period (single afternoon vs. three complete days) and the inclusion of older high school students in the study sample.

The 3DPAR yielded validity coefficients that were somewhat higher than those of previous studies using accelerometers to validate self-report instruments in children and adolescents. Validity coefficients from studies using one-day recalls of physical activity compared to one day of activity monitoring range from 0.19 to 0.88 (16,18,20,24). The one-day (Tuesday) correlations observed in this study (0.35 to 0.64) are comparable to those previously reported. Janz et al. (12) reported correlations of 0.05 to 0.39 for a 3-day sweat recall and 0.46 to 0.51 for a 3-day aerobic recall. The 3-day correlations presented in Table 3, ranging from 0.27 to 0.46 for analogous variables, are greater than those reported for the sweat recall and similar to the aerobic activity recall. Lastly, correlations between 7-day recalls or measures of self-reported "usual" physical activity and activity monitoring range from −0.26 to 0.47 (6,11,17,14). Again, correlations from the present study (0.35 to 0.51) are comparable to or slightly greater than those previously reported.

A unique characteristic of the 3DPAR is that it provides information about three days of physical activity through a report that is made in a single 30-min session. Although no previous studies have determined the number of days of self-report that are required to characterize usual physical activity, Trost and colleagues have shown that multiple days of activity monitoring are required to reliably characterize usual physical activity participation in children and youth (19). The data in this study show that 3DPAR variables generally correlated more highly with accelerometer data recorded over the preceding seven days than those recorded only during the three days corresponding directly to the 3DPAR report. This observation suggests that 3DPAR provides a valid reflection of both usual physical
activity, which is better represented by seven days of monitoring than by three
days, and physical activity performed during the specific recall period.

The present study documents the validity of the 3DPAR in adolescent girls.
The study was undertaken prior to an investigation of physical activity promotion
in this demographic group, because a self-report measure of physical activity was
needed for use in that investigation. It is particularly important that valid measures
of physical activity be available for use in studies of this age and gender group,
since previous studies have shown that physical activity levels are lower in girls
than boys and physical activity tends to decline rapidly in girls during adolescence
(2,4,5). Therefore, a valid self-report instrument such as the 3DPAR, which pro-
vides information on overall physical activity as well as participation in specific
forms of physical activity and sedentary behaviors, enables research on an impor-
tant public health problem. Nonetheless, the findings of this study cannot be gen-
eralized to boys or to youth in other age groups, and we recommend that future
studies examine the validity of 3DPAR in diverse groups of youth.

This study was limited by the availability of only a single type of criterion
measure, accelerometry. While accelerometers have been shown to provide useful
estimates of physical activity in youth, physical activity is a complex behavior and
no single measure constitutes a perfect “gold standard.” For example, it is known
that accelerometry does not accurately assess energy expenditure during non-weight-
bearing activities such as swimming and cycling and is insensitive to non-locomo-
tor activities such as carrying loads. Accordingly, in future studies it would be
desirable to validate 3DPAR against multiple criterion measures such as heart rate
in addition to motion detected by accelerometry.

In conclusion, the 3DPAR was found to be a valid measure of physical activity
in eighth and ninth grade girls. Results of this study specifically demonstrated
that 3DPAR provides a valid reflection of usual physical activity as measured by
accelerometry over a seven-day period, as well as during the three-day period
Corresponding to the recall.
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